## CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

APPLICANT Carissimi-Rohrer Associates, 1515 River Park Drive, \#200, Sacramento, CA 95815 owner Hal Hewitt \& Associates, 601 University Avenue, Suite 301, Sacramento, CA 95825 plans by Carissimi-Rohrer Associates, 1515 River Park Drive, \#200, Sacramento, CA 95815 FILING DATE $8-20-84 \quad 50$ DAY CPC ACTION DATE $\qquad$ REPORT BY: JP:1r
negative dec Ex. 15311 EIR ASSESSOR'S PCL. NO 277-061-17
APPLICATION: Variance to exceed maximum sign area and height for on-site attached signs within 660 feet of the freeway in the $C-4-R$ zone (Sign Ordinance Section 3.66-2 and 3.192-C-1\&2).

LOCATION: Southeast Quadrant I-80 and El Camino Avenue
PROPOSAL: The applicant is requesting the necessary entitlements to locate two attached signs for Giselle's Travel on Building A in the El Camino/80 Commerce Center.

PROJECT INEORMATION:

1974 General Plan Designation:
1965 Arden Arcade Community Plan Designation:
Existing Zoning of Site:
Existing Land Use of Site:
Surrounding Land Use and Zoning:
North: General Commercial, RV Sales; M-1
South: Light Industrial; M-1
East: General Commercial; C-2, C-2-R
West: Heavy Commercial, Residential, I-80; M-1, TC
Property Dimensions:
Property Area:
Square Footage of Building A:
Height of Building $A$ :
Number and Type of Signs:

## 000943

Sign Area:
0009;

Industrial
Heavy commercial or industrial C-4-R
Office/warehouse condominium complex
(under construction)

Height of Signs:
Sign Materials and Colors:

Irregular
$3.1 \pm$ acres
$19, \overline{4} 09 \pm$ square feet 32 feet (including 16 foot tower)
Two attached signs with individual letters"Giselle's" and "Travel" illuminated "Incorporated" non-illuminated Each sign $190 \pm$ square feet, total area $380+$ square feet
$30 \pm$ feet
© Blue and aqua plexiglas face with black returns

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: On January 12, 1984, the Planning Commission approved development plans for two office/warehouse buildings totaling $47,192 \pm$ square feet on the subject site (P83-416). A condition of approval was that a detailed sign program indicating the location and size of all signs be submitted prior to issuance of sign permits. The applicant is now, submitting two proposed signs for Building A, and is requesting the necessary entitlements for their approval.

PROJECT EVALUATION: Staff has the following comments regarding this proposal:
A. The subject site is a $3.1 \pm$ acre parcel located in the Heavy Commercial Review (C-4R) zone. Two office/warehouse buildings totaling $47,192 \pm$ square feet are presently being constructed on the site. The site is located in a primarily mixed use commercial area and adjacent to the Interstate 80 off-ramp to the west.

ApplC. No.
B. Building A has been designed with a 32 foot high triangular tower (Exhibits A and B). At the time of previous Planning Commission review, this tower was described as "...unusable space designed only as an architectural feature of the project (P83416)". The applicant now proposes to place a $190 \pm$ square foot sign on the east face and northwest face of the tower for Giselle's Travel, one of the proposed building tenants (Exhibits $C$ and D).

The City Sign Ordinance allows a maximum of three square feet of sign area for each front foot of building occupancy for at tached signs in the C-4 zone. Giselle's Travel will occupy 75 feet of building frontage, allowing 225 square feet of signage (Exhibit B). The two proposed signs total $380 \pm$ square feet, exceeding the allowable square footage by $155 \pm$ square feet. In addition, attached signs within 660 feet of a designated transportation corridor, such as Interstate 80 , and are visible to persons traveling on that freeway are restricted to a maximum height of 20 feet. The proposed sign for the northwest face of the tower is visible within 660 feet of the freeway by both north and southbound travelers and exceeds the allowed height by $10 \pm$ feet (Exhibit D).

The intent of the Sign Ordinance is to prevent the proliferation of signs throughout the City, eliminate potential hazards to motorists, improve the appearance of the City as a place to live and work, and insure that freeway signage is oriented toward freeway related services. Travel agencies do not fall under the category of a freeway related service, as do motels, hotels, restaurants and service stations, and does not rely on freeway sign visibility to conduct business. Staff cannot find any hardship relative to the subject site that would prohibit the applicant from placing two attached signs on Building $A$ which would meet Sign Ordinance height and area regulations, be visible from public streets and adequately identify the building tenant. Staff, therefore, recommends denial of the variance request.

The applicant should be aware that a sign program is still required before sign permits for any attached or detached signs for the subject site will be issued.

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposal is exempt from environmental review, pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15311).

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends the following action:
Denial of the Variance to exceed the maximum sign area and height for on-site attached signs within 660 feet of the freeway and in the $C-4-R$ zone, based upon Findings of Fact which follow.

## Findings of Fact

1. The granting of the Variance requests would result in a special privilege extended to one individual property owner in that no hardship has been demonstrated that would prohibit the placement of signage on Building A which would adequately identify the building tenant and conform to Sign Ordinance regulations.
2. The granting of the Variance requests would not be in harmony with the expressed purpose of the City's Sign Ordinance to:
"Eliminate potential hazards to motorists and pedestrians...eliminate excessive and confusing sign displays" and "preserve and improve the appearance of the City as a place in which to live and to work and as an attraction to nonresidents who come to visit or trade."
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