



~~45~~
45

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

OPERATION SERVICES

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

CITY HALL
ROOM 304
915 I STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA
95814-2671

916-449-5426

August 22, 1988

Mayor and City Council
City Hall
Sacramento, CA 95814

Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Appeal of Ronald Pederson from the Decision of the Chief
Animal Control Officer Regarding a Vicious Pit Bull Dog

SUMMARY

Attached is the appeal of Ronald Pederson from the decision of the Chief
Animal Control Officer regarding a vicious Pit Bull dog, as required by
Section 6.104 of the Sacramento City Code.

BACKGROUND

Under Sections 2.323 and 2.324 of the City Code, the Council may appoint
a hearing examiner to hear the appeal if it finds that "the appeal may
involve a lengthy factfinding process which would be more appropriately
accommodated by a formal hearing before a hearing examiner."

FINANCIAL DATA

The estimated cost would be \$450 and would be available from the Animal
Control Division budget.

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

AUG 30 1988

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

*Hrg Examiner
appt.*

City Council
August 22, 1988
Page Two

~~#~~
45

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. If the Council should decide to appoint a hearing examiner, it is recommended that the following motion be adopted: "The Council hereby determines pursuant to Section 2.324, City Code, that this appeal will involve a lengthy factfinding process which will be more appropriately accommodated by a formal hearing before a hearing examiner. Therefore, the Council appoints Terence Roberts as Hearing Examiner to hear the appeal on September 15, 1988, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. at McGeorge School of Law, 3130 Fifth Avenue, Sacramento, California."
2. If the Council should decide to consider the appeal itself, it is recommended that the hearing be set for September 13, 1988.

Respectfully submitted,

Anne J. Mason

Anne J. Mason
Acting City Clerk

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipe

Walter J. Slipe
City Manager

AJM/dah

Attachment

cc: Ronald D. Pederson, Appellant
Terence Roberts, Hearing Examiner
Diane Balter, Deputy City Attorney
Ruben Mora, Chief Animal Control Officer

TS88182

August 30, 1988
District Two

NOTICE OF APPEAL

RECEIVED
CITY OF SACRAMENTO

45

DATE: 9-19-88

AUG 18 11 49 AM '88

Pursuant to Section 2.320, City Code, I wish to appeal the decision Sacto

Animal Control made on 8-8-88

regarding (Dog) my grandson's dog
RONALD Pedersen

as required by Section 6.101(A)(B) City Code.

My reason for appealing is as follows: The dog is not
icious. He is my watch dog

PLEASE PRINT:

NAME: RONALD PEDERSON

ADDRESS: 316 ANDERSON, CA

CITY: SACTO, STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 95828

TELEPHONE NUMBER: (916) 923-0730

Ronald D. Pedersen
Ronald D. Pedersen
SIGNATURE



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
AUG 8 1 47 PM '88

#6
45

DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC WORKS

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

2127 FRONT STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA
95818-1106

ANIMAL CONTROL DIVISION

916-449-5623

August 8, 1988

RUBEN MORA
CHIEF
ANIMAL CONTROL OFFICER

Ronald Pederson
316 Anderson Court
Sacramento, CA 95838

Dear Mr. Pederson:

Your dog, a light tan male Pit Bull, has been deemed a vicious animal under the Sacramento City Animal Control Ordinance, Section 6.101(A),(B).

On July 27, 1988, your dog, a light tan male Pit Bull, without provocation, attacked and chased Kenya Smith of 206 Dewitt Court, Sacramento, at the intersection of Kern Street and North Avenue.

On July 29, 1988, your dog, a light tan male Pit Bull, without provocation, entered the property of 213 Dewitt Court and killed a kitten belonging to Ms. Brenda Brown of 206 Dewitt Court, Sacramento, California.

You are hereby notified that your animal will be put to sleep on August 20, 1988.

If you would like to appeal the determination of the Chief Animal Control Officer to a Hearing Officer, you must file a notice of such appeal with the City Clerk's Office at 915 I Street, Room 300, City Hall, Sacramento, California before August 19, 1988.

Sincerely,

Ruben Mora
Chief Animal Control Officer

cc: City Clerk
City Attorney
Deputy Director of Public Works

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that this is essential for ensuring transparency and accountability in the organization's operations.

2. The second part of the document outlines the various methods and tools used to collect and analyze data. It highlights the need for consistent and reliable data collection processes to support informed decision-making.

3. The third part of the document focuses on the role of technology in modern data management. It discusses how advanced software solutions can streamline data collection, storage, and analysis, thereby improving efficiency and accuracy.

4. The final part of the document provides a summary of the key findings and recommendations. It stresses the importance of ongoing monitoring and evaluation to ensure that the data management processes remain effective and up-to-date.