



10.1

VED  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL  
JUN 18 1996

DEPARTMENT OF  
PUBLIC WORKS  
  
SPECIAL DISTRICTS  
DIVISION

CITY OF SACRAMENTO  
CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK  
CITY HALL  
915 I STREET  
ROOM 200  
SACRAMENTO, CA  
95814-2608  
PH 916-264-7213  
FAX 916-264-5573

June 10, 1996

#1 Hearing Closed  
#2 Hearing 6.25.96

City Council  
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

**SUBJECT: CITYWIDE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING DISTRICT FY 1996/97 BUDGET - PUBLIC HEARING**

**LOCATION AND COUNCIL DISTRICT:**

Citywide, all Council Districts.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This report recommends that the City Council:

- 1) On June 18, 1996 -
  - Conduct public hearing #1, close the hearing, and
  - Continue the item to June 25, 1996.
- 2) On June 25, 1996 -
  - Conduct Public Hearing #2, and
  - Adopt Resolution Overruling Protests.
  - Adopt Resolution Confirming Report and Levying FY 1996/97 Annual Assessments for the Citywide Landscaping and Lighting District.
  - Adopt Resolution Amending the FY 1996/97 Budget for the Citywide Landscaping and Lighting District.

**CONTACT PERSON:** Karen Shipley, Special Districts Analyst, 264-5236

**FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF:** June 18, 1996

**SUMMARY:**

This report presents the recommended budget of \$8.6 million for the Citywide Landscaping and Lighting (L&L) District for FY 1996/97 (Exhibit A). This year's program reflects the City emphasis on a "Steady State" budget and recommends no increase in assessments. A portion of the budget includes \$600,000 designated for bond financing of park improvements as directed by council. The estimated bond proceeds which will be \$5.8 million is shown on Exhibit B. A preliminary list of projects which can be accomplished with

the bond proceeds is attached as Exhibit C. The purpose of this public hearing is to receive testimony and/or protests regarding the proposed budget, services, bonding and assessments. No council action is required at Public Hearing #1 on June 18, 1996. Council action on June 25, 1996 at Public Hearing #2 will approve the annual budget, authorize the issuance of bonds for park improvements and establish the L&L assessment rates for FY 1996/97.

**COMMITTEE/COMMISSION ACTION:**

The Citizen's Advisory Committee (CAC) for Parks and Recreation supports the staff recommendations listed within this report. Further, the CAC hosted one of the public information meetings at their regular meeting on May 23, 1996, at which time the designated projects to be funded by a portion of bond proceeds for park improvements were discussed. Staff also solicited input for additional projects. The CAC will again review the L&L proposal as part of their June 20, 1996 meeting agenda. The action taken by CAC will be presented verbally at the June 25, 1996 council meeting.

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

The Citywide L&L District was established by City Council in June of 1989. The district provides funding for the maintenance and rehabilitation of City parks and other public landscaped areas, street tree maintenance, graffiti abatement and the energy and maintenance cost of street lights throughout the City. A summary of the recommended budget is shown on Exhibit A. The proposed assessments are the same as last year.

Community Input on Bonding for Park Improvements

On May 7, 1996, Council set the public hearing dates of June 18 and 25, 1996. During the past several weeks, staff has conducted four public meetings to inform the public of proposed projects to be funded by the bond issuance and to solicit input for additional park projects. The meetings were publicized in the Sacramento Bee Neighbors and Metro sections, and by flyers mailed to neighborhood leaders and associations throughout the city. ✓

The attached preliminary list of park improvement projects was established as a result of April 2, 1996 council action supporting rehabilitation and/or replacing various playground and wading pool facilities throughout the city. The project list was developed in consideration of safety standards, building codes and ADA compliance requirements. The following guidelines given by council were also considered. ✓

- ✓ Seek input from the public.
- ✓ The improvements must outlast the debt financing.
- ✓ The improvement program should remain as flexible as possible to allow for unforeseen needs.
- ✓ Staff should continue to encourage and embrace community driven volunteer projects and apply resulting savings toward other unmet needs.

In order to remain flexible, the preliminary project list includes alternative projects in the

event considerable savings are realized by the competitive bid process or volunteer projects. Staff will continue to refine the project list with emphasis on health and safety issues first, and provide the council and community with a progress report from time to time.

**FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS:**

There are several changes in this year's L&L budget. These changes and impacts are discussed in the following paragraphs. Additionally, the L&L budget format has been reorganized to clearly distinguish between street related maintenance, bond financing and park programs.

**Bonding for Park Improvements**

In accordance with City Council action on April 2, 1996, the proposed L&L budget includes a new category for bond financing of park improvements. The total bond issue will generate approximately \$5.8 million in funding and will require \$600,000 annually for 20 years to pay the bond debt service (see Exhibit B). Bond proceeds will be used for park and recreation improvements which will include playground and wading pool rehabilitation/construction. A preliminary list of projects is attached as Exhibit C. Bond Counsel has advised that at least 85% of bond proceeds must be expended on the projects within three years of bond issuance. It is anticipated that bonds will be sold in October 1996.

**Fund Balance**

Each year the estimated L&L fund surplus/deficit is declared and shown as part of the proposed budget for the following year. The estimated fund balance for June 30, 1996 is a surplus of \$790,000. Use of this year's fund balance has been applied to the following programs.

PROGRAM	FUNDING
Safety Light Enhancement Program	\$ 150,000
Neighborhood Street Light Replacement Program	318,000
Median and Soundwall Area Landscaping	162,000
Park Maintenance	160,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$790,000</b>

The portion of fund balance allocated to the Neighborhood Street Light Replacement Program is directly related to the funding received from homeowners currently paying for neighborhood street lights as determined by a parcel/unit count audit.

**A. Safety Lighting Enhancement Program**

Safety lights are street lights installed at street intersections and along certain major streets. The proposed funding of \$150,000 will be used to add new safety lighting

throughout the City. We anticipate that safety lighting would be installed independently or as part of a neighborhood street lighting program. Information regarding this program will be included in the overall street lighting report which will be presented to council within six months.

