

CITY OF SACRAMENTO



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK LORRAINE MAGANA CITY CLERK

915 | STREET CITY HALL ROOM 203 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 TELEPHONE (918) 449-5428

January 17, 1985

Mayor and City Council City Hall Sacramento, CA 95814

Members in Session:

SUBJECT:

Appeal of Russ M. Farr dba Computer Idenfification Systems from the decision of the Sacramento Police Department regarding suspension of alarm permit.

SUMMARY

Attached is the appeal of Russ M. Farr dba Computer Identification Systems from the decision of the Sacramento Police Department, as required by Section 29.9(a) of the Sacramento City Code.

BACKGROUND

Under Sections 2.323 and 2.324 of the City Code, the Council may appoint a hearing examiner to hear the appeal if it finds that "the appeal may involve a lengthy factfinding process which would be more appropriately accommodated by a formal hearing before a hearing examiner."

FINANCIAL DATA

The estimated cost would be \$100.00 and would be available from the Sacramento Police Department budget.

RECOMMENDATIONS

If the Council should decide to appoint a hearing examiner, it is recommended that the following motion be adopted: Council hereby determines pursuant to Section 2.324, City Rev. 1 adopted.

OFFICE OF THE

City Council January 17, 1985 Page 2

Code, that this appeal will involve a lengthy factfinding process which will be more appropriately accommodated by a formal hearing before a hearing examiner. Therefore, the Council appoints Barry Martin as Hearing Examiner to hear the appeal on Friday, February 8, 1985 at the hour of 9:00 A.M. at McGeorge School of Law, 3287 Fifth Avenue, Sacramento, California.

2. If the Council should decide to consider the appeal itself, it is recommended that the hearing be set for January 29, 1985.

Respectfully submitted,

Čity Clerk U

orraine Mag

LM/dah Attachment

cc: Barry Martin, Hearing Examiner
Russ M. Farr, Appellant
Frank Barbaro, Sacramento Police Department

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:

Walter J. Slipe

January 22, 1985 District 1

44

NOTICE OF APPEAL

RECEIVED CITY CLERKS OFFICE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

JAN 11 10 33 AM °85

| DATE: January | 9, 1985 | | | JAN II | יוו ככ ען |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | • |
| Pursuant to Se | ection 2.320, | City Code, I w | ish to app | eal the decis | ion <u>of (5) five</u> |
| days suspensi | ion of alarm | system permit #(| 010631 ma | de on <u>Janua</u> | ary 8, 1985 |
| regarding | 1 | Computer Ident: | fication s | Systems | |
| | | 3840 Rosin Cou | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Sacramento, CA | 95838 | | |
| as required by | Y <u>Chapt</u> | er 29 . 2 | _, City Co | de. | |
| | | | | | |
| My reason for | appealing is | as follows: <u>Tr</u> | ne alarm sy | vstem installe | ed was a faulty |
| system which | CIS recognize | ed and made the | vendor re | olace. Since | replacement there |
| has been no f | alse alarms. | This action ca | ın potentia | ally cause CIS | 5 to lose business. |
| | | • | | | |
| because of th | <u>ie secure nat</u> | ure of our work. | CIS atte | empted to corr | cect the problem |
| before any pe | enalties were | imposed and I h | ope that o | can be taken j | into account and |
| the suspension | on lifted. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | · | |
| ***** | | | | | |
| PLEASE PRINT: | | | | | |
| NAME: | Russ M. Farr | | | | |
| ADDRESS: | 3840 Rosin Co | ourt. Suite 100 | | | |
| CITY: | | | STATE: | CA | ZIP CODE: 95834 |
| TELEPHONE NUM | | 649_1110 | —————————————————————————————————————— | <u> </u> | |
| | (916) | 040-1110 | | | |
| | | | · | 2 | |
| | | | X | insill 411 | Väre |
| | | | | SIGNA | TURE |