



18

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

City of Sacramento
Office of the City Clerk

Cont 46
3-27-84

March 6, 1984

MAR 6 1984

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Engineering and Transportation Division
915 I Street - Room 300
Sacramento, California 95814

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
FEB 29 1984

	Telephones (916)
Civil Engineering	449-5281
Electrical Engineering	449-5287
Architecture	449-5144
Construction	449-5281
Real Estate	449-5626
Traffic Engineering	449-5307
Parking	449-5354
Street Maintenance	449-5236

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members In Session:

SUBJECT: Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment District, Improvement Proceeding No. 5364

SUMMARY

Attached are various Resolutions to commence construction of proposed improvements for Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment District. Adoption of the Resolutions is recommended.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

On January 31, 1984, the Council commenced the assessment proceedings for Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment District by adopting several resolutions and setting a Hearing for this date on the Public Convenience and Necessity, Engineer's Report and Confirming of the Assessment. Bids for the construction work were received on February 21, 1984 and the results of the construction bids were reported to the Council that evening.

The construction bid was 27.2% under our Engineer's Estimate.

We have been informed by the City Clerk that written protests have been received from the following property owners within the district.

Dwight and Helen Milliour
3726 39th Street

Douglas M. and Mary J. Viner
3727 39th Street

Said Protest represents 11,656 square feet or less than 2% of the total district which is comprised of 700,262 square feet.

FINANCIAL DATA

In the 1983/84 City Budget, the City Council budgeted \$30,000.00 of C.D.B.G. Funds and as of this date, there is an unencumbered balance of \$28,389.23 which is sufficient funds to cover the amount of the estimated City Contribution based on the lowest bid for the project from Richard A. Heaps, Electric Contractor. The total estimated cost is as follows:

ll


Contract Bid	\$ 29,858.00
Incidental Expenses	7,041.65
	<hr/>
Estimated Total Cost	\$ 36,899.65
Property Owners Share	11,612.20
	<hr/>
City Contribution	\$ 25,287.45

RECOMMENDATION


As the protests on file represents only 2% of the total area in the district and in the event there are no additional protests presented prior to or at the Hearing that would constitute a majority protest, it is recommended that the Hearing be closed and the attached Resolutions be adopted in the order listed below:

1. Resolution Determining That the Public Convenience and Necessity Require Said Improvements, Etc. (REQUIRES A 4/5 VOTE OF THE COUNCIL OR 8 AYE VOTES)
2. Resolution Approving Amended Report, Confirming Assessment, Etc.
3. Resolution Establishing Foreclosure Covenant, Etc.
4. Resolution of Award of Contract
5. Resolution Amending City Budget

Respectfully submitted,


 John F. Varozza
 Director of Public Works

For Transmittal to City Council



 Walter J. Slupe
 City Manager

JFV:IEM:jc
Attachments
File # 5364

March 6, 1984
DISTRICT NO. 5



March 6, 1984
CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

In the Matter of Improvement)
Proceeding No. 5364, Wright and) ENGINEER'S REPORT
Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting)
Assessment District)

A Public Hearing is scheduled for this date pertaining to the proposed public improvement work in Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment District, Improvement Proceeding No. 5364.

This public improvement proceeding has been undertaken due to the following facts:

1. That on January 31, 1984, the Director of Public Works presented to the Council the Resolution of Intention, Resolution Declaring Public Convenience and Necessity, a Report and Resolution Approving Report which set a Hearing on the project for this date.

2. The project would consist of constructing a street lighting system complete in the area generally bounded by 12th Avenue, 14th Avenue, Sacramento Boulevard and 40th Street.

The purpose of the project is to construct these improvements in order to improve public safety.

3. That on February 1, 1984, Notices were mailed to all the property owners within the proposed Assessment District informing them of the proposed assessment on their

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data.

In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze the data. This includes both primary and secondary data collection techniques. The analysis focuses on identifying trends and patterns over time, which is crucial for making informed decisions.

The third part of the report details the results of the data analysis. It shows a clear upward trend in sales over the period studied, with a significant increase in the latter half of the year. This is attributed to several factors, including improved marketing strategies and a strong economic environment.

Finally, the document concludes with a series of recommendations for future actions. It suggests continuing the current marketing efforts while also exploring new channels to reach a wider audience. The author also advises on how to handle potential risks and uncertainties in the market.

property and the date of the Hearing on the Report and confirming of the Assessment.

4. That on February 2, 1984, copies of the Notice of Improvement were posted on all streets and alleys within the proposed Assessment District pursuant to existing law.

5. That on February 3 and February 10, 1984, the Notice of Improvement for the proposed project was published in the Daily Recorder.

6. That as of February 29, 1984, certain property owners filed written protests with the City Clerk objecting to the proposed improvements.

7. That the protest presented represents 11,656 square feet or less than 2% of the total area within the district and therefor does not constitute a majority protest.

8. It is my opinion that said improvements will improve the aesthetics and safety of the neighborhood.

Executed this 6th day of March, 1984.

JOHN F. VAROZZA
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for the integrity of the financial system and for the ability to detect and prevent fraud. The document also notes that records should be kept for a sufficient period of time to allow for a thorough audit.

The second part of the document outlines the specific requirements for record-keeping. It states that all transactions must be recorded in a clear and concise manner, and that the records must be accessible to all authorized personnel. The document also requires that records be kept in a secure and protected environment, and that they be subject to regular audits.

The third part of the document provides a detailed description of the record-keeping system. It includes a list of the types of records that must be maintained, such as financial statements, invoices, and contracts. It also describes the format and content of these records, and provides examples of how they should be recorded. The document concludes by stating that these requirements are intended to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the financial system.

March 28, 1984

Royal Electric Company
3650 51st Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95823

Dear Sir or Madam:

This is to inform you that you were not the successful bidder for Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment District. Therefore, we are returning your Bid Bond in the amount of ten (10) percent.

The said bid having been awarded by the City Council at the regular meeting of March 27, 1984 to Richard A. Heaps, in the amount of \$29,858.00.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

LM/km/17

Enclosure: Bid Bond

March 28, 1984

Grason Electric Company
2128 Sutterville Road
Sacramento, CA 95822

Dear Sir or Madam:

This is to inform you that you were not the successful bidder for Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment District. Therefore, we are returning your Bid Bond in the amount of ten (10) percent.

The said bid having been awarded by the City Council at the regular meeting of March 27, 1984 to Richard A. Heaps, in the amount of \$29,858.00.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

LM/km/17

Enclosure: Bid Bond

March 28, 1984

M & M Electric
1600 Auburn Blvd.
Sacramento, CA 95815

Dear Sir or Madam:

This is to inform you that you were not the successful bidder for Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment District. Therefore, we are returning your Bid Bond in the amount of ten (10) percent.

The said bid having been awarded by the City Council at the regular meeting of March 27, 1984 to Richard A. Heaps, in the amount of \$29,858.00.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

LM/km/17

Enclosure: Bid Bond

March 28, 1984

Richard A. Heaps
Electrical Constructor
9788 Woodhollow Way
Sacramento, CA 95827

Dear Mr. Heaps:

On March 27, 1984, the Sacramento City Council accepted your bid in the amount of \$29,858.00 for Wright and Kimbrough No. 35 Street Lighting Assessment.

The City Engineer will contact you concerning the necessary bond and contract.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Magana
City Clerk

LM/km/17