



1.1

Department of Utilities
Engineering Services Division

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

1395 35th Avenue
Sacramento, CA 95822-2911
phone (916) 264-1400
fax (916) 264-1497/1498

November 4, 1998

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: SUMP 90 IMPROVEMENTS (PN: WG11) REJECTION OF BIDS

LOCATION AND COUNCIL DISTRICT:

The project is located at Sump 90 approximately one-half mile south of the town of Freeport on the east side of the Sacramento River, adjacent to Highway 160 and serves Council Districts 7 and 8 (see location map).

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the City Council reject all bids for the Sump 90 Improvements Project so staff can redesign and rebid the project.

CONTACT PERSON: Andrew Hunt, Senior Engineer, 264-1408
Gary A. Reents, Engineering Services Manager, 264-1433

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF: November 24, 1998

SUMMARY

Bids were received on July 29, 1998 from three (3) contractors. All bids were over the projected project cost estimate, including contingencies. The project will be modified and changes made to decrease the overall project costs to allow it to be built more closely to the original project costs.



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
DEPARTMENT
OF UTILITIES

Making a Difference in Your Neighborhood



City Council
November 4, 1998
Reject Bids (PN: WG11)

COMMITTEE/COMMISSION ACTION

None.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Sump 90 is a drainage pump station which pumps drainage water from Morrison Creek into the Sacramento River. During high flows, a small quantity is also derived from the Cosumnes and Mokelumne Rivers.

The following is a list of proposed improvements and the corresponding purpose of these improvements:

- Addition of a smaller low flow pump to reduce power demand and increase efficiency.
- Concrete lining in the channel and construction of a ramp in front of the station to allow for easier debris removal.
- Modifications to the existing outlet in the Sacramento River to stabilize the outfall and dissipate excessive velocities which create a public safety hazard.

The estimated construction cost for this project was \$300,000. Bids were received from three (3) contractors as shown below:

• LM Construction	\$ 408,574
• IAS Construction, Inc.	\$ 482,884
• HK Merron Construction, Inc.	\$ 575,431

All bids were over the projected project cost estimate, including contingencies. The project will be modified and changes made to decrease the overall project costs to allow it to be built more closely to the original project costs.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

This action will have no financial impact; however, funds are available in the Sump 90 Improvements Project (WG11) when it is rebid.

City Council
November 4, 1998
Reject Bids (PN: WG11)

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

The Environmental Services Division has determined that this project is categorically exempt pursuant to State Class 1 and 2 and Section Number 15302(c) of the California Environmental Quality Act.

POLICY CONSIDERATION

This action is consistent with Section 58.03.06 of the City Code.

MBE/WBE


No goods or services are being purchased as the contract will be rebid.

Respectfully submitted,




Gary A. Reents
Engineering Services Manager

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:




William H. Edgar
City Manager

APPROVED:




James G. Sequeira
Director of Utilities



LOCATION MAP

SCALE 1"=2,000'



SITE PLAN

SCALE 1"=100'

GRAPHIC SCALE



(IN FEET)
1 inch = 2000 ft.

ENGIN & BUCKLEY
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
SACRAMENTO



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
SUMP 90 IMPROVEMENTS
LOCATION MAP,
SITE PLAN

RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

RESOLUTION TO REJECT ALL BIDS FOR SUMP 90 IMPROVEMENTS (PN: WG11)

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL THAT:

The City Council hereby rejects all bids for the Sump 90 Improvements Project (PN: WG11).

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO. _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____