

4

Appeal of Al Brennan vs City Planning )  
Commission's denial of a Plan Review )  
to allow the construction of a 25-foot )  
sign in the M-1(S)-R zone located at )  
3970 Pell Circle (P-9306) )

NOTICE OF DECISION  
AND  
FINDINGS OF FACT

At its regular meeting of September 1, 1981, the City Council heard and considered evidence in the above entitled matter. Based on the oral and documentary evidence at such hearing, the Council denied the appeal. The Council's action is based on the following findings:

1. The proposed sign does not meet the requirements of the recently adopted Sign Ordinance amendment which limits the height of detached signs to 12 feet and the maximum area to 24 square feet for non-highway oriented uses.
2. Because of the new provisions of the Sign Ordinance, the applicant would have to apply for a Variance to meet the new requirements. This would be considered by the Planning Commission.

PHILLIP L. ISENBERG

MAYOR

ATTEST:

Lorraine Magana

CITY CLERK

CERTIFIED AS TRUE COPY

of *Findings of Fact*  
*Appeal of Al Brennan*

*September 17, 1981*

DATE CERTIFIED

*Anne Mason*

ASSISTANT CITY CLERK, CITY OF SACRAMENTO

APPROVED  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

SEP 15 1981

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK

P-9306

4  
9-15-81

Appeal of Al Brennan vs City Planning )  
Commission's denial of a Plan Review )  
to allow the construction of a 25-foot )  
sign in the M-1(S)-R zone located at )  
3970 Pell Circle (P-9306) )

NOTICE OF DECISION  
AND  
FINDINGS OF FACT

At its regular meeting of September 1, 1981, the City Council heard and considered evidence in the above entitled matter. Based on the oral and documentary evidence at such hearing, the Council denied the appeal. The Council's action is based on the following findings:

1. The proposed sign does not meet the requirements of the recently adopted Sign Ordinance amendment which limits the height of detached signs to 12 feet and the maximum area to 24 square feet for non-highway oriented uses.
2. Because of the new provisions of the Sign Ordinance, the applicant would have to apply for a Variance to meet the new requirements. This would be considered by the Planning Commission.

*Wesley L. Bentley*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

*Jessie Magana*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

4  
9-15-81

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

915 I STREET  
CITY HALL ROOM 203

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814  
TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

LORRAINE MAGANA  
CITY CLERK

September 16, 1981

Al J. Brennan  
3970 Pell Circle  
Sacramento, CA 95821

Dear Mr. Brennan:

On September 1, 1981, the City Council heard your appeal of City Planning Commission action denying a 25-foot sign in the M-1(S)-R Zone, located at 3970 Pell Circle, and adopted by motion its intent to deny your appeal based on Findings of Fact due September 15, 1981.

Findings of Fact denying your appeal were adopted by the City Council on September 15, 1981. Enclosed, for your records, is a certified copy of those findings.

Sincerely,

  
Lorraine Magana  
City Clerk

MM/LM/mm/4  
Enclosure

cc: Planning Department  
Northgate Partnership  
Glaser Brothers  
Richard R. Patch