**B. Neighborhood Street Light Replacement Program**

The current Citywide L&L provides funding for routine maintenance and energy costs. It does not provide funding for replacement of these lights as they deteriorate. In March, staff reported to Council on the current street lighting situation and the need to implement a street light replacement program. As you recall, city street lights range in age from 1 to 60+ years. The oldest lights are high voltage "series circuit" style lights. These lights (approximately 470) pose a serious health and safety concern and need to be replaced immediately. They operate on voltages up to 4,000 volts. In the event of series system failure or damage to the lighting components, there is a potential for high voltage releases which can cause property damage or personal injury. The deteriorated condition of the series system and components increases the potential for unpredictable high voltage releases. The estimated cost to replace the series circuit lights is \$1.8 million.

The proposed L&L budget identifies \$423,887 for a Neighborhood Street Light Replacement Program (\$318,000 from fund balance plus \$105,887 from on-going revenues). It is important to note that this funding is accomplished with revenue generated specifically from homes in lighted neighborhoods who are paying the neighborhood street light maintenance component. Under this funding plan, the oldest city lights (series lighting) can be replaced within three years. Revenue from future L&L assessments will continue to accumulate in the replacement fund and provide funding to replace lights throughout the city as they deteriorate. The proposed L&L budget includes funding of the street light replacement program without an increase in assessment rates. Only parcels in neighborhoods with street lights will pay into the Neighborhood Street Light Replacement Program. A report will be presented to Council within six months on a street light replacement program as well as the program being developed with SMUD for installation of SMUD street lights in some neighborhoods without lights.

During the council meeting of May 7, an inquiry was made as to whether revenue received for the neighborhood street light component could be used instead for median maintenance. It is important to keep in mind that the L&L fees are based on cost of services and benefit received from those services. Only those people who have residential parcels in a lighted neighborhood pay this fee component. Contrary, both residential and non-residential parcels benefit from street medians and therefore all parcels pay the median fee component. Therefore, it is recommended that the revenue received from residential parcels as part of the neighborhood street light component be applied only to the neighborhood street light maintenance and replacement programs as shown in the proposed budget.

Also on May 7, 1996 a question was asked about a past program which supplied

paint to property owners for painting street light poles. In 1993, there were several instances where neighborhood associations contacted the Fleet and Facility Management Division offering to help with painting of street light poles. In these instances, the City initially provided paint and authorized the paint supplier to sell the paint to the neighborhood as the particular paint used could only be purchased by an authorized agency. The City then gave the neighborhood association authorization to paint their neighborhood street light poles, all of which could be accessed with a standard six foot ladder.

There have been no further requests of this nature to-date. However, the Fleet and Facility Management Division is open to establishing a program whereby neighborhood associations and the City collaboratively address painting of street light poles. Currently, the Division is in the process of contracting out for a private contractor to paint poles.

#### **C. Median & Soundwall Area Landscaping Program**

In response to council comments during the L&L report on March, 19, 1996, \$211,751 (of which \$162,000 comes from fund balance, the remainder from the reduction in contingency) has been allocated for median and soundwall area landscaping projects. The funds can be used for projects prioritized in the Transportation Programming Guide as adopted by City Council in March. The proposed funding will permit completion of approximately two projects on the approved priority list.

#### **D. Park Maintenance**

The \$160,000 of fund balance applied to Park Maintenance is the same amount as applied in FY 1995/96. These funds are used to support a youth employment program which provides eight work crews (one per council district) comprised of eight youths per crew. This program is modeled after the highly successful "Workreation" program and likewise is coordinated by the same leadership. This year staff will work closely with councilmembers to identify work projects suitable for the youth crews within each council district.

#### **Other Changes to L&L Program**

The L&L budget category of Street Related Operations and Maintenance contains the tree maintenance function for street trees. Street tree maintenance is performed on city trees within the city right-of-way (e.g. easements, park strips, medians, transportation corridors). Maintenance includes the general care of trees (e.g. trimming, pest control, watering in medians, inspection and evaluation), safety clearance, elimination of dangerous limbs and the replacement of city trees. The maintenance of park trees remains in the Park Maintenance and Graffiti Abatement category.

This year's L&L Engineer's Report reflects two changes with respect to tree maintenance. First, the tree trimming function and tree maintenance functions have been combined to

better reflect operations of the Tree Services Division of Neighborhood Services.

Second, L&L funding for street tree maintenance has been increased by \$2,153,219 for a total of \$2,646,250. This amount represents the portion (86%) of the total Tree Services Division budget specifically related to street trees. The balance of their budget (\$420,150) is expended on the maintenance of park trees and is not funded by the proposed L&L budget.

The \$2,153,219 increase in L&L funding of street tree maintenance is offset by the same decrease in L&L funding for park maintenance. General Fund money will be redirected from tree maintenance to park maintenance so that the current level of service for both functions is maintained. This change in funding is accomplished without a change in L&L assessment rates.

**Recommended Assessments:**

Implementation of the proposed budget as shown on Exhibit A will require levy of the following assessments for FY 1996/97. These assessments are the same as FY 1995/96.

