

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERKS OFFICE  
CITY OF SACRAMENTO

MINUTES

NEIGHBORHOOD & PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES COMMITTEE  
Monday, May 1, 1995

JUN 20 10 01 AM '95

CALL TO ORDER

The Neighborhood and Public Safety Issues Committee was called to order by Acting Chairperson Fargo at 7:00 p.m. on the above date at City Hall Council Chambers, 915 I Street, 2nd Floor, Sacramento, California. Chairperson Steinberg was home ill.

ROLL CALL

Present: Councilmembers Fargo, Kerth and Waters.  
Absent: Councilmember Steinberg

The next Neighborhood and Public Safety Issues Committee meetings are scheduled for:

Wednesday, June 7 Agenda topic to be announced.

Wednesday, June 21 Public Safety Legislative Update-Joint meeting with the Council's Law & Legislative Committee to review legislation which would affect the City of Sacramento.

Chairperson Fargo stated there are three information items and one action item to be discussed tonight.

1.1 Long Term Public Safety Funding Strategies - Revenue Options Discussion

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: Committee Information

---

Jack Crist provided some background information on long term financing of public safety programs, existing funding for the Police Department and revenue options to implement the Police Strategic Plan, which was adopted in May, 1993.

In March, 1995, discussion began regarding existing funding sources for the Police Department's Strategic Plan relative to NPO's and other dimensions of that plan. To implement neighborhood policing throughout the City, it would require an additional 68 - 100 officers costing from \$6 - \$10 million. Automation, support, and infrastructure would bring the cost to \$15 million. Staff reviewed existing expenditures to determine how they could be reduced or re-prioritized and was asked to bring revenue options back to this committee.

The City currently uses three types of revenue:

**General Fund** - Revenues that can be used for any purpose and are placed in the General Fund. General Funds account for 47% of the total general revenue pie, or \$191 million.

**Special Revenue funds** - are restricted in their use as they are intended for a special purpose. There are 22 different kinds of special revenue funds which make up 11% of the total, or \$47 million.

**Enterprise funds** make up 33%, or \$135 million, of the total revenue funds and are fees charged for specific services.

#### '95-'96 PROPOSED BUDGET-GENERAL FUND-REVENUES

Utility Users Tax 17%	= \$38 million	}	\$224 million
Sales Tax 17%	= \$39 million		
Property Tax 19%	= \$42 million		
License/Permits 8%	= \$17 million		
Intergovernment 9%	= \$20 million		
Fees/Charges 6%	= \$14 million		
Transfers 13%	= \$29 million		
All other 11%	= \$25 million		

The three major general taxes (Utility, Sales, and Property) account for over half of the general funds.

Taxes are charges levied for the purpose of financing services performed for the common benefit and are basically governed by Prop 13, which was passed in 1978. Prop 62, which passed in 1986, created a distinction between "general" and "specific" taxes. General taxes are used for general city purposes and are deposited into the General Fund. The City Council may enact a general tax. Special taxes are intended for a specific purpose or program. Special taxes require a 2/3 vote. Prop 62 also attempted to impose a majority vote requirement on general taxes. This part of Prop 62 has been declared unconstitutional by Appellate Courts.

1. **Property Tax** = annually property owners pay 1% of its assessed value. The 1% is divided among government agencies. Placed in the constitution is a limitation of 1% of ad valorem value of structures (residential and commercial). Redistribution has been shifted to schools and the City receives about 1/3 of property taxes (\$18 million) which are deposited into the General Fund.
2. **Benefit Assessments** pay for public improvements/services to benefit a specific district or area.
3. **Fees** are charged to the consumer for the cost of services provided--admissions, inspection fees, etc.
4. **Fines** are not restricted and are punitive in nature.

5. **Other revenues** include interest, concessions, funding from other governmental agencies, and licenses/permits.

#### REVENUE CONSIDERATIONS

**Equity** - By law, fees and assessment charges must relate to service(s) or benefit(s) received.

**Restrictions** - some taxes may have restrictions and require a 2/3 vote. Fees need to reasonably approximate the cost of service.

**Scope** - Revenues must be compatible citywide in nature or specific to area.

**Maintenance of Effort** - State and Federal grantors must receive additional services for grant funds and City cannot decrease local services and use grant monies to supplant services. (Measure T did not contain a maintenance of effort component.) Grantors must receive additional services for their money.

