



10.1

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

OCT 1 1991

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

CO91-060

DEPARTMENT OF
PUBLIC WORKS

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

CITY HALL
ROOM 207
915 I STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA
95814-2673

October 1, 1991

916-449-5283

ADMINISTRATION
916-449-8747

FAX 916-449-5573

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02 (PNTD91) PUBLIC HEARING, CONTRACT AWARD (\$727,480) AND CHANGE ORDER AUTHORIZATION

LOCATION

Franklin Boulevard from 12th Avenue to Fruitridge Road, located in Council District #5.

SUMMARY

It is requested that the City Council hold the public hearing and adopt the attached resolutions, which approve the amended Engineer's Report, levy the assessments, initiate the 30-day cash collection period, and award the contract to R. C. Collet in the amount of \$727,480.

COMMITTEE ACTION

None.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council consider the following items:

1. Resolution overruling protests.
2. Amended Engineer's Report (may be obtained for review in the City Clerk's Office).
3. Resolution approving amended report and assessment and ordering improvements (initiation of 30-day collection period).
4. Resolution awarding construction contract.
5. Resolution authorizing change orders.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Franklin Boulevard Assessment District has a boundary that generally encompasses parcels fronting the east side of Franklin Boulevard from 12th Avenue to Fruitridge Road (see attached map). Proposed improvements include reconstruction and widening of the existing pavement section; construction of curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers; installation of street lights on the east side of Franklin Boulevard; and pavement resurfacing improvements on the west side. The project will be jointly funded by the City, and the owners of parcels of land within the district.

A petition for assessment proceedings has been distributed to the property owners. Currently, 66.2% of the owners have signed and returned the petition. A negative declaration for this project was filed with the County Clerk on April 29, 1991.

On September 16, 1991, the following bids were received:

R. C. Collet Inc.	\$727,480.00
Granite Construction Co.	779,801.00
Teichert Construction	805,368.30
R. G. W. Construction, Inc.	942,066.50

The low bid of \$727,480 is approximately 4% below the engineer's estimate. It is recommended that the contract be awarded to R. C. Collet, Inc., in the amount of \$727,480.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

This project will be financed by the property owners within the district and the City. The total cost of the project is \$1,186,066.42. The estimated total amount to be assessed to property owners is \$210,940.42 and is itemized as follows:

Construction Cost	\$ 727,480.00
Acquisition of Fire Alarm System	84,469.00
Contingency	58,198.40
Construction Staking and Inspection	43,648.80
Engineering and Project Management	244,642.00
Incidental Expenditures	<u>27,628.22</u>
Total Estimated Project Cost	\$1,186,066.42
City Contribution	<u>- \$ 987,782.42</u>
Project Cost Less Contributions	\$ 198,284.00

October 1, 1991
City Council
Franklin Boulevard
Page 3

Reserve Account	\$ <u>12,656.42</u>
Amount Assessed to Property Owners	\$ 210,940.42

The above expenditures are detailed in the amended Engineer's Report on file with the City Clerk. The City contribution will include funding from Measure A, Major Street Gas Tax, storm drainage programs, and capital grants sources and is currently budgeted under CIP TD91. Final budgeting recommendations will be made prior to the sale of bonds.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

The procedures under which this district is being formed are set forth in the California Streets and Highways Code. Specifically, Division 12, entitled, "Municipal Improvement Act of 1913," and Division 10 entitled, "Improvement Bond Act of 1915."


MBE/WBE

Plans and specifications were sent to 19 plan rooms and construction services organizations for publication and use by the construction industry of Northern California. There are 4 organizations on the distribution list that are directly involved with the MBE/WBE contractors. There were 20 plan holders for the project, one is a certified MBE/WBE contractor. No MBE/WBE bids were submitted.


Respectfully submitted,


Gary Alm
Supervising Engineer

Recommendation Approved:


Walter J. Slipe
City Manager

Approved:


Melvin H. Johnson
Director of Public Works

Contact for More Information:
Ronald Wicky, Special Districts Analyst
449-5628

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF:
October 1, 1991

N. Dee Lewis, Supervising Engineer
449-8223

MEMORANDUM OF PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONSIDERED BY THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA,
ON TUESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 1991, IN CONNECTION WITH
FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

Initial proceedings were taken on August 20, 1991, at which time the City Council approved the Boundary Map, Legal Services Agreement, Resolution of Intention; filed the Engineer's Report; accepted the report and set the hearing on the Resolution of Intention and the Engineer's Report for October 1, 1991; and approved the Resolution Calling for Construction Bids. Construction bids were received on September 17, 1991.