**RECOMMENDED ANNUAL ASSESSMENTS FOR FY 1996/97**

Assessment Components	Single Family Residence	Multi-Family Residence	Business 0-25,000 S.F.	Business 25,001-100,000 S.F.	Business 100,001 or more S.F.	Church
<b><u>Street Related O&amp;M:</u></b> Safety and Neighborhood Lighting Maintenance & Replacement Program, Median Maintenance & Construction, Tree Maintenance						
No Lights:	\$ 18.98	\$ 13.00	\$ 81.62	\$ 408.09	\$848.83	\$ 23.31
Lights:	38.55	26.70				
<b><u>Bonded Indebtedness:</u></b> CIP-Park Improvements	\$ 4.01	\$ 2.73	\$ 6.01	\$ 30.07	\$ 62.54	\$ 1.72
<b><u>Park Facilities &amp; Related O&amp;M:</u></b> Park Maintenance, Youth Employment Program, Graffiti Abatement	\$ 10.89	\$ 7.41	\$ 19.10	\$ 95.50	\$ 198.65	\$ 5.46
<b>Total Assessment Per Year:</b>						
No Lights:	\$33.88	\$23.14	\$106.73	\$533.66	\$1,110.02	\$30.49
Lights:	\$53.45	\$36.84				

**ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS:**

Council action in adopting these resolutions is exempt from CEQA because it will have no conceivable effect on the physical environment and is therefore not within the definition of a CEQA project.

**POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:**

These annual proceedings are being conducted in accordance with the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 as set forth in Section 22500 of the California Streets and Highway's Code.

Public notice of the hearing dates, proposed assessments and bonding was accomplished by publication on May 17, 1996.

**MBE/WBE:**

None. No goods or services are being purchased.

Respectfully submitted,

*Karen Shipley*  
for: Gary Alm  
Manager, Real Estate Services & Special Districts

**RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:**

*William H. Edgar*  
for: WILLIAM H. EDGAR  
City Manager

**Approved:**

*Michael Kashiwagi*  
Michael Kashiwagi  
Director of Public Works

Attachments

FILE:96080

**EXHIBIT A**  
**PROPOSED FY 1996/97 BUDGET FOR**  
**CITYWIDE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING DISTRICT**  
(No increase in assessments)

SERVICE	ACTUAL BUDGET FY 1995/96	PROPOSED BUDGET FY 1996/97
<b>Street Related Operations &amp; Maintenance:</b>		
Safety Lighting	\$ 425,057	\$ 425,057
CIP - Safety Lighting Enhancement Program <sup>(1)</sup>	0	150,000
Median Maintenance	355,511	355,511
CIP - Median & Soundwall Area Landscaping <sup>(1)</sup>	0	211,751
Tree Maintenance (Residential & Non-residential)	493,031	2,646,250
Neighborhood Street Lighting Maintenance	1,766,002	1,766,002
CIP - Neighborhood Street Lighting Replacement Program <sup>(1)</sup>	0	318,000
CIP - Neighborhood Street Lighting Replacement Program <sup>(2)</sup>	0	105,887
Administration & Billing <sup>(3)</sup>	39,440	67,443
Contingency	<u>248,835</u>	<u>99,530</u>
<b>SUBTOTAL STREET RELATED O&amp;M</b>	<b>\$3,327,876</b>	<b>\$6,145,431</b>
<b>Bonded Indebtedness:</b>		
Park Improvements (bonded portion) <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 0	\$ 600,000
Administration & Billing	<u>0</u>	<u>6,716</u>
<b>SUBTOTAL BONDED INDEBTEDNESS</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 606,716</b>
<b>Park Maintenance &amp; Improvements and Graffiti Abatement:</b>		
Park Maintenance	\$3,496,780	\$1,043,561
CIP - Park Improvements (on-going improv)	908,000	608,000
Graffiti Abatement	74,200	74,200
Administration & Billing	55,596	20,431
Contingency	<u>150,000</u>	<u>100,470</u>
<b>SUBTOTAL PARK MAINT., IMPROV. &amp; GRAFFITI</b>	<b>\$4,684,576</b>	<b>\$1,846,662</b>
<b>TOTAL L&amp;L BUDGET</b>	<b>\$8,012,452</b>	<b>\$8,598,809</b>
Estimated Fiscal Year-End Fund Balance:	\$ -260,000	\$ -790,000
<b>ASSESSED TO PROPERTY OWNERS:</b>	<b>\$7,752,452</b>	<b>\$7,808,809</b>
Add'l levy due to increased # of parcels:	\$ <u>56,357</u>	
<b>ACTUAL TOTAL ASSESSMENTS:</b>	<b>\$7,808,809</b>	

<sup>(1)</sup> Indicates CIP projects using one-time fund balance money.

<sup>(2)</sup> Indicates on-going revenue based on audit of parcel counts.

<sup>(3)</sup> Administration and billing costs are proportional in each category to the total budget.

<sup>(4)</sup> Represents annual amount necessary for 20-year bond debt service.

**EXHIBIT B**

**ESTIMATED BOND ISSUE FOR PARK IMPROVEMENTS**

<b>Available for Park Improvements</b>	<b>\$5,845,000</b>
<b>Issuance Costs including:</b>	<b>130,560</b>
<b>Administration &amp; Billing</b>	
<b>Bond Counsel</b>	
<b>Special District Information Reporting System</b>	
<b>Official Statement</b>	
<b>Bond printing</b>	
<b>Reserve Account</b>	<b>322,960</b>
<b>Bond Discount</b>	<b><u>161,480</u></b>
<b>TOTAL BOND ISSUE</b>	<b>\$6,460,000</b>

NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES DEPARTMENT  
**PARK IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS**  
**PROPOSED PROJECTS**

