

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

OFFICE OF. 915 I STREET

CITY HALL ROOM 203

THE CITY SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

LORRAINE MAGANA CITY CLERK

September 24, 1981

Honorable Mayor and City Council City Hall Sacramento, CA 95814

Members in Session:

Appeal of Willie Woods from the decision of the Chief

of Police denying issuance of a Taxicab Permit

SUMMARY .

Attached is the appeal of Willie Woods from the decision of the Chief of Police denying issuance of a permanent taxicab permit upon expiration of Mr. Woods' temporary permit, as required by Section 42.41, Sacramento City Code.

Under Sections 2.323 and 2.324 of the City Code, the Council may appoint a hearing examiner to hear the appeal if it finds that "the appeal may involve a lengthy factfinding process which would be more appropriately accommodated by a formal hearing before a hearing examiner."

FINANCIAL DATA

The estimated cost would be \$100.00 and would be available from the budget of the Police Department.

SEP 291981 Augusted
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

City Council September 24, 1981 Page 2

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. If the Council should decide to appoint a hearing examiner, it is recommended that the following motion be adopted: "The Council hereby determines pursuant to Section 2.324, City Code, that this appeal will involve a lengthy factfinding process which will be more appropriately accommodated by a formal hearing before a hearing examiner. Therefore, the Council appoints Hermann E. Lorenz Jr., as hearing examiner to hear the appeal on Thursday, October 22, 1981, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., in the Council Chamber, Second Floor, 915 "I" Street, Sacramento, California.
- 2. If the Council should decide to consider the appeal itself, it is recommended that the hearing be set for October 20, 1981.

Respectfully submitted,

City Clerk

MM/LM/mm Attachment

cc:

Chief of Police Hermann E. Lorenz Willie Woods

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:

Walter J. Slipe City Manager

September 29, 1981 All Districts

NOTICE OF APPEAL

ONY OUTSINE OFFICE OFFICE OFFICE OF SAGRAMENTO SEP 23 12 51 PM '81

DATE: 9-23-81

Pursuant to Section 2.320, City Code,	I wish to appeal the	decision 4/23 T IA
'		1-19-81
regarding Japical Strine	-	
upon expiration of tem	porery parmit	<u> </u>
	`	
as required by SadH2.21	, City Code.	
1	4.	
My reason for appealing is as follows:	2 do not fe	el zhe decision
made was fair		
! .		
- · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
	· 	
	<u> </u>	
!		•
*********** PLEASE PRINT:		
NAME: WIDIE 11/00 ds		
ADDRESS: 9275 Canlin and	2	
CITY: Soe	STATE: Calif	ZIP CODE: 95823
TELEPHONE NUMBER: 4270868	1	

Willie Woods
SIGNATURE



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

SEP 23 12 51 P 181

JOHN P. KEARNS CHIEF OF POLICE

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE

HALL OF JUSTICE 813 - 6TH STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5121

September 21, 1981

Ref: 9-28

Willie Woods 8275 Carlin Avenue Sacramento, California 95823

Dear Mr. Woods:

Upon the expiration of your temporary Taxicab Driver's Permit, on September 19, 1981, you applied for a permanent permit which was denied as per 42.35A of the Sacramento City Code.

You have a right of appeal to the City Council for a hearing within 10 days. The decision of the Council will be final. If no appeal is made within 10 days, the denial will be final.

Very truly yours,

Danh B. Silve

Frank B. Silva, Lieutenant Commander, Traffic Section Special Enforcement Division Office of Operations

FBS:NN:njg

cc: Yellow Cab Company



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

LORRAINE MAGANA CITY CLERK

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
915 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
CITY HALL ROOM 203 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5428

September 30, 1981

Willie Woods 8275 Carlin Avenue Sacramento, CA 95823

Dear Mr. Woods:

On September 29, 1981, the Sacramento City Council determined that pursuant to Section 2.324, Sacramento City Code, your appeal of the Chief of Police decision denying you a taxicab permit, will involve a lengthy factfinding process which will be more appropriately accommodated by a formal hearing before a hearing examiner.

Therefore, the Council appointed Hermann E. Lorenz Jr. as Hearing Examiner to hear your appeal on Thursday, October 22, 1981, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., in the Council Chamber, second floor, City Hall, 915 "I" Street, Sacramento.

Sincerely,

City Clerk

MM/LM/mm

cc: Chief of Police Hermann E. Lorenz

BEFORE THE CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE APPEAL OF

AGENDA September 29, 1981

WILLIE WOODS

ITEM NO.

The above entitled matter came on regularly for hearing

Oral testimony and written evidence and documents were

2

FINDINGS OF FACT AND ORDER

on Thursday, October 22, 1981 at 9:00 a.m. before HERMANN E.

8

LORENZ, JR., duly appointed Hearing Examiner.