The following certificates and affidavits of publication are on file with the City Clerk:

- a. Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Improvement;
 - b. Affidavit of Publication of Notice Inviting Sealed Bids;
 - c. Certificate of Mailing Notice of Improvement;
 - d. Certificate of Posting Notice of Improvement; and
 - e. Certificate of Filing Boundary Map.
1. OPEN PUBLIC HEARING. This is the time set for the public hearing on the Resolution of Intention and the Engineer's Report.
 2. CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING. If, at the close of the hearing, the Council wishes to continue, the following items are to be considered:
 3. Resolution Overruling Protests. This resolution is to be passed.
 4. Amended Engineer's Report. This is to be filed. Please see additional instructions below.
 5. Resolution Amended Approving Report and Assessment and Ordering Improvement. This resolution is to be passed.
 6. Resolution Awarding Construction Contract. This resolution is to be passed. Please see additional instructions below.
 7. Notice of Award of Contract. The original is to be filed. Please see additional instructions below.
 8. Resolution Authorizing Change Orders. This resolution is to be passed.

ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CITY CLERK:

- A. Amended Engineer's Report. The original and three copies are to be marked filed and confirmed as of October 1, 1991.

Please keep the copies of the report in your file of proceedings until you receive further instructions from us regarding recordation.

- B. Extract of Public Works Contract Award. Please complete this form and file it with the State Department of Industrial Relations no later than October 6, 1991, keeping a copy for your file and returning two copies to the undersigned.
- C. Notice of Award of Contract. Please have this notice published once as soon as possible after the award of the contract by the City Council. Please have the newspaper provide you with three affidavits of publication - one for your file of proceedings and two to be returned to our office.
- D. Please provide our office with two certified copies of each resolution adopted.

STURGIS, NESS, BRUNSELL & SPERRY
a professional corporation

Philip D. Assaf

PDA:be
Enclosures

PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF
FRANKLIN BOULEVARD
ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SHEET 1 OF 2

- LEGEND:
- ① ASSESSMENT NUMBER
 - 002 LOT NUMBER
 - ASSESSMENT DISTRICT BOUNDARY
 - PROPERTY LINE

CITY'S MAP FILED STATEMENT

BEFORE ME, CLERK OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 19____,

VALENTINE A. DEBONO, CITY CLERK
 CITY OF SACRAMENTO

CITY'S MAP CERTIFICATE


I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE MAP SHOWING PROPOSED BOUNDARIES OF FRANKLIN BOULEVARD STREET ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02, CITY OF SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, WAS APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AT A PUBLIC HEARING HELD ON THE _____ DAY OF _____, 19____, BY HIS RESOLUTION NO. _____.

VALENTINE A. DEBONO, CITY CLERK
 CITY OF SACRAMENTO

COUNTY RECORDER'S FILED STATEMENT

BEFORE ME, _____ DAY OF _____, 19____, AT THE OFFICE OF _____, COUNTY RECORDER OF MAPS OF ASSESSMENT AND CERTAIN TAXING DISTRICTS AT PAGE _____ OF THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

 COUNTY RECORDER OF THE
 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA



SCALE: 1" = 100'

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

OCT 1 1991

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 91-768

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

RESOLUTION OVERRULING PROTESTS

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

The City Council of the City of Sacramento resolves:

On October 1, 1991, the City Council opened a public hearing on the resolution of intention and the engineer's report on the proposed improvement in Franklin Boulevard Assessment District No. 91-02, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California.

At or before the time set for hearing, certain interested persons made protests or objections to the proposed improvement, the extent of the assessment district or the proposed assessment.

The City Council hereby overrules each of these protests, written or oral.

The City Council finds that the protest against the proposed improvement (including all written protests not withdrawn in writing before the conclusion of the protest hearing) is made by the owners of less than one-half of the area of the land to be assessed for the improvement.

* * *

This Resolution was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California, this 1st day of October, 1991.