REVISED: JUNE 10, 1998

**PLANNING AREA 1**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
1	1	DOS RIOS SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
4	1	FREMONT PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
3	1	WASHINGTON PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
1	1	MUIR PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$75,000.00
1	1	ZAPATA PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
4	1	FREMONT PARK	NEW WADING POOL AND FENCING	\$62,500.00
4	1	SOUTHSIDE PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	LAKE RENOVATION	\$48,000.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	TENNIS COURT RENOVATION	\$200,000.00
4	1	SOUTHSIDE PARK	LAKE AND ISLAND RENOVATION	\$0.00
4	1	SOUTHSIDE PARK	SECURITY LIGHTING	\$0.00
4	1	SOUTHSIDE PARK	AMPITHEATRE MURAL RESTORATION	\$0.00
3	1	GRANT PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT	\$4,500.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	PURCHASE DECOMPOSE GRANITE FOR JOGGING TRAIL	\$4,000.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	PICNIC TABLE & GRILLS TO INSTALL AT PLAY AREA	\$5,000.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	PICNIC TABLE & GRILLS TO INSTALL NEAR ROSE GARDEN	\$5,000.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	WALKWAY CONSTRUCTION FROM ROSE GARDEN TO LAKE	\$18,000.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	WALKWAY REPAIRS AT CLUNIE BUILDING	\$6,500.00
3	1	McKINLEY PARK	ROSE ARBOR REPLACEMENT	\$20,000.00
1	1	PLAZA PARK	PICNIC TABLE REPLACEMENT	\$20,000.00
4	1	ROOSEVELT PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT (2)	\$8,000.00
3	1	WINN PARK	DAMAGED WALKWAY REPLACEMENT	\$15,000.00
1,3,4	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
1,3,4	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
1,3,4	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 1 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$896,500.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 2**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
5	3	CURTIS PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
5	2	HOLLYWOOD SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
4	2	LAND PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
5	3	SIERRA SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
4	2	LAND PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
5	3	CURTIS PARK	WALKWAY REPAIR AND WIDENING	\$8,000.00
5	3	CURTIS PARK	TENNIS COURT RESURFACING	\$12,000.00
4	2	LAND PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENTS TO PURCHASE (7)	\$12,000.00
4	2	LAND PARK	AUTOMATIC IRRIGATION SYSTEM @ ROCK GARDEN	\$30,000.00

4	2	LAND PARK	AUTOMATIC IRRIGATION SYSTEM @ BEHING & ALONG ZOO	\$25,000.00
4	2	LAND PARK	FAIRYTALE TOWN PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$30,000.00
4	2	LAND PARK	TREE TRIMMING AND REMOVAL	\$10,000.00
5	3	SIERRA II PARK	IRRIGATION BOOSTER PUMP TO INSTALL	\$10,000.00
4,5	2,3	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
4,5	2,3	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
4,5	2,3	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
			<b>PLANNING AREA 2 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$544,500.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 3**

CNCL. DIST.	NEIGH. AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
7	2	CHARTER POINT PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
7	2	PARKWAY OAKS PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
7	2	PORTUGUESE COMMUNITY PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
4	2	REICHMUTH PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
7	2	RENFREE PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
7	2	SEYMOUR PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
7	2	SEYMOUR PARK (ZACHARIAS SECTION)	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
8	2	Z'BERG PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
7	2	LEWIS PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN TO REPLACE	\$4,500.00
4	2	REICHMUTH PARK	CONCRETE WALKWAY REPAIRS @ RESTROOM	\$6,000.00
4,7	2	SEYMOUR PARK	DAMAGED ASPHALT WALKWAY REPLACEMENT	\$50,000.00
4,7	2	SEYMOUR PARK	DAMAGED ASPHALT WALKWAY REPLACEMENT	\$20,000.00
4,7	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
4,7	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
4,7	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
			<b>PLANNING AREA 3 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$705,500.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 4**

CNCL. DIST.	NEIGH. AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
6	3	BAER PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
6	3	CAMELLIA SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
6	3	FLORIN RESERVOIR PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
7	2	HITE PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
8	2	MAPLE SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
8	2	NIELSEN PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
6	3	SIM PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
8	2	POLLOCK RANCH PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
7	2	VALLEY HI PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
7	2	WOOD PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
6	3	SIM PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
6	3	FLORIN RESERVOIR PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT @ PLAY AREA	\$4,500.00
8		MESA GRANDE PARK	WOOD FIBER/ RUBBER MATS IN ADVENTURE AREA	\$12,000.00
8		MESA GRANDE PARK	JOGGING TRAIL MOWSTRIP TO INSTALL	\$12,000.00
8		MESA GRANDE PARK	JOGGING TRAIL DECOMPOSED GRANITE TOPDRESS	\$10,000.00

8		REITH PARK	JOGGING TRAIL DECOMPOSED GRANITE TOPDRESS	\$10,000.00
6		SIM PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT	\$4,500.00
7		VALLEY HI PARK	DAMAGED CONCRETE WALKWAY REPAIRS	\$10,000.00
6,7,8	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
6,7,8	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
6,7,8	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 4 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$970,500.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 5**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
5	3	COLOMA COMMUNITY CENTER	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$55,000.00
5	3	COLONIAL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$70,000.00
5	3	OAK PARK COMMUNITY CENTER	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
5	3	OAK RIDGE SCHOOL	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
5	3	TEMPLE AVENUE PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$70,000.00
6	3	WARREN PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
5	3	COLONIAL PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
5	3	LAWRENCE PARK	NEW WADING POOL AND FENCING	\$62,500.00
5	3	McCLATCHY PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
6	3	TAHOE PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
6	3	WARREN PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
5	3	COLONIAL PARK	CONCRETE WALKWAY REPAIRS	\$5,000.00
5	3	COLONIAL PARK	WOOD FIBER AND SAND AREA INSTALLATION	\$12,000.00
5	3	LAWRENCE PARK	RUBBER MATS UNDER SWINGS & WOOD FIBER REPLENIS	\$4,500.00
5	3	McCLATCHY PARK	WALKWAY WIDENING	\$30,000.00
5	3	OAK PARK	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$50,000.00
5	3	OAK PARK	BASKETBALL COURT COLOR COAT RESURFACING	\$7,000.00
5	3	OAK PARK	EXPOSED AGGREGATE CONCRETE REPLACEMENT	\$6,000.00
6	3	TAHOE PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT (3)	\$12,000.00
6	3	TAHOE PARK	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$25,000.00
5,6	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
5,6	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
5,6	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 5 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$879,000.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 6**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
3	1	EAST LAWN CHILDREN'S PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
3	1	EAST PORTAL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
6	1	GLENBROOK PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
3	1	HENSCHER PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
6	1	OKI PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
3	1	GARDEN AND ART CENTER	JOGGING TRAIL CONSTRUCTION	\$35,000.00
6	1	OKI PARK	ASPHALT WALKWAY REPLACEMENT	\$15,000.00
6	1	OKI PARK	IRRIGATION SYSTEM TO INSTALL NEXT TO WADING POOL	\$6,000.00
3,6	1	VARIOUS PARK	PORTABLE PICNIC TABLES TO PURCHASE	\$6,000.00