**Collection/Administrative** - The cost of collection varies. Some fines are easier to collect than others.

**Consistency** - Certain revenue sources may fluctuate more than other sources.

#### REVENUE OPTIONS

- \* **Property Taxes** cannot do a lot locally. The State Constitution restricts a limitation of 1% of ad valorem of structures. The 1% is divided amongst governmental agencies. The City receives 1/3 of the 1% tax on assessed value.
- \* **Special Taxes** - are levied on each parcel (which cannot be on an ad valorem basis) and requires 2/3 vote. Special taxes only apply to City property owners.
- \* **Sales taxes** - The City's general fund receives 1% of the 7.75% rate on taxable sales within the City limits for general purposes. Sales taxes are governed by State law within each county and is discretion for sales tax overrides. It would require a 2/3 vote if dedicated to certain programs and requires a 50% if for general purposes.
- \* **Utility Tax** - A 7.5% tax is imposed on gas, electricity, telephones, and cable television services within the City limits. It would require a majority vote of the City Council to increase or decrease rate if for general use purposes.
- \* **Benefit Assessments** are improvements or services provided which benefit/enhance a specific area or parcel and are governed by State law. There are certain circumstances under Charter provisions. This was the basis for Measure T. There is a petition process which requires a 60% majority vote of property or business district owners to initiate or cancel.

The protest procedure requires 50% but can be less. In all cases, the governing body can override for health and safety reasons.

- \* **Mello-Roos** - More flexible assessment-type financing that can be used for both capital and to continue operational services such as Police and Fire.
- \* **Admissions Taxes** are applied to live shows, big events, and yield big revenue.
- \* **Business Operations Taxes** can raise or lower the tax on a business and are deposited in the General Fund.
- \* **Commuter Tax** - Cities may impose a tax for renting parking spaces within the City.
- \* **Offset Other General Fund Obligations** - Increased cost recovery for other General Fund expenditures i.e. DUI charges. We are looking at ways to improve collections.
- \* **Police Related Revenues**
  - False alarms service fees
  - 911 misuse
  - Towing administration
  - Recovery of jail booking fees from additional court fines
- \* **Fees** -- Fee increases to cover costs currently being funded from the tax base. Prop 4 (Gann Initiative) does not allow us to charge fees beyond the reasonable cost.
- \* **Fines** are revenue generated by parking citations and are deposited in the City's General Fund. However, the Courts control the moving vehicle fines, which are restricted in use.

#### POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

1. **Should the City pursue revenue increases to fund public safety needs (such as Measure T or aggressively pursuing grants)?**
2. **Should the choice of programs be at the citywide or neighborhood level?**
3. **Does voting for these issues crowd out other high priority area wide safety programs, such as flood control?**
4. **Disparity in Taxation from Post Prop 13.**
  - A. **Valuation for taxes**
  - B. **Assessment for infrastructure and services**

Someone who bought a home prior to 1978 has a lower valuation vs. someone who just bought a home (17 yrs. after Prop 13). These homeowners are paying at the current assessed value so their tax bill could be two to three times or maybe higher

assessments the bill of an older home. We need to be very sensitive to this when we are looking at change and do more analysis for fee assessment/taxation issues.

Mr. Crist stated there has been criticism as to why Measure T failed: lack of specificity; split support for the measure; unpopular property-related tax structure; successful opposition campaign; and lack of a maintenance of effort clause. Mr. Crist emphasized that citizens want to know how their money will be spent. County has unsuccessfully tried a sales tax override. They are very difficult to carry out. Citizen groups have been much more interested in our budget process and we need to acknowledge their energy and input.

Councilmember Waters asked Mr. Crist to clarify the Tow Administration Fee.

Mr. Crist stated that there are approx. 20 tow operators that the City uses. We would administer and improve services to citizens and quickly recover the vehicles, i.e. on weekends.

Chief Venegas Two tow companies could be called to an accident and both would have different fee charges. We are considering starting a franchise and legislation creating a cap on tow fees.

Councilmember Waters stated administering our own towing service may not be feasible due to associated business expenses--worker's compensation, liability insurance, some have 18 wheelers for large towing jobs. However, Councilmember Waters did like the idea of having a location to tow vehicles to where they would not be charged an exorbitant fee. Councilmember Waters also feels that it is not practical to count on recovering booking fees due to the types of individuals involved.

Mr. Crist agreed it could be difficult, but the City still needs to look at these options to see if there is any potential.