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

RESOLUTION NO. 91-769

OCT. 1 1991

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

ON DATE OF _____

RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDED REPORT AND ASSESSMENT
AND ORDERING IMPROVEMENT

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

The City Council of the City of Sacramento resolves:

This Council has taken a series of actions preliminary to ordering the improvement in Franklin Boulevard Assessment District No. 91-02, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California, and now makes the following findings and orders:

1. The Council adopted a map showing the boundaries of the land benefited by the proposed improvement. A copy of the boundary map was filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Sacramento in the Book of Maps of Assessment and Community Facilities Districts.

2. The Council adopted its Resolution of Intention to order the improvement described therein under the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913, and directed MELVIN H. JOHNSON, as the Engineer of Work for the assessment district, to prepare the report required by Section 10204 of the Streets and Highways Code.

The improvement is generally described as follows:

The construction of street improvements, to include clearing, grubbing, excavation, grading, pavement, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, driveways, drain leads, drop inlets, manholes, and street reconstruction; on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from the intersection of 14th Avenue to a point on Franklin Boulevard 300 feet, more or less, south of 14th Avenue; and on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and 16th Avenue to a point on Franklin Boulevard 230 feet, more or less, south of 24th Avenue; and on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from a point on Franklin Boulevard 170 feet, more or less, north of 26th Avenue to a point on Franklin Boulevard 230 feet, more or less, south of 27th Avenue; the installation of a street light and fire alarm system on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from the

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

intersection of Franklin Boulevard and 12th Avenue to the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and Fruitridge Road; and the construction of overlay pavement on Franklin Boulevard from the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and 12th Avenue to the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and Fruitridge Road.

3. The Engineer of Work filed the report as directed, and the City Council called a hearing on the report as required by Section 10301 of the Streets and Highways Code. Notice of the hearing was given by publication, by street posting and by mailing to affected property owners, all according to the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913. Affidavits of publication, posting and mailing were filed with the City Clerk.

4. At the time and place for which notice was given, the City Council conducted a public hearing and gave every interested person an opportunity to object to the proposed improvement, the extent of the assessment district, or the proposed assessment. Following the hearing, at the direction of the City Council the Engineer of Work filed an amended report.

5. The Council finds that written protests against the proposed improvement have not been made by owners representing more than one-half of the area of the land to be assessed for the improvement.

6. The documents and events described in paragraphs 1 to 4, inclusive, are stated here in tabular form, with their dates and, where appropriate, their numbers. All documents are now on file with the City Clerk.

<u>Document or Event</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u>
a. Resolution approving boundary map	08/20/91	91-616
b. Boundary map filed with County Recorder	08/21/91	
c. Resolution of Intention	08/20/91	91-618
d. Filing of Engineer's Report	08/20/91	
e. Resolution accepting Report	08/20/91	91-619
f. Certificate of Mailing Notice of Improvement	08/29/91	
g. Affidavit of publication of Notice of Improvement	08/23/91 & 08/30/91	
h. Certificate of posting Notice of Improvement	09/03/91	
i. Public hearing conducted	10/01/91	
j. Filing of Amended Engineer's Report	10/01/91	

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

7. The Council approves the Amended Engineer's Report and each component part of it, including each exhibit incorporated by reference in the report.

8. The Council finds that the Engineer of Work, in the Amended Engineer's Report has fairly and properly apportioned the cost of the improvement to each parcel of land in the assessment district in proportion to the estimated benefits to be received by each parcel, respectively, from the improvement. The Council hereby confirms and levies each individual assessment as stated in the Amended Engineer's Report.

9. This Council orders the improvement described in paragraph 2 and as detailed in the Amended Engineer's Report.

10. The Council finds that the total amount of the principal sum of all unpaid special assessments levied against the parcels proposed to be assessed, plus the principal amount of the special assessment proposed to be levied in the instant proceedings do not exceed one-half of the total value of the parcels proposed to be assessed.

11. Bonds representing unpaid assessments, and bearing interest at a rate not to exceed twelve percent (12%) per annum, will be issued in the manner provided by the Improvement Bond Act of 1915 (Division 10, Streets and Highways Code), and the last installment of the bonds shall mature not to exceed fourteen (14) years from the second day of September next succeeding twelve (12) months from their date.

