

5PM City Council [Updated 05/23/2023 @ 3:51 p.m.]

Meeting Time: 05-23-23 17:00


eComments Report

Meetings	Meeting Time	Agenda Items	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
5PM City Council [Updated 05/23/2023 @ 3:51 p.m.]	05-23-23 17:00	36	10	1	2	5

Sentiments for All Meetings

The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



5PM City Council [Updated 05/23/2023 @ 3:51 p.m.]


05-23-23 17:00

Agenda Name	Comments	Support	Oppose	Neutral
5. Supplemental Agreement: Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant Expansion File ID: 2023-00473	1	1	0	0
10. Contracts: Replacement Light and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Purchases (Two-thirds Vote Required) [Published for 10-Day Review 05/11/2023] File ID: 2023-00519	1	0	0	1
12. Terrace Park Subdivision (P22-045) [Noticed on 05/12/2023] File ID: 2023-00602	2	0	2	0
13. City-County Partnership Agreement to Address Homeless Crisis Update [Oral Report] File ID: 2023-00619	4	0	0	3
eComments Report (eComments remain open through the meeting; eComments received after 8:15 a.m. will be available after the meeting)	2	0	0	1

Sentiments for All Agenda Items


The following graphs display sentiments for comments that have location data. Only locations of users who have commented will be shown.

Overall Sentiment



Agenda Item: eComments for 5. Supplemental Agreement: Sacramento River Water Treatment Plant Expansion File ID: 2023-00473

Overall Sentiment




Kristina Rogers

Location:

Submitted At: 10:39am 05-23-23

Last year I took a tour of Regional Sans and it is a marvel! They do incredible work ensuring Sacramento has clean, safe water to drink and use. Their wetlands area and ecological processes are fascinating.

Overall Sentiment



Matt A

Location:


Submitted At: 8:50pm 05-18-23

If the city is going to go the effort to make a Climate Action Plan that includes everyone electrifying their own vehicles, it seems prudent to at least try to do the same first.

There may not be electric versions of the heavier duty ones but Ford Lightning F150s and other hybrid/electric SUVs are out and this list that includes one hybrid model, which doesn't really scream climate emergency. (Of course the city crew needs running vehicles to operate and keep things running and so maybe it's not the right time to electrify - it'd be nice to at least see a discussion or research on that though)

Thanks for your consideration and all staff do to keep the city running!

Overall Sentiment



Zainab During

Location:

Submitted At: 5:30pm 05-23-23

My name is Zainab During and I am opposing this pending proposal of building 79 homes because for the last 15 years, the community was promised a school, which was a reason why for some of the neighbors bought homes in the area. If the school district decided that the land was a surplus for a school, they would have at least build something else that would benefit the community such as extending the park to include soccer fields, baseball and basketball courts , and perhaps a community pool or multicultural center

Alan Pearman


Location:

Submitted At: 10:20am 05-23-23

Attached are comments I wish entered into the record.

Agenda Item: eComments for 13. City-County Partnership Agreement to Address Homeless Crisis Update [Oral Report] File ID: 2023-00619

Overall Sentiment



REnata Wingson

Location:

Submitted At: 8:27pm 05-23-23

I would like to understand what kind of accountability the city has with Camp resolution member Holly. When we promise a person support what happens when the city fails.

I would also like to understand how Sacramento is working with our unhoused residents to support where they are instead of forcing resources upon people.

I'm very interested in participating in any workshops around our most vulnerable and giving their needs a real hearing over the Abstracts of various county/city partnerships that seem to do a lot of recording and reporting but clearly very little actual resources to community members.

Matthew King

Location:

Submitted At: 8:07pm 05-23-23

Please give Miller Park back to the community and families and the Lowrider community who has worked very hard for years to keep it clean and maintained. This is unfair that good hard-working people get treated worse than people that don't pay taxes at all reconsider turning our public green spaces into housing.

Olivia Fonseca

Location:

Submitted At: 6:26pm 05-23-23

Keep dignity on top of your list when deciding to identify sites for the unsheltered. Hearing that tents are returning to Miller Park should be decided on past participation. Don't place the tents encampments in direct sun as before. Don't place the tent encampments in the path of vehicles for the public to gwak at. Place the tents on Ramp Way, towards the Marina building. The tents will be under trees with shade and away from direct traffic and providing the unsheltered with dignity.

Michelle Williams

Location:

Submitted At: 11:52am 05-23-23


My comment is with regard to Camp Resolution resident Holly, who was promised real housing as part of the lease agreement between the residents and the city. Holly is quadriplegic and knowing her personally since before the April 2022 Colfax sweep, I know she has done EVERYTHING expected of her for YEARS to qualify for appropriate housing -- if you all cannot come through on your promise to house this one disabled woman, how are you in any position to be moving forward with any agreements with the county? Our shelter options are only

dwindling (rapidly!) as you all proceed with the implementation of Measure O, and actual housing on the other side of the shelters is basically nonexistent-- I have little faith that you all are truly committed to doing what it will take to end this crisis, rather than exacerbate it with criminalization and the violent forced migration cycle all around the city. You don't even have unhoused people or advocates at the table for these partnership discussions (and it shows!).

If you all can't house Holly it is a testament to what a failure this city has been in taking care of its most vulnerable people. Shame on you.

Agenda Item: eComments for eComments Report (eComments remain open through the meeting; eComments received after 8:15 a.m. will be available after the meeting)

Overall Sentiment



Joshua Everett

Location:

Submitted At: 8:29pm 05-23-23

This topic is not on the agenda.

I just want to bring awareness to the growing disc golf community in Sacramento. We need to update our disc golf infrastructure and improve park's in general around under served areas of Sacramento. This will help lower crime and give the community a safe and inclusive activity that will increase the health and wellness of those who participate in it.

Matthew King

Location:

Submitted At: 8:15pm 05-23-23

This comment is for matters not on the agenda,

The discgolf Community is composed of about 30 to 40,000 members throughout the Sacramento area and in my opinion they are being treated differently than in any other city. They have a big voice and they do vote!

The people want these courses throughout areas of the city and they also need these courses in underserved areas to keep the parks and green spaces safe! Stopping these progresses are detrimental to the growth of communities, and I would like to see them have a bigger voice!

My name is Alan Pearman and I am an original resident of the Terrace Park Development. My property will adjoin this proposed project. While I support infill projects, I believe that all reasonable efforts should be taken to protect the property value of the existing property owners. I believe this project, as it is proposed, devalues my property. Let me attempt to explain:

All of the lots in the Terrace Park development are arranged in back-to-back format. The developer, DR Horton, knew that if they offered a back-to-side format these lots would be very difficult to sell versus the standard back-to-back configuration. A back-to-side lot orientation creates privacy, sunlight and associated issues. The proposed project shows lots with only the back-to-back orientation.

However, when this proposed project is inserted into the existing Terrace Park development, it respects the back-to-back orientation of all the Terrace Park homeowners except for mine. This project places my lot in the very negative back-to-side orientation with a 31 foot tall house five feet from my back property line that spans the entire width of my property.

I am requesting that the City Council delay approval of this project until an equitable solution can be found to protect the value of my property.