3,6	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
3,6	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
3,6	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 6 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$427,000.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 7**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
3	1	UNIVERSITY PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
3	4	BABCOCK SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
2	4	DEL PASO PARK (PICNIC AREA)	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
2	4	DEL PASO PARK (SOFTBALL COMPLEX)	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
2	4	SACRAMENTO SOFTBALL COMPLEX	VARIOUS IMPROVEMENTS	\$0.00
2	4	DEL PASO PARK	HORSE TRAIL BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
2	4	DEL PASO PARK	PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
2	4	RENFREE FIELD	PARKING LOT RENOVATION	\$35,000.00
2	4	RENFREE FIELD	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT (2)	\$8,000.00
2	4	RENFREE FIELD	BASEBALL OVERTHROW FENCE FABRIC TO REPLACE	\$4,500.00
3	1	UNIVERSITY PARK	PARK CURB INSTALLATION	\$8,000.00
2,3	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
2,3	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
2,3	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 7 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$460,500.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 8**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
2	4	MAMA MARKS PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
2	4	NORTH POINT PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
2	4	REA PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
2	4	RICHARDSON VILLAGE PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
2	4	ROBLA SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
2	4	ROBERTSON COMMUNITY CENTER	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
2	4	STRAWBERRY MANOR PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
2	4	WOODLAKE PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
2	4	HAGGINWOOD PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
2	4	MAMA MARKS PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
2	4	NO. SAC. DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION	SOCCER FIELD	\$0.00
2	4	BELL AVENUE SCHOOL PARK	PARK IMPROVEMENTS	\$0.00
2	4	NORTH SACRAMENTO PARKS	SAND VOLLEYBALL COURTS	\$0.00
2	4	DEL PASO HEIGHTS SCHOOL PARK	IRRIGATION CONTROLLER & ENCLOSURE REPLACEMENT	\$5,000.00
2	4	DEL PASO HEIGHTS SCHOOL PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT	\$4,500.00
2	4	HAGGINWOOD PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT (2)	\$8,000.00
2	4	HAGGINWOOD PARK	PICNIC AREA IMPROVMENTS	\$5,000.00
2	4	NORTH AVENUE SCHOOL PARK	AUTOMATE IRRIGATION SYSTEM (8 STATION)	\$8,000.00
2	4	WOODLAKE PARK	TENNIS COURT RESURFACING (1)	\$8,000.00
2	4	JOHNSON PARK	TENNIS COURT RESURFACING (2)	\$12,000.00

2	4	JOHNSON PARK	RUBBER MATS UNDER SWINGS & WOOD FIBER REPLENISH	\$4,500.00
2	4	HAGGINWOOD PARK	RUBBER MATS UNDER SWINGS & WOOD FIBER REPLENISH	\$4,500.00
2	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
2	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
2	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
2	1	NORTH SACRAMENTO PARK SITE	FRISBEE GOLF COURSE	\$0.00
2	1	NORTH SACRAMENTO PARK SITE	BMX PARK	\$0.00
2	1	NORTH SACRAMENTO PARK SITE	ROLLERBLADE SKATE PARK	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 8 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$814,500.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 9**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
1	4	CHUCKWAGON PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
1	4	GARDENLAND PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
1	4	GARDEN VALLEY SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
1	4	SMYTHE SCHOOL	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
1	4	STRAUCH SCHOOL	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$60,000.00
1	4	NATOMAS OAKS PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$75,000.00
1	4	GARDENLAND PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
1	4	NORTHGATE PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
1	4	JEFFERSON PARK	SHADE STRUCTURE AND WATER FEATURE	\$0.00
1	4	W. EL CAMINO & TRUXEL PARK SITE	PARK LANDSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS	\$0.00
1	4	GARDENLAND PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN INSTALLATION	\$5,500.00
1	4	NATOMAS OAKS PARK	DECOMPOSED GRANITE APRON @ SHADE STRUCTURE	\$5,000.00
1	4	NINOS PARK	RUBBER MATS UNDER SWINGS & WOOD FIBER REPLENISH	\$4,500.00
1	4	NORTHGATE PARK	RUBBER MATS UNDER SWINGS & WOOD FIBER REPLENISH	\$4,500.00
1	4	NINOS PARKWAY	PARKWAY DEVELOPMENT	\$0.00
1	4	UEDA PARKWAY	PARKWAY DEVELOPMENT	\$0.00
1	4	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
1	4	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
1	4	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 9 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$544,500.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 10**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
1	4	VARIOUS PARKS	ANTICIPATED PARK IMPROVEMENTS	\$0.00
<b>PLANNING AREA 10 TOTAL</b>				<b>\$0.00</b>

**PLANNING AREA 11**

CNCL.	NEIGH.			
DIST.	AREA	PARK	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	BUDGET
8	2	ANTHONY SCHOOL PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
4	2	ARGONAÛT PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00

Exhibit C continued

8	2	CABRILLO PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
8	2	CHORLEY PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
8	2	FREEPORT PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
8	2	HOPKINS PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
8	2	KEMBLE PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$80,000.00
4	2	MANGAN PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$65,000.00
8	2	MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$50,000.00
8	2	MEADOWVIEW PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
5	2	WOODBINE PARK	PLAY EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT	\$100,000.00
8	2	CHORLEY PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
8	2	HOPKINS PARK	WADING POOL AND FENCING REHABILITATION	\$62,500.00
4	2	ARGONAUT PARK	DRINKING FOUNTAIN REPLACEMENT	\$4,500.00
4	2	ARGONAUT PARK	SHADE STRUCTURE TO CONSTRUCT	\$8,000.00
8	2	FREEPORT PARK	DAMAGED WALKWAY REPLACEMENT	\$12,000.00
8	2	HOPKINS PARK	IRRIGATION BOOSTER PUMP TO INSTALL	\$10,000.00
8	2	MARTIN L. KING JR. PARK	WOOD FIBER TINSTALLATION	\$7,500.00
4	2	MANGAN PARK	BASEBALL BACKSTOP REMOVAL AND SODDING	\$10,000.00
8	2	MEADOWVIEW PARK	DAMAGED WALKWAY REMOVAL AND SODDING	\$5,000.00
5	2	WOODBINE PARK	ASPHALT WALKWAY REREPLACEMENT	\$15,000.00
4,8	1	VARIOUS PARKS	RESTROOM RENOVATION	\$0.00
4,8	1	VARIOUS PARKS	PARKING LOT RESURFACING	\$0.00
4,8	1	VARIOUS PARKS	BALL DIAMOND AND SOCCER FIELD RENOVATION	\$0.00
			<b>PLANNING AREA 11 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$1,137,000.00</b>
			<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>\$7,379,500.00</b>

**NOTE:**

WADING POOL REHABILITATION AND NEW CONSTRUCTION MAY INCLUDE DECORATIVE TILE AND POOL MURALS.

FILE: BONDING.WK4  
DISC:L&L STATUS

# RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF \_\_\_\_\_

## RESOLUTION OVERRULING PROTESTS CITYWIDE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FOR FY 1996/97

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

On June 25, 1996, the City Council opened a public hearing on the Resolution of Intention and the Engineer's Annual Report for Citywide Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District, City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California.

At or before the time set for the hearing, certain interested persons made protests or objections to the proposed maintenance, the extent of the assessment district, the proposed assessment or the proposed bonding.

The City Council hereby overrules each of these protests, written or oral.

The City Council finds that the protest against the proposed maintenance, the extent of the assessment district, the proposed assessment or the proposed bonding (including all written protests not withdrawn in writing before the conclusion of the protest hearing) is made by the owners of less than one-half of the area of the land to be assessed for the maintenance.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

---

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE ADOPTED: \_\_\_\_\_

# RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF \_\_\_\_\_

## RESOLUTION CONFIRMING DIAGRAM AND ASSESSMENT AND LEVYING ASSESSMENT FOR CITYWIDE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ASSESSMENT DISTRICT FOR FY 1996/97

(Pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972)

### BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

1. Pursuant to Chapter 3 of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, the City Council directed the Director of Public Works as the Engineer of Work for the Citywide Landscaping and Lighting Assessment District, to prepare and file an Annual Report for the Fiscal Year 1996/97.
2. The Engineer of Work filed the Annual Report on May 7, 1996, and the City Council adopted its Resolution of Intention to levy and collect assessments within the assessment district for Fiscal Year 1996/97 and set public hearing dates for June 18, 1996, and June 25, 1996, in the meeting place of the City Council, City Hall, 915 "I" Street, Second Floor, Sacramento, California. Notice of the hearing was given in the time and manner required by law.
3. At the public hearing, the City Council afforded to every interested person an opportunity to make a protest to the Annual Report either in writing or orally, and the City Council has considered each protest.
4. The City Council hereby confirms the diagram and assessment as set forth in the Annual Report of the Engineer of Work and hereby levies the assessment set forth therein for Fiscal Year 1996/97.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE ADOPTED: \_\_\_\_\_

**RESOLUTION NO.**

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF \_\_\_\_\_

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE FY 1996/97 BUDGET  
FOR ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 2  
(Citywide Landscaping and Lighting District,  
Pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972)**

**THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO RESOLVES:**

The Director of Finance is hereby authorized to adjust the 1996/97 budget to reflect the operating transfers from the Landscaping and Lighting Fund to City Operating Budgets in accordance with the Engineer's Report.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

---

**FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY**

RESOLUTION NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE ADOPTED: \_\_\_\_\_

10.1

**Greater Sacramento Softball Association  
Sacramento Metropolitan Officials Association  
812 Tenth Street, Suite 200  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
916-447-3081 / 916-264-8366 Fax**

Metro Area Sacramento, Yolo, Southern Placer, Western El Dorado  
Over 4,400 Teams = 52,800 Players  
Over 700 Umpires  
Over 3500 People weekly not including Weekend Tournament Play

1. 1978 First National @ Elk Grove  
1983 Second National @ Elk Grove  
2 in 5 Years
2. 1987 Sacramento Softball Complex Built
3. 1988 Coed National  
10 Nationals @ Complex 1988-1995  
10 in 8 Years
4. 1994 Over 1 Million in Visitor Revenue = Over 50,000 in Hotel Tax
5. 1995 Over 1.5 Million in Visitor Revenue = Over 75,000 in Hotel Tax  
2 National Tournaments
6. 1996 Olympic Team Inaugural Stop  
NCAA Division I Tournament - CSUS AT+T Wireless Classic  
CIF - Sac-Joaquin Section High School Tournament  
JC Tournament - Sac'to City - 1996 State Champions, 1992, 1988  
49ers/Raiders Charity Tournament - Casa Roble HS  
Coors Challenge - \$100,000  
Men's "A" National Tournament ( 11 in 9 Years)  
Sister's of Mercy Charity Tournament  
Sac'to Corporate Games  
League Championship Series # 10

**Greater Sacramento Softball Association**  
**Sacramento Metropolitan Officials Association**  
812 Tenth Street, Suite 200  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
916-447-3081 / 916-264-8366 Fax

June 18, 1996

Ideas for the Capital Improvement Project  
Sacramento Softball Complex

**1. Water Problems**

- a. Due to the Complex being at the end of the line for water from the Haggin Oaks Golf Course the pressure is less than adequate for normal maintenance purposes.
- b. The Restaurant, Office, and Restrooms are on the same two inch incoming water line, causing problems if more than one area uses water at the same time.
- c. Sanitation and health problems occur because when the lines are being used by more than one area, the system does not have enough pressure to flush the toilets.
- c. Sprinklers lines are old, ineffective, and too small, creating grass problems.