12. According to Section 10603 of the Streets and Highways Code, the Council designates the Revenue Manager to collect and receive payment of the assessments. The property owners who elect to pay their assessments in cash before the issuance of improvement bonds will not be required to pay their pro rata share of the allowance for special reserve fund.

* * *

This Resolution was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California, this 1st day of October, 1991.

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

OCT 1 1991

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 91-770

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

RESOLUTION AWARDING CONTRACT

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

The City Council of the City of Sacramento resolves:

The City of Sacramento has received public bids for the construction of improvements in Franklin Boulevard Assessment District No. 91-02, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California.

The Engineer of Work has reviewed the bids and now recommends award of the construction contract to the lowest responsible bidder, R.C. COLLET, INC., whose bid price is \$727,480.00.

The City Council therefore awards the contract to that bidder. All other bids are rejected.

The City Council directs the City Clerk to publish a notice of award of contract.

The City Council authorizes and directs the Mayor and the City Clerk to sign the written contract for this construction when it is presented to them for signature, along with the surety bonds and insurance certificates required by the construction specifications. Said written contract will provide that the contractor agrees to comply with the provisions of Section 1770 of the Labor Code of the State of California, and particularly with Sections 1776 and 1777.5 thereof.

* * *

This Resolution was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California, this 1st day of October, 1991.

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

OCT 1 1991

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 91-771

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGE ORDERS

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

The City Council of the City of Sacramento resolves:

The City Council hereby authorizes the Director of Public Works to issue change orders for the work in Franklin Boulevard Assessment District No. 91-02, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California, under the following conditions:

1. To correct clerical and technical errors in the plans and specifications.
2. To modify the design of the improvement to accord with better engineering practice or to achieve economy of construction.
3. To adjust the design of the improvement to utility locations, soil conditions, or other conditions unknown or uncertain when plans were drawn.

Cost increases in change orders issued under this authority shall not aggregate more than the amount set aside for construction contingencies in the cost estimate approved by the City Council.

* * *

This Resolution was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California, this 1st day of October, 1991.

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _____

DATE ADOPTED: _____

NOTICE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

NOTICE:

On October 1, 1991, the City Council of the City of Sacramento awarded a contract for improvements in Franklin Boulevard Assessment District No. 91-02, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California, to the lowest responsible bidder, R.C. COLLET, INC., at the prices bid under procedures specified by the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 of the State of California.

DATED: October 1, 1991

VALERIE BURROWES, City Clerk,
City of Sacramento, Sacramento County,
California

AMENDED ENGINEER'S REPORT

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

The undersigned respectfully submits the enclosed amended report as directed by the City Council.

DATED: October 1, 1991

MELVIN H. JOHNSON, Director of Public Works, Engineer of Work

By Melvin H. Johnson

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the enclosed Amended Engineer's Report, together with Assessment and Assessment Diagram thereto attached, was filed with me on the 1st day of October, 1991.

VALERIE BURROWES, City Clerk
City of Sacramento, Sacramento County,
California

By Valerie Burrowes

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the enclosed Amended Engineer's Report, together with Assessment and Assessment Diagram thereto attached, was approved and confirmed by the City Council of the City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California, on the 1st day of October, 1991.

VALERIE BURROWES, City Clerk,
City of Sacramento, Sacramento County,
California

By Valerie Burrowes

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the enclosed Amended Engineer's Report, together with Assessment and Assessment Diagram thereto attached, was recorded in my office on the 2nd day of October, 1991.

MELVIN H. JOHNSON, Director of Public Works, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California

By Melvin H. Johnson

AMENDED ENGINEER'S REPORT

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

MELVIN H. JOHNSON, Director of Public Works, Engineer of Work for Franklin Boulevard Assessment District No. 91-02, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California makes this amended report, as directed by the City Council, pursuant to Section 10204 of the Streets and Highways Code (Municipal Improvement Act of 1913).

The improvements which are the subject of this amended report are briefly described as follows:

The construction of street improvements, to include clearing, grubbing, excavation, grading, pavement, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, driveways, drain leads, drop inlets, manholes, and street reconstruction; on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from the intersection of 14th Avenue to a point on Franklin Boulevard 300 feet, more or less, south of 14th Avenue; and on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and 16th Avenue to a point on Franklin Boulevard 230 feet, more or less, south of 24th Avenue; and on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from a point on Franklin Boulevard 170 feet, more or less, north of 26th Avenue to a point on Franklin Boulevard 230 feet, more or less, south of 27th Avenue; the installation of a street light and fire alarm system on the east side of Franklin Boulevard from the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and 12th Avenue to the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and Fruitridge Road; and the construction of overlay pavement on Franklin Boulevard from the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and 12th Avenue to the intersection of Franklin Boulevard and Fruitridge Road.