Chairperson Fargo asked if we would need State legislation to change the Employee and Commuter Tax and suggested discussing this the Joint Legislation meeting.

Chief Venegas stated that a large amount goes to the County. The City receives very little because of the courts and County services.

#### PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Pat Shelby thanked the City and Public Safety Committee for the opportunity to hear these issues and for the opportunity to brainstorm at the committee level. Ms. Shelby commended the idea of assessment review of property and asked that a sampling of long term vs. short-term ownership be considered. She was also bothered by the fact that the validity and representation of the Ad Hoc Committee was questioned. The Ad-Hoc Committee appreciates the Committee's work and encourages them to vote individually even if

it is a 2-2 vote.

Dale Kooyman opposes a revenue on businesses. There are factors which make a difference such as high risk businesses/locations vs. low risk, management, etc. Good business owners should not be penalized for businesses which incur costs to the City. Forward recommendation to Council to adopt with possible attempt to implement. Included in the study should be a review of all related ordinances to see how this approach could be incorporated or if ordinance replacement is needed. The study should be conducted by a Task Force consisting of appropriate City staff and representatives from the residential and business communities. "Make sure that those who cause the cost pay the cost."

Councilmembers Waters/Fargo thanked Mr. Kooyman for his good suggestion.

Jim Hastings 717 - 33rd St., member of the Ad Hoc Committee. We have never said to reduce the number of officers or their pay. We often disagree with strategy and deployment.

**1. Re-mission Police Communications**

The Communications Center received. Of these calls, 700,000 to 800,000 calls were not responded to. Mr. Hastings recommended a One-Stop Shopping Place. The Public Safety Communications Center (PSCC) would be a 24-hour dispatch area to answer questions or schedule a time for an answer where police response is not required. We need to gather data to redeploy to work more effectively.

**Estimated Saving: 11 persons and 1.3 managers for \$450,000**

**2. Move all citizen calls for service and department dispatch of personnel to new Public Safety Service Center (PSSC)**

The PSSC would handle such problems as nuisance abatement, cars in yard, code enforcement, animal control, garden refuse, hazardous waste, neighborhood improvement, etc. The work would be moved from Police to neighborhoods where it belongs. Use mail out reports for Report Writing.

**Estimated Saving: 20 FTE's and 3 managers \$1,000,000.**

**3. Automate records collection entry**

UCS is a division of Motorola. Automation of records collection is do-able in a short period of time. FEMA & police departments throughout the country are using this process. Information is loaded into the data base which simplifies the record process from beginning to end. Recommending civilianization and moving functions out of Police Department to where they belong.

**Estimated Saving: 36 FTE/4 Managers = \$1,800,000 in Records**

## **Management**

### **4. Equip Officers Properly to Save Time on Reports and Make Them More Efficient**

We could save 40-50% of Police Officers' time which is used taking reports by installing pen driven, notepad based, systems in patrol cars and other key areas of the Police Department. This is based on 1,880 hrs. per policeman; 2 1/2 hrs. a day. Get police officers back on the street and equip them properly.

**Estimated Saving: \$13,000,000 worth of policing.**

### **5. Implement COPS Philosophy**

There appears to be a war going on--us against them and them against us. COPS has nothing to do with a specialized police force. It is a philosophy of working with the community. We don't want just to cease crime, we want our problems solved. Make Sacramento a desirable place in which to live and work and the value of life and property rise, at the same time generating revenue.

Mary Sullivan - Ben Ali Neighborhood Association. Ms. Sullivan opposes the Lighting and Landscaping Ordinance "utility tax" as her neighborhood would not be receiving the benefits/services being provided. They do not have sidewalks, curbs, and gutters, sewers. Ms. Sullivan stated it is also a safety hazard whenever police or fire services are required in their area.

Councilmember Kerth replied that Ben Ali is a target area for block grant money. Reconstructing Iris Street is a \$700,000 project.

Walter Mueller read a letter written by Kendra Felling

Ms. Felling is disturbed by Mayor Serna's comment regarding the 2-2 voting issue, questioning of the existence of the Ad Hoc Committee and the criticism of unacceptable progress by the Committee. She encourages the Council to vote on opinion and not just to satisfy the Mayor's demands.

Chairperson Fargo reassured community that Councilmembers will be voting on their conscience.

Walter Mueller addressed the advertisements on Police Cars. \$150,000 one city is advertising. Neighbors want more safety and will support police through advertising. Encouraged law enforcement to enforce existing laws. Long term benefits are reduction in calls for service and decrease in crime, which will free up police officers for higher priorities.