Appellant appeared in person and by and through his

10

attorney, DAVID W. McMURTRY. Lt. FRANK B. SILVA appeared for the

11

Sacramento Police Department and the City of Sacramento.

12

introduced by both parties and after further argument by both

13

parties, the record was kept open for five days for filing of

14 15

written arguments. The City of Sacramento filed a written

16

argument on October 26, 1981. Thereafter the hearing was closed

document dated October 22, 1981 and the appellant filed a written

. 17 18

and the matter was submitted.

1.

19

FINDINGS OF FACT

20

The Hearing Examiner having heard the evidence makes the following findings:

June 12, 1981, appellant filed an Application For Taxi Cab Driver's

convictions. The appellant was issued a temporary taxi cab driver's

Permit and disclosing all pertinent information including felony

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

permit.

2. Because of a change of employment, applicant on June 19, 1981 again filed for a taxi cab driver's permit, indicating

That pursuant to City Ordinance, that on or about

he has to be employed by Yellow Cab Company and further disclosing all prior felony convictions. Appellant was issued a temporary taxi cab driver's permit for ninety days that expired on September 19, 1981.

- 3. That on September 21, 1981, the Sacramento City
 Police Department advised appellant in writing that the Application For a permanent Taxi Cab Driver's Permit was denied "as per
 42.35(a) of the Sacramento City Code."
- 4. That appellant timely filed an Appeal to the City Council of the decision of the Sacramento Police Department, under the appropriate provisions of the City Code.
- 5. That at a regular meeting of the Sacramento City Council, on September 29, 1981, the City Council appointed HERMANN E. LORENZ, JR. Hearing Examiner and directed a hearing to be held on October 22, 1981 at 9:00 a.m.
- 6. That appellant pursuant to Section 42.29 etc. of the City Code, on June 12, 1981 and June 1981, applied for a taxi cab driver's permit, and a temporary ninety (90) day permit was duly issued by the Sacramento City Police Department. That appellant properly and truthfully answered all questions on said Applications, including his arrest, conviction, and sentence to State Prison on July 20, 1966 for violation of Section 11,501 of the Health and Safety Code. Appellant was paroled on September 8, 1970. On July 19, 1974 appellant was arrested by the Sacramento Police Department for violations of Section 11,352, sale of heroine and other charges and on September 26, 1974 was sent to State Prison for violation of \$11,352 of the Health and Safety Code. Appellant Woods was released from prison in 1978 and has not

been charged or convicted of any violation of law.

2:

5

6

7:

9

11

12

.13...

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. That appellant is married and has been employed since his release from prison as a bartender and has worked temporarily as a taxi cab driver under permit.
- 8. The Sacramento Police Department in denying the application made no specific written findings for the reason of the denial "as per 42.35(a) of the Sacramento City Code." LT. SILVA testified it was the finding and policy of the Sacramento Police Department that the criminal offenses appellant was convicted of to wit the sale of drugs is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a taxi cab driver. Taxi cabs operate in an environment which render it mobile within the community, enables a taxi cab driver to drive and park in rareas without arising suspicion. A taxi cab can operate in an environment wherein legal and illegal activity could be carried on particularly the transportation of and sale of contraband and There is an acceptability by the public that a illegal drugs. taxi cab driver is knowledgeable about legal and illegal activities within the community. The crime of which appellant was convicted, to wit the sale of narcotics is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a taxi cab driver in that the taxi driver's duties and environment as above specified could be conducive to illegal activity to wit the sale and transportation of narcotics.
 - 9. City Code Section 42.36 provides as follows:

Section 42.36.

"Denial; Revocation; Suspension.

⁽a) Driver's permits required by this Chapter may be denied by the chief of police upon original application upon the following grounds:

- "(1) Applicant has been convicted of a crime, and the time for appeal has elapsed, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of the entry of a subsequent order under Penal Code Section 1203.4. Provided, however, that the permit shall be denied upon this ground only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a taxicab driver.
- "(2) The applicant has done any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or another, or injure another. Provided, however, that the permit shall be denied upon this ground only if the act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a taxicab driver..."
- 10. That the Sacramento City Police Department did not make a written finding in the denial of applicant's request for taxi cab driver's permit, on September 21, 1981, but the City Police Department did testify that said finding was made internally within the Department at the time the license was denied and testified of such finding at hearing. To refer this case to the City Police Department for a written finding, would be a useless act.
- ll. That the Sacramento City Police Department acted in a reasonable manner, and did not abuse its discretion in denying applicant's permit.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

Based on the foregoing findings, and the provisions of City Code Section 4236, (1). Applicant's request for a taxi cab driver's permit should be denied and therefore said appeal be and is hereby denied.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions

of law, the appeal be and is hereby denied, and the action of the Sacramento City Police Department is hereby radified, approved and confirmed. DATED: November <u>/3</u>, 1981 HERMANN E. LORENZ, JR. Hearing Examiner

23.