Bonds representing unpaid assessments, and bearing interest at a rate not to exceed twelve percent (12%) per annum, shall be issued in the manner provided by the Improvement Bond Act of 1915 (Division 10, Streets and Highways Code), and the last installment of the bonds shall mature not to exceed fourteen (14) years from the second day of September next succeeding twelve (12) months from their date.

This amended report includes the following attached exhibits:

EXHIBIT A - Plans and specifications for improvements to be constructed. Plans and specifications are a part of this amended report whether or not separately bound.

EXHIBIT B - An estimate of the cost of the improvements.

EXHIBIT C - An assessment roll, showing the amount proposed to be specially assessed against each parcel of real property within this assessment district. Each parcel is described by County Assessor's parcel number or other designation, and each parcel is also assigned a separate "assessment number" for the purposes of this proceeding.

EXHIBIT D - A statement of the method by which the undersigned determined the amount proposed to be assessed against each parcel, based on benefits to be derived by each parcel, respectively, from the improvements.

EXHIBIT E - A list of the names and addresses of the owners of real property within this assessment district, as shown on the last equalized assessment roll for taxes, or as known to the Clerk. The list is keyed to Exhibit C by assessment number.

EXHIBIT F - A diagram showing all of the parcels of real property within this assessment district. The diagram is keyed to Exhibit C by assessment number.

EXHIBIT G - Total principal sum of all unpaid special assessments and special assessments proposed to be levied under any completed or pending assessment proceedings other than those contemplated in the present proceedings, the total true value of the parcels of land and improvements proposed to be assessed determined in accordance with Section 2961 of the Streets and Highways Code and the principal amount of the assessments proposed to be levied in the present proceedings.

EXHIBIT H - Proposed maximum annual assessment per parcel for current costs and expenses.

Respectfully submitted,

MELVIN H. JOHNSON,
Director of Public Works,
Engineer of Work

EXHIBIT A

**PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS, THOUGH
BOUND SEPARATELY, ARE A PART OF THIS REPORT.**

COST ESTIMATE
FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

Item no.	Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
1	Preconstruct Photo	1	job	1,000.00	1,000.00
2	Clearing & Grubb	1	job	25,274.00	25,274.00
3	Fence to Relocate	280	lf	20.00	5,600.00
4	Gates to Relocate	110	lf	10.00	1,100.00
5	Fire Hyd Adj Gd/Rel	2	ea	2,600.00	5,200.00
6	St Sign to Relocate	3	ea	100.00	300.00
7	Manhole to Remove	1	ea	850.00	850.00
8	Drain Sys to Remove	1	job	10,000.00	10,000.00
9	Roadway Excavation	4543	cy	15.00	68,145.00
10	Water Serv 1"	31	ea	2,100.00	65,100.00
11	Water Serv 1.5"	6	ea	2,650.00	15,900.00
12	Water Valve Bx Adj	39	ea	375.00	14,625.00
13	MH Head to Adjust	69	ea	365.00	25,185.00
14	Flusher Br 8" to Pl	1	ea	550.00	550.00
15	Pipe 12" RCP, CL 3	826	lf	55.00	45,430.00
16	Drain Inlet, Type B	18	ea	750.00	13,500.00
17	Ditch Box	1	ea	850.00	850.00
18	Manhole to Reconst	7	ea	550.00	3,850.00
19	Manhole No 3	3	ea	1,350.00	4,050.00
20	Manhole No 3A	1	ea	2,110.00	2,110.00
21	Manhole No 4	1	ea	2,000.00	2,000.00
22	Curb & Gutter No 4	2460	lf	15.00	36,900.00
23	Sidewalk 3-1/2" PCC	10755	sf	2.00	21,510.00
24	Driveway, 6" PCC	6438	sf	4.50	28,971.00
25	Hndcp Ramp	18	ea	250.00	4,500.00
26	Pavement Plane	3560	sy	10.00	35,600.00
27	Agg Base, CI 2	5750	ton	10.00	57,500.00
28	AC 3/4" Base Course	1030	ton	25.00	25,750.00
29	Reinforcing Fabric	38260	sy	0.65	24,869.00
30	AC 1/2" Overlay	3330	ton	25.00	83,250.00
31	AC 1/2" Misc	95	ton	75.00	7,125.00
32	Remove Electrolier	1	ea	500.00	500.00
33	Mast Arm Electrolier	21	ea	1,200.00	25,200.00
34	No. 6 THW Conductors	7220	lf	0.55	3,971.00
35	No. 8 THW Conductors	3790	lf	0.50	1,895.00
36	No. 10 THW Conductors	3100	lf	0.45	1,395.00
37	Modify Cables	1	job	3,845.00	3,845.00
38	2" & 2-1/2" Conduits	1720	lf	9.70	16,684.00
39	No. 5 Pull Box	30	ea	349.00	10,470.00
40	Adjust Pull Box	20	ea	275.00	5,500.00
41	12 Pair Cable	4730	lf	1.20	5,676.00
42	Modify Detector Handhole	12	ea	150.00	1,800.00
43	6'x6' Detector Loop	45	ea	210.00	9,450.00
44	Place 2" Conduit	300	lf	13.50	4,050.00
45	New Detector Hndhole	2	ea	225.00	450.00
				TOTAL	\$727,480.00