Hans Huber - member of the Ad-Hoc Committee

1. **Long term curfew and truancy.** Hans researched the San Jose program and was very impressed with the approach. They have gotten money back by reduction in calls for service. Their Juvenile Hall does not look like our Juvenile Hall. There are fewer arrests, investigations, transfers, etc. We should look into how much the problem is costing the City.
2. **Booking fees in County Jail** - It costs the City \$146.35 for each booking. If it is required to classify an arrested individual, there is an additional cost of \$34.97. About 70% of the subjects being booked would need to be classified. Based on approximately 1,045 bookings, as of Jan. '95, our annual total would be 12,540, of which 8,700 would need to be classified = \$2,000,000. Next to nothing can be collected. We could possibly reduce those costs by hiring CSO's or someone to take some of the County's administration away. Look at possibility of cost recovery through in-kind services or community work.

Chief Venegas stated there is a clause in the legislation that allows the County to do this.

Chairperson Fargo asked Hans if he would be willing to meet with the County Officials regarding this issue. Hans agreed.

Councilmember Waters added that putting a CSO in the jail would amount to a very small amount of the dollars.

Chairperson Fargo asked how many sworn officers are needed to book prisoners.

Councilmember Waters replied that the Jail is already minimally staffed so he does not know where the number of personnel could be cut.

Councilmember Kerth acknowledged the initiative ideas brought forth tonight--"Creative cost raising strategies vs. creative cutting."

**Item 1.2 Deployment Strategy for Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS): Phase I Grant Program**

**RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: Adopt Staff Recommendation**

---

Deputy Chief Najera - In May, 1993, the City Council adopted the Police Department's Strategic Plan. The plan is a transition into a philosophy of community policing for the Sacramento Police Department. One item was our proposal to put two NPO's in each patrol district of the City, accompanied by a tactical team. In February, 1995, upon recommendation of the Police Department, along with community input, the Council adopted a resolution approving 23 NPO's to be placed into 13 different sites of the City. This would

put 23 grant-funded officers into these sites. Ten would receive two officers and the three remaining sites would have single officers. Subsequent to that hearing and discussion of a second grant proposal from the Police Department, COPS More, Councilmember Waters proposed a subsequent strategy. Councilmember Waters did this after receiving information from the Washington, D.C. Department of Justice on the original grant proposal. The original Phase I grant assignment proposed 23 officers to be placed in 13 sites. Thirteen original sites would have one officer. The remaining 10 officers would not be assigned to a specific site, but as tactical support to the officers, as well as the NPO's. The Police Department received Councilmember Waters' proposed plan and has done some supportive research into the possibility of implementing the alternative plan. The Police Department still recommend its original recommendation of having 23 officers deployed into 13 sites as NPO's.

#### PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Tom O'Neil - member of the Ad-Hoc Committee on Public Safety, which represents every district in the City, 15 neighborhood associations, and people of color. NPO's would be in everyone's best interest. Mr. O'Neil would like to have the deployment situation revisited in a year and asked that Mayor Serna keep this committee, meet quarterly, to allow community members to voice concerns about public safety. The Ad-Hoc Committee unanimously supports the Police Dept's. deployment plan for NPO's and recommends revisiting deployment strategies in a year. Patrol is understaffed and needs to be addressed at some time.

Pam Tuss - South Natomas agrees with Tom O'Neil and would like to see a re-evaluation of NPO deployment after a year. South Natomas can support six NPO's. Ms. Tuss is concerned about the increasing crime rate. Gangs and drugs. She is afraid of what will happen when the new school opens. They have had a citizens patrol for 3 years, through the Safe Streets Program. The community is getting tired of patrolling. Supports NPO deployment the way it was recommended by the Police Department.

Alan Clark owns a business on Northgate and San Juan and supports the original plan by Chief Venegas. Mr. Clark noted that he had a problem with cruising on Northgate after the recent car show. He supports recommendation of Council for 6 officers in the north area and would also like to see the re-evaluation of NPO deployment strategy. He feels this is a good recommendation.

Don Duarte - Cook Ace Hardware on Del Paso Blvd.

Mr. Duarte has had his business on Del Paso Blvd. since 1936 and plans on being there for another 90 years. He supports the original recommendation of NPO's in North Sacramento and is looking forward to receiving their help for safety in the community and business district. Mr. Duarte has been working very closely with Capt. Carrera, which gives him a sense of security to work this closely with the SPD. He agrees with Tom O'Neil to have quarterly

meetings to keep abreast of deployment and other issues. Personally thanked Chief Venegas and Capt. Carrera for their efforts in North Sacramento. Mr. Duarte offered to be the first to put his advertisement on police cars.

Polo Aguilar represents the River Park Neighborhood Association

Mr. Aguilar expressed his concerns regarding one NPO per district, which could result in the risk of losing a NPO from time to time in the case of an emergency. River Park residents would like one assigned specifically to River Park. Two NPO's have been assigned to 10 districts. Glen Hall has had problems with gangs, drug activity, a naked man sitting on a fire hydrant, bank robbers, and increased sexual activity at Paradise Beach. Mr. Aguilar stated that the NPO deployment plan should be considered as a pilot project and monitored on an ongoing basis and recommended developing data on how the program is working. River Park offered their services in providing stats.

Deputy Chief Najera said there is ongoing review of activity, however, "current" NPO's are deployed based on grant funds and we have not looked at area of deployment.

Chairperson Fargo asked what the evaluation process would be for the 23 NPO's?

Deputy Chief Najera stated that the Police Department will have a grant requirement to review the NPO activity. With additional 23 NPO's, we will be able to move or adjust their activities or areas where they are working.

Chairperson Fargo asked if community input would be solicited.

Deputy Chief Najera replied yes.

Councilmember Waters stated that his experience has been that East Sacramento is unique with very few homicides. He feels that River Park has not had the number of problems compared to other areas such as Meadowview, Del Paso Heights and Chief will assign the NPO's where he feels they are needed.

Judith Hudson - Swanston Estates Against Crime (Arden Fair, Ethan & El Camino represents 500 households. They support the original deployment plan and have had a citizens patrol for 3 years. Swanston Estates has received a lot of support from the Police Department and need the six additional officers. Truancy is an issue. One hundred percent (100%) of juvenile troublemakers are chronic truants. Ms. Hudson also supports the ongoing evaluation process of NPO deployment.

Sheena Wolfe - Tahoe Park Neighborhood Association & Tahoe Park Colonial Collaborative for Healthy Children showed pictures that children in the neighborhood drew which depicted some signs of violence. Kids in the community have a perception they are not safe. Ms. Wolfe supports the original plan and recommends that the

Council also support it. She also recommends continuing this committee and appreciates the opportunity to address police and safety issues in our community.

Jim Hastings - Ad Hoc Committee/MENA - supports the deployment of the 23 NPO's with full knowledge that we are \$7,000,000 in the hole. He feels many of the revenue-generating ideas are do-able.

Councilmember Waters stated Mr. Hastings was going to provide a 9-point proposal but only gave 5. Councilmember Waters asked Jim Hastings to present his revenue generating ideas in book form.

Councilmember Kerth complimented Councilmember Waters for his expertise and alternate proposal. Councilmember Kerth feels like he made a commitment to the community although he is interested in beefing up patrol and tactical units.

Councilmember Waters stated his decision is made. He would not have submitted the alternate proposal plan if he had the information he asked for in time. Mr. Edgar told Councilmembers not to spend money we didn't have. We have \$3.2 million committed to COPS I (23 officers) and had concerns about other ways to use cops. We are being asked to front another \$2.2 million. Councilmember Waters thought we could deploy the 13 NPO's and use the other 10 officers as tactical officers to assist patrol. If we were able to find the \$2.2 million later, we could then take the 10 officers and put them back into the original 23-NPO-position plan. We may lose Adult Probation in the County cuts, impacting the City.

Chairperson Fargo also expressed her appreciation for Councilmember Waters' positive creativity, thinking, and expertise.

Councilmember Kerth made a motion to move that we not change the current NPO alignment as recommended by the Police Department. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Fargo.

Councilmember Waters was offended and felt degraded by the Mayor's comment about the tie vote of 2-2.

Chairperson Fargo announced that **LONG TERM PUBLIC SAFETY FUNDING STRATEGIES - REVENUE OPTIONS DISCUSSION** will go tomorrow evening and is Item No. 9.6 on the Council Agenda, 7:00 p.m.

**1.3 Report Back on Other Law Enforcement Agencies Transitioning into Community Oriented Policing**

**RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: Committee Information**

---

Deputy Chief Najera stated the four agencies suggested for review by committee members included Chicago, Portland, Oakland, and San Jose. Each committee member received a copy of the report back as well as an analysis report by the Bureau of Justice, which provides a national perspective.

