LAW AND LEGISLATION COMMITTEE AGENDA

Thursday, March 15, 1990

3:00 p.m.

City Council Chamber 915 "I" Street Sacramento, California

1. Legislative update from Ken Emanuels, the City's Legislative Advocate. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

FILE

2. Ord. amending Article VIII of Chapter 5 of the Sacramento City Code relating to picture arcades. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND APPROVAL AND FORWARD TO COUNCIL

3. Ord. reorganizing Sections 24.1 through 24.20 of the Sacramento City Code into Articles I and II of Chapter 24, and adding Article III, relating to display of harmful matter to minors. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND APPROVAL AND FORWARD TO COUNCIL

4. Ord. revising Chapter 13, Article III, relating to concert permits. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND APPROVAL AND FORWARD TO COUNCIL

5. Federal H.R. 3849 (Bates), relating to the "Government Printing Office Improvement Act of 1990." (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND OPPOSITION

LAW AND LEGISLATION COMMITTEE AGENDA (CONTINUED)

6. SB 665 (Davis), as amended 1/18/90, relating to gang violence prevention in schools. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT

7. AB 574 (Harvey), as amended 2/18/90, relating to release on bail of persons accused of crimes involving controlled substances. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT

8. SB 1749 (Robbins), as amended 1/8/90, relating to late claim liability for bonds. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND OPPOSITION

9. SB 1819 (Montoya), as amended 1/22/90, relating to public liability for claims investigations. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT

10. SB 1837 (Hart), as amended 1/24/90, relating to distribution of tobacco products from vending machines. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT

11. SB 1980 (Robbins), as amended 2/13/90, relating to immunity from liability for emergency dispatcher services. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT

AGENDA CONTINUED TO NEXT PAGE

LAW AND LEGISLATION COMMITTEE AGENDA (CONTINUED)

12. AB 242 (Nolan) as amended 1/17/90, relating to notification to mayor or city clerk of intention to construct, expand, or lease a building to be used as a parole facility. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT

13. Update regarding campaign finance ordinance. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

FILE

14. AB 2641 (Wright) relating to household hazardous waste collection programs. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND OPPOSITION

15. SB 1998 (Bergeson) relating to Solid Waste Local Government Technical Advisory Committee. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT

16. AB 3222 (Peace) relating to mandatory water meters. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND OPPOSITION

17. Recommendation to support, if amended, AB 2661 (Klehs) relating to water management planning. (D-All)

RECOMMENDATION OF STAFF:

RECOMMEND SUPPORT, IF AMENDED

COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Robie (Chair), Chinn, Pane and Serna

٥

City of Sacramento State Legislature

BILL REFERRAL

DATE		C	OMMITTEE	ACTION:	! <u></u>			
TO:_				_ DATE:	·			
FROM	: KENNETH EMANUEL:	S, LEGISL	ATIVE AD	VOCATE				
REPL	Y NO LATER THAN:							
A.B	, As Am	ended	*	Author_		···		
S.B. *Date	, As Ame of introduction	ended or latest	* amendme	Author_ nt				
City approarise 0303 ques pres	se review the atta of Sacramento opriate. During e, please feel fre , (1400 K Street tionnaire should t entation to the Co E THE BILL ATTACHE	and comyour ana e to cont . Suite be return uncil Com	nplete lysis of act Ken 306, Se ed to the mittee o	the fo f this Emanuels acrament he City	llowing measure at 444 co, CA Attorne	quest, if q -6789, 95814.	ions uesti FAX	as ions 444- This for
shou impo not	ECOMMENDATION. I Id be taken, eit rtance to the City fill out the rest rney's Office.	ther becar for for a of the fa	use the	bill r reason	is not , pleas	of su e mark	ffic:	ient , do
PLEA	SE TYPE YOUR RESPO	NSE			•			
1.	Briefly describe sheets if necessa		sions of	the bi	ll (atta	ch addi	tion:	a 1
2.	This measure shou	ld be:	(Please	circle	desired	positio	on)	
•	Supported	Oppose	d	Suppor	ted if A	mended		
	Placed on Watch	List		Other	(explai	n)		
	· .							

3. Please explain your reasons for the above determination, including how this measure effects your Department and the fiscal impact of this measure on the City. Please make your comments in a format that can be used in a letter to state officials. (Continue on next page or attach additional sheets if necessary.)

(Cont	tinue answer to Question No. 3 here)
4.	Specify the City's legislative policy guideline(s) applicable to this measure (if any).
5.	If this measure could be amended to either improve its favorable aspects or to minimize its adverse aspects, which amendments
	would you propose?
6.	List known support or opposition to this measure by groups with
	which you are familiar and include addresses and phone numbers, if known. League of California Cities position:
7.	Does this bill involve a State-mandated local program? If so, does the bill contain a <u>State-mandated waiver</u> , or an appropriation for allocation and disbursement to local agencies pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 2231?
8.	Using a rating scale of 1 to 10 (with 10 as the most important), how important do you think this bill is to the City of Sacramento?
FORM	COMPLETED BY: DATE:

Introduced by Assembly Member Polanco

February 12, 1990

An act to add Section 23007.5 to, and to add Article 1.7 (commencing with Section 23810) to Chapter 5 of Division 9 of, the Business and Professions Code, relating to alcoholic beverages.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2845, as introduced, Polanco. Alcoholic beverages.

Existing law contained in the California Constitution vests in the state the exclusive right and power to license and regulate the manufacture, sale, purchase, possession, and transportation of alcoholic beverages in this state. Existing law also provides procedures under which the department may place reasonable conditions upon retail licensees which will protect the public welfare and morals.

This bill would authorize the department, upon an accusation filed by any city council or county board of supervisors pursuant to a resolution, as specified, to place a condition on an off-sale beer and wine license or an off-sale general license prohibiting the sale of fortified wines, as defined. A violation of the condition would be grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 23007.5 is added to the Business and Professions Code, to read:

23007.5. "Fortified wine" means any wine which contains more than 14 percent of alcohol by volume.

SEC. 2. Article 1.7 (commencing with Section 23810) is added to Chapter 5 of Division 9 of the Business and Professions Code, to read:

9

Article 1.7. Conditional Fortified Wine Licenses

10 11

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

23810. Any city council or county board of supervisors 12 may determine by resolution, following a public hearing, that the off-premises sale of fortified wines presents a danger to the health, safety, or welfare of any neighborhood or area within its jurisdiction. The determination shall be made only if the city council or county board of supervisors makes one of the following findings based on substantial evidence:

(a) The neighborhood or area is impacted by the presence of public inebriates on an ongoing basis to a greater extent than in the community as a whole.

(b) The neighborhood or area is the site of repeated arrests for public intoxication, to a greater extent than in the community as a whole.

(c) The neighborhood or area suffers identifiable effects relating to public inebriation, to a greater extent than in the community as a whole.

23811. Accusations against any off-sale beer and wine licensee or off-sale general licensee or applicant for those 30 licenses may be filed with the department by any city council or county board of supervisors pursuant to a resolution, as specified in Section 23810. Upon the filing 33 of the accusation, the department shall determine 34 whether or not a condition should be placed on the 35 license prohibiting the sale of fortified wines for 36 off-premises consumption within the specified neighborhood or area. If the department finds that grounds exist for imposing such a condition, the condition

And In the Control of

1 shall be endorsed upon the license and any renewal 2 thereof and shall be binding upon all persons to whom 3 the license is transferred.

23812. The department, upon its own motion, or upon the petition of a licensee or a transferee who has filed an application for the transfer of the license, if it is satisfied that the grounds which caused the imposition of the condition no longer exist, shall order the removal of the condition.

23813. A violation of a condition placed upon a license pursuant to this article shall constitute the exercising of a privilege or the performing of an act for which a license is required without the authority therefor and shall be grounds for the suspension or revocation of the license.

10

14

15

17

18

27

28

23814. The proceedings specified in Section 23811 shall be conducted in the same manner as is required for other proceedings involving petitions, protests, or accusations, and the right of a respondent in those proceedings to appeal shall include the right to appeal from an order imposing the condition upon the licenses involved in the proceedings. If the department denies a petition filed pursuant to Section 23812, the licensee or transferee may, within 10 days after the mailing of the denial, make a written request for a hearing. The proceedings at that hearing shall be conducted as provided in Section 24300, and the respondent shall have the same rights of appeal therefrom as in disciplinary actions.