COST ESTIMATE

Page 2

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

TOTAL CONTRACT COST		\$ 727,480.00
Acquisition Fire Alarm System		<u>84,469.00</u>
	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COSTS	\$ 811,949.00
Contingencies		58,198.40
ENGINEERING		
Design	\$244,642.00	
Construction Staking	<u>43,648.80</u>	
	TOTAL ENGINEERING COSTS	\$ 288,290.80
INCIDENTALS		
Special Districts	\$ 9,000.00	
Bond Counsel	3,164.11	
Out-of-Pocket Expenses	500.00	
SDIRS Fee	3,664.11	
Bond Administration	8,800.00	
Bond Printing	2,500.00	
Special Reserve Fund	<u>12,656.42</u>	
	TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ <u>40,284.64</u>
	PROJECT COST	\$1,198,722.84
	LESS CITY CONTRIBUTION	(<u>987,782.42</u>)
	BALANCE TO BE ASSESSED	\$ 210,940.42

EXHIBIT B

ASSESSMENT ROLL

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

Assessment Number	Assessment Amount	Parcel Description
1	\$ 2,412.77	020-0011-001-0000
2	2,591.49	020-0011-012-0000
3	2,256.38	020-0012-001-0000
4	1,139.36	020-0012-013-0000
5	1,139.36	020-0012-012-0000
6	1,139.36	020-0012-011-0000
7	1,139.36	020-0012-010-0000
8	2,340.43	020-0044-017-0000
9	5,265.96	020-0044-018-0000
10	3,989.36	020-0044-003-0000
11	1,787.23	020-0044-004-0000
12	6,076.60	020-0044-016-0000
13	12,978.65	020-0111-042-0000
14	6,063.83	020-0111-037-0000
15	2,925.53	020-0111-038-0000
16	2,127.66	020-0111-044-0000
17	5,691.49	020-0111-043-0000
18	6,329.79	020-0111-041-0000
19	3,244.68	020-0111-026-0000
20	1,648.94	020-0111-007-0000
21	1,648.94	020-0111-006-0000
22	3,244.68	020-0201-002-0000
23	3,244.68	020-0201-001-0000
24	6,542.55	020-0201-013-0000
25	6,542.55	020-0202-001-0000
26	1,755.32	020-0202-009-0000
27	1,755.32	020-0202-008-0000
28	2,393.62	020-0202-007-0000
29	2,074.47	020-0261-003-0000
30	1,755.32	020-0261-002-0000
31	1,755.32	020-0261-001-0000
32	1,755.32	020-0261-011-0000
33	1,755.32	020-0261-010-0000
34	3,510.64	020-0261-009-0000
35	3,617.02	020-0263-003-0000