**NOTES FOR DARRELL STEINBERG  
MAY 1, 1995  
COMMITTEE MEETING**

CALL MEETING TO ORDER

ASK CLERK OF THE MEETING FOR A ROLL CALL

ANNOUNCE CABLE COVERAGE/TIMES:

**IF LIVE (CABLE STAFF WILL TELL YOU JUST BEFORE START OF MEETING):**

"THIS COUNCIL COMMITTEE MEETING ON NEIGHBORHOOD AND PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES IS BEING BROADCAST LIVE THIS EVENING ON METROCABLE TELEVISION GOVERNMENT CHANNEL 14."

"A REPLAY OF THIS MEETING CAN BE SEEN WEDNESDAY, MAY 3RD AT 8:00 PM AND SUNDAY, MAY 7TH AT 9:00 AM ON METROCABLE CHANNEL 14."

"IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK, PLEASE FILL OUT A SPEAKER SLIP AND HAND IT TO THE GUARD. THE GUARD WILL DELIVER IT TO THE SECRETARY."

*The Sacramento City Council invites you to additional*

**Council Committee Meetings on  
Neighborhood and Public Safety Issues**

**You are invited to attend and participate in a continuing series of public meetings regarding our Police Department.**

*THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES COUNCIL COMMITTEE has been charged by the Mayor and Council to work with the community to identify and discuss issues which will help to improve public safety in our community.*

**The dates and topics of these meetings are:**

**Monday, May 1      Long-Term Public Safety Funding Strategies -- focus on revenue source options and related policy issues (continued discussion from March 20th Meeting)**

**Wednesday, June 7      To Be Announced**

**Wednesday, June 21      Public Safety Legislative Update -- Joint meeting with the Council's Law and Legislative Committee to review Legislation which would affect the City of Sacramento**

*All meetings will be held at City Hall Council Chambers from 7-10 pm.*

*The Committee looks forward to working with you during this process and values your input.*

*All meetings are open to the public and will be shown on Metro Cable (Channel 14).*

**For more information call 264-7336**

## ATTENDANCE

## ROLL CALL

## NEIGHBORHOOD AND PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES COUNCIL COMMITTEE

MOVED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ SECONDED BY: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

MOTION: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

		AYE	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
FARGO	<i>Chair</i> D1	✓			
KERTH	D2	✓			
WATERS	D7	✓			
STEINBERG	D6				✓

NOTES: *Chairperson Darrell Steinberg is ill tonight*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

SEQUENCE ORDER \_\_\_\_\_

ITEM NO. 1.2

ROLL CALL

NEIGHBORHOOD AND PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUES COUNCIL COMMITTEE

MOVED BY: Kerth SECONDED BY: Fargo DATE: 5/1/95

MOTION: Not change the current NPO Alignment

		AYE	NO	ABSTAIN	ABSENT
FARGO	D1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
KERTH	D2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
WATERS	D7		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
STEINBERG	D6				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NOTES: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

SEQUENCE ORDER \_\_\_\_\_

1.4 Outstanding Report Backs from 03/20 NPS Meeting  
Coordinating with Neighborhood Services Department

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF: Committee Information

---

This report back addresses meetings the Police Department Area Captains are coordinating with the Neighborhood Services Department Area Managers and the community.

**Calls for Service vs. Service Requests**

Councilmember Kerth also asked for a clarification of services calls vs. service requests. Councilmember Kerth met with Communications and patrol units. As a result of this meeting, a committee of both administrative and operations police personnel has been formed to review the present definition of calls for service to more accurately distinguish between calls for service vs. service requests. Chief Venegas added that he appreciates visits to the Police Department and it is good to get on the same page.

Councilmember Kerth recommended a tour of the 9-1-1 Center to other Councilmembers.


Councilmember Waters recommended educational public service announcements on how to use 9-1-1.

Chief Venegas stated we are in the process of working with Access Sacramento, focusing on ongoing educational items with the City and the Police Department.


Chairperson Fargo announced that the next meeting of the Neighborhood and Public Safety Issues Committee will be June 7 and the next one on June 21.

**ADJOURNMENT** - There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 9:18 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted:

  
Jo Ann Tahara, Secretary

Approved:

  
Heather Fargo, Acting Chairperson  
Neighborhood & Public Safety Issues Committee