**Possible Solutions**

- a. Install a new dedicated well or a water storage facility, i.e. tank, to help keep the pressure up.
- b. Run additional lines for water usage to each area, or enlarge present lines used, in addition to adding a storage facility.
- c. Replace all undersize water lines.

**2. Score Booths Problems**

- a. In the Master Plan, dated approximately 1986, it called for Score Booths on all four fields with storage space below. This was also designed to house all of the electrical breaker boxes for each field. At this time only one (1) field has a score booth and in order to create additional storage space the Greater Sacramento Softball Association has donated two (2) forty foot storage containers to be used by the Complex. This helps with part of the long term storage but not with the day to day usage for each diamond. This also does not solve the problem of the space needed for scorekeepers for leagues and events. They currently use folding card tables and fold up chairs for the duration of their duties which sometimes is up to four on five hours at a time exposed to the elements of mother nature.

- b. The Master Plan called for an intercom system to be placed in each dugout so that communication problems with the score booth could be kept to a minimum. Currently each manager or umpire has to either physically go to the scorekeepers or yell at them from a distance to communicate a problem or ask for additional information in solving a problem.
- c. No phone lines for emergencies, or for media coverage.

#### **Possible Solutions**

- a. Build three (3) additional score booths with air conditioning, as per the original specifications called for in the original Master Plan. Retrofit the existing booth to meet current specifications.
- b. Install a phone system that links the dugouts to the score booths that also gives the booths the ability to talk with the Office, Maintenance, and the Restaurant.
- c. Install phones in all score booths to help facilitate more media coverage and for usage in emergency situations.

### **3. Parking Lot Problems**

- a. Inadequate lighting in the parking lot is creating a possible safety hazard and possible loss of revenue due to teams not returning because of the feeling that the parking lot is not safe.
- b. Due to the inadequate number of parking spaces available many patrons park in the unlit, unpaved overflow lot.

#### **Possible Solutions**

- a. Install additional lights on the rear of the Volleyball Light Towers facing the parking lot for additional lighting. Also, change the style and type of light currently used in the parking lot to a higher output, more efficient type.

### **4. Seating Problems**

- a. The Complex does not have enough seating for the many special events that it hosts. It also provides no covered seating as does many other similar facilities across the nation.

#### **Possible Solutions**

- a. Arrange access to temporary additional seating from within the city's special events division or purchase additional seating to be placed at the complex.
- b. Construct a permanent solid overhang on all four diamonds or construct an overhang with a shade screen (netting) on all four diamonds.

104

**F a c s i m i l e**  
T R A N S M I T T A L

**to:** Councilman Sam Pannell  
**fax #:** (916) 264-7680  
**re:** Landscape & Lighting funds proposal from North Laguna Creek  
**date:** June 18, 1996  
**pages:** 2, including this cover sheet.

Attached please find a copy of a letter outlining our request for a portion of the funds available from the Citywide Landscape & Lighting District. I understand this item will be discussed by the Council at tonight's meeting, and I will be available to appear on behalf of the North Laguna Creek Neighborhood Association.

Please call me if you want to talk about our request prior to the meeting tonight. Sue Earl-Lynn has informed me about your request that we not "whine" in our presentation, and I don't intend to!

As always, thank very much for all your help and support. I look forward to seeing you tonight as well as on Saturday at Park Day!

From the desk of...

Steve Caruso  
President  
North Laguna Creek Neighborhood Association  
P.O. Box 23-33-75  
Sacramento, CA 95823

(916) 422-4426 or (916) 391-7278  
Fax (916) 923-3872 or (916) 422-4426

# NORTH LAGUNA CREEK NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION

June 18, 1996

Sam Pannell, Member  
Sacramento City Council  
915 I Street, Room 205  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Sam,

This letter serves to formally request the City Council to allocate a portion of the available Citywide Landscape & Lighting District funds for three much-needed additions to the North Laguna Creek Community Park.

First, we would appreciate an allocation of **\$100,000** for the construction of a restroom facility for the 22-acre park. Currently, there is no restroom facility at the site and, given the expected high usage anticipated at the park throughout the year, such a facility is greatly needed and would be much appreciated by the community. There have been plans to add a restroom in "phase two" of the park construction, but the immediate allocation of the funds requested in this letter would allow for an earlier construction as well as a much more complete facility.

Second, we would appreciate an allocation of **\$10,000** for the addition of a power source at the park near the corner of Jacinto Ave. and Center Parkway. Currently, the only power available is near the middle of the park. As you may know, the North Laguna Creek Neighborhood Association is sponsoring a year-round Farmers Market at the park beginning June 22 and, in order to accommodate several of the vendors invited to participate, we have found the need for a power source at this additional location. We anticipate that this Farmers Market will be exceptionally popular with residents of North Laguna Creek, south Sacramento, Pocket-Greenhaven and Elk Grove and, as such, will actually be a *revenue-generating* public facility and provide some funds back to the City of Sacramento.

Finally, we would appreciate an allocation of **\$80,000** for the construction of a 48-space parking lot at the park. As I mentioned above, usage at the park is expected to be relatively heavy -- community residents were using it *before* the grass was planted! -- and a parking lot would aid immensely in relieving congestion that will be caused by visitors parking along Jacinto Ave. and Center Parkway.

As always, thank you for your time and continued support of North Laguna Creek. Please don't hesitate to call me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Respectfully yours,



Steve Caruso  
President



P.O. Box 23-33-75, Sacramento, CA 95823-3127

**10.1**

**STAFF REPORT**

**IS THE ASSESSMENT FILE**

*Amended by Staff June 18, 1996*

**ATTACHMENT B**

**APPEAL OF SIERRA OAKS HOMEOWNERS vs. CITY OF SACRAMENTO PLANNING COMMISSION'S APPROVING A MITIGATION MONITORING PLAN, A SPECIAL PERMIT TO EXPAND AN EXISTING SCHOOL FROM 47,200± SQUARE FEET TO 79,910± SQUARE FEET AND ENROLLMENT FROM 422 STUDENTS TO 600 STUDENTS AND A LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT (MERGING THREE PARCELS INTO ONE) WITHIN THE STANDARD SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (R-1) AND AGRICULTURAL (A) ZONES (APN: 293-007-001, -002, & -009) (P94-025).**

**NOTICE OF DECISION AND FINDINGS OF FACT**

At the regular meeting of June 18, 1996 the City Council heard and considered evidence regarding a Negative Declaration, Mitigation Monitoring Plan, Lot Line Adjustment, and Rezone for an expansion of an existing private school (the Country Day School) to expand building square footage from 47,200± square feet to 79,910± square feet and student enrollment from 422 students to 600 for property located within the within the R-1 and A zones. Based on verbal and documentary evidence at said hearing, the Council denies the appeal and approves the following revised entitlements based upon the following findings of fact and subject to the following conditions:

- A. Negative Declaration (revised 5/28/96)
- B. Mitigation Monitoring Plan (revised 5/28/96)
- C. Special Permit (revised) for a 20-year phased Master Plan which would expand an existing private school from 47,200 square feet up to 71,140 square feet (with high school) or 63,140 square feet (without high school) on ±11.15 acres in the Single family Residential (R-1) and Agriculture (A) zones.
- D. Lot Line Adjustment to merge three parcels totalling ±11.15 acres into one parcel.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

- A. **Negative Declaration**
  - 1. The Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for the above identified project pursuant to the requirements of CEQA;
  - 2. The proposed Negative Declaration and comments received during the public review process were considered prior to action being taken on the project;

effects and impacts associated with and attributable to the School and its employees, students guests and invitees are maintained at or below the same general level of such effects and impacts that existed during the second semester of the 1995-1996 school year. The School shall be considered to comply with the condition if none of the following conditions occur:

- a. stacking on Latham Drive at the School driveways; provided that the occurrence of stacking on a single day or on an infrequent or sporadic basis during the course of a year shall not be considered a violation of this condition;
  - b. doubleparking on streets by School employees, students or parents picking up or dropping off School students; provided that doubleparking on a single day or on an infrequent or sporadic basis during the course of a year shall not be considered a violation of this condition;
  - c. a substantial increase in the site traffic during peak hours over and above the site traffic during peak hours measured or projected to occur with increased enrollment in the traffic study utilized in the preparation of the negative declaration, as measured by the number of trips in and out of the school driveways on Latham Drive; provided that such increase is accompanied by unreasonable and undue congestion along Latham Drive, Saratoga Circle, and/or in the vicinity of the School, *as shown on Exhibit F*. Congestion on a single day or on an infrequent or sporadic basis during the course of a year shall not be considered a violation of this condition. For further purposes of this provision, a substantial increase shall mean an increase of ten percent or more in the number of trips measured or projected to occur with increased enrollment during the morning and afternoon peak hours.
  - d. illegal on street vehicle operations attributable to School employees, students, parents of students, guests or invitees, including but not limited to parking or stopping that blocks private driveways; parking within the areas of round corners and/or crosswalks; parking in front of fire hydrants; parking that violates restrictions established by the City or state law; provided that the occurrence of such violations on an infrequent or sporadic basis during the course of a year shall not be considered a violation of this condition.
5. The Board of Trustees shall submit quarterly enrollment reports to the Planning Director and the neighborhood representatives of the Mitigation Monitoring Committee. These reports shall be submitted by October 15, January 15, April 15, and July 15 of each year. The reports shall reflect active enrollments for the school, from preschool through high school, on the last day of the previous quarter. Upon request, the School shall provide the City with reasonable access to School records to verify the figures set forth in the enrollment reports.
6. All conditions and mitigation measures for all previous phases shall be completed prior to proceeding to the next phase.

exiting or service/emergency vehicle access.

8. Restripe Latham Drive parking lot for 82 spaces.
9. Obtain special permit approval for 60 off-site parking spaces for students and employees with shuttle service to and from campus. The off-site lot shall be operated throughout the period in which development pursuant to the Special Permit occurs; provided that, as specified below, the off-site lot may be eliminated in Phase 3A upon the cessation of high school enrollment at the Latham Drive facility.

#### **Phase 1**

1. Expand the parent drop-off area (drop-off and stacking lanes) in Latham parking lot as shown on the Master Plan.
2. Add a standard fire hydrant at the southeast corner of the gymnasium - adjacent to new turn around area, ***or other alternative acceptable to the Fire Department.***

#### **Phase 2**

1. Construct the additional Latham parking lot spaces for students and drop-off (34 spaces) and delete 12 existing parking spaces.
2. Prior to adding the 545th student or the 145th high school student, the School shall have completed each and all of the following steps to relocate the high school to a new off-site location:
  - a. Acquisition of a school site that is suitable to accommodate the necessary buildings and facilities for a high school with a proposed enrollment of not less than two hundred students (200) students or the execution and recordation of an agreement to acquire such a site upon approval of the necessary land use entitlements to allow for a school at that site;
  - b. filing of a completed application, including the payment of all necessary and required processing fees, with the appropriate jurisdiction(s) to obtain the necessary entitlements to establish the high school; and
  - c. the commencement of the fund raising campaign to construct the high school.

Upon completion of the foregoing steps, and subject to all of the other conditions of this Permit, the school enrollment shall be allowed to exceed 544 students and 144