ASSESSMENT ROLL

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

Assessment Number	Assessment Amount	Parcel Description
36	\$ 1,808.51	020-0263-002-0000
37	2,021.28	020-0263-019-0000
38	2,446.81	022-0011-001-0000
39	2,446.81	022-0011-002-0000
40	2,446.81	022-0011-003-0000
41	2,712.77	022-0012-001-0000
42	2,712.77	022-0012-022-0000
43	2,712.77	022-0012-021-0000
44	8,138.30	022-0012-026-0000
45	2,712.77	022-0081-001-0000
46	2,712.77	022-0081-025-0000
47	2,712.77	022-0081-024-0000
48	2,712.77	022-0081-023-0000
49	2,712.77	022-0081-022-0000
50	1,139.36	022-0081-021-0000
51	1,407.45	022-0082-029-0000
52	1,876.60	022-0082-030-0000
53	3,989.36	022-0082-020-0000
54	4,148.94	022-0082-019-0000
55	2,606.38	022-0151-001-0000
56	7,765.96	022-0151-024-0000
57	8,138.30	022-0151-036-0000
58	10,372.34	022-0152-001-0000
59	0.00	022-0221-036-0000
60	7,841.49	022-0221-035-0000
61	2,546.81	022-0221-025-0000
62	2,457.45	022-0221-023-0000

TOTAL: \$	210,940.42	

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT METHOD OF SPREADING ASSESSMENTS

The following describes the method of spreading assessments for the Franklin Boulevard Assessment District.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Portions of the east side of Franklin Boulevard between Sutterville Road and Fruitridge Road are presently improved with a full-width half-street section, curb, gutter, sidewalk, and drainage collection facilities. Parcels fronting these existing improvements are therefore excepted from assessments for street, curb, gutter, sidewalk, and drainage improvements. All parcels within the aforementioned limits will be assessed for street lighting improvements. Parcels fronting the east side of Franklin Boulevard between Sutterville Road and Fruitridge Road which do not have full street and drainage improvements will also be assessed for a portion of the cost of these improvements.

In addition to the street, drainage, and lighting improvements, the project includes a traffic signal interconnect system, pavement resurfacing improvements and acquisition costs for a fire alarm system. The City of Sacramento will fund these additional improvements as well as a portion of the cost for street, drainage and lighting improvements.

CONSTRUCTION COSTS

This item includes construction costs, construction contingency costs and incidentals.

1. Street, Curbs, Gutters, Sidewalks, and Drainage System: Costs related to the construction of these improvements are assessed to all benefitting parcels on the basis of front footage. A portion of the cost for these improvements is funded by the City of Sacramento.
2. Street Lighting System: Costs related to the construction of the street lighting system are assessed to all benefitting parcels on the basis of front footage.
3. Traffic Signal Interconnect Cable, Pavement Resurfacing and Acquisition Costs for a Fire Alarm System: The cost of these improvements is funded by the City of Sacramento.

NON-CONSTRUCTION COSTS

1. Right-of-Way Costs: All costs associated with the acquisition of right-of-way are funded by the City of Sacramento.
2. Bond Related Costs: Bond Administration, Bond Council, Bond Printing, etc. will be funded by the City of Sacramento with the exception of the reserve fund which will be funded by the property owners and assessed to each parcel in proportion to the total amount assessed.

PROPERTY OWNERS' LIST
CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

ASSESSMENT NUMBER(S)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER
1	Jensen, Evelyn W. 1530 4th St. Sacramento CA 95814
2	Pena, Manuel/Margaret 2801 13th Ave. Sacramento CA 95820
3	Ziakas, Thomas/Doreen A. 3801 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
4	Barnes, Mary Rebecca Herring 2901 24th St. Sacramento CA 95818
5	Wood, Richard F./Julia E. 2786 Fruitridge Road Sacramento CA 95820
6	Hulbert, Clifford E./ Eleanore M. 3833 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
7	Zikes, Mel/Katheryn G. 4309 Garden Hwy. Sacramento CA 95837
8	D'Angelo, John M./Benjamin E. Dudek P.O. Box 214161 Sacramento CA 95821
9	Araizer, Katherine 4633 Lippi Parkway Sacramento CA 95823
10	Pemberton, E.R./Ella/Charles E./Jack 3935 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
11	Petralli, Juanita B. 3945 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
12	Bokan Brothers 4107 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820

PROPERTY OWNERS' LIST
CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

ASSESSMENT NUMBER(S)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER
13	Bokan Brothers 4101 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
14	Webb, Bill H., Jr. 4115 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
15	Rodrigues, Joseph/Pauline 4121 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
16	Neely, Harold D. 4125 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
17	Pihera, Charles G. 4301 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
18	Pihera, Charles G. 4301 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
19	Cook, Fred/Aileen 3525 Mignon St. Sacramento CA 95826
20	Ruiz, Jose G. 4315 Frankin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
21	Ruiz, Jose G. 4315 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
22	Western Latin American Church of Nazarene 11226 Garetal Street Santa Fe Springs CA 90670
23	Greenberg, Gerald W./Deborah G. 4405 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
24	Paz, Joseph A., Jr. 1701 4th Avenue Sacramento CA 95818

PROPERTY OWNERS' LIST
CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

ASSESSMENT NUMBER(S)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER
25	Weinberg, Francis/Stephen G./Joyce 1949 4th Avenue Sacramento CA 95818
26	Weidner, Edwin F., Jr./Charlene 5321 South Land Park Drive Sacramento CA 95822
27	Weidner, Edwin F., Jr./Charlene 5321 South Land Park Drive Sacramento CA 95822
28	Stickel, Hans G./Rosemarie Ruth 4517 Franklin Boulevard Sacramento CA 95820
29	Juarez, Carlos M./Yolanda 2513 21st Street Sacramento CA 95820
30	Juarez, Carlos M./Yolanda 2513 21st Street Sacramento CA 95820
31	Hart, Fred A., Jr. 4100 Astoria Street Sacramento CA 95838
32	Rich, Robert B./Janet L. 6921 23rd Street Sacramento CA 95822
33	Sidhu, Alfred A./Madeline Jean/Mark 2540 Cathay Way Sacramento CA 95821
34	Sidhu, Alfred A./Madeline Jean/Mark 2540 Cathay Way Sacramento CA 95821
35	Lee, Foo/Sui, Sheung/Marvin, K.P. 4701 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
36	Batish, Tarun K./Etal 4705 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820

PROPERTY OWNERS' LIST
CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

ASSESSMENT NUMBER(S)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER
37	Schwartz, Aleyne M. 5743 Ortega Street Sacramento CA 95824
38	Landziak, Anna M./Estate of- Landziak, Duane A. 2236 Meer Way Sacramento CA 95822
39	Landziak, Anna M./Estate of - Landziak, Duane A. 1555 River Park Drive Sacramento CA 95815
40	Landziak, Ann M./Estate of - Landziak, Duane A. 2236 Meer Way Sacramento CA 95822
41	Ledesma, Juan Ramon/Olivia C. 3900 22nd Avenue Sacramento CA 95820
42	Ledesma, Juan Ramon/Olivia C. 3900 22nd Avenue Sacramento CA 95820
43	Keney, Jeffrey S./Russell S./ Elverna L. 10421 Georgetown Drive Rancho Cordova CA 95670
44	Ibarria, Alfonso\Sylvia 4954 Kipling Drive Carmel CA 95608
45	Kain, Michael A. 4901 Franklin Boulevard Sacramento CA 95820
46	Odom, Bob M. P.O. Box 163838 Sacramento CA 95816
47	Lofing, Russel N./Dorothy J. 843 Bell Air Drive Sacramento CA 95822

PROPERTY OWNERS' LIST
CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

ASSESSMENT NUMBER(S)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER
48	Thompson, William E. P.O. 1601 Oxnard CA 93032
49	Thompson, William E. P.O. Box 1601 Oxnard CA 93032
50	Thompson, William E. P.O. Box 1601 Oxnard CA 93032
51	Mueller, Dirk P. 5001 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
52	BLW Pet Hospital - So. Sac. Pet Hosp. 5651 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95824
53	Allen, Leslie/Virginia/Etal 5033 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
54	Vlahos, John G./Loukia 3048 24th Street Sacramento CA 95818
55	Swanson, Theodore F./ William C. Jahn, Jr. 604 Buena Vista Drive Santa Rosa CA 95405
56	Swanson, Theodore F./ William C. Jahn, Jr. 604 Buena Vista Drive Santa Rosa CA 95405
57	Cronin, Robert, Jr./L. Ruth 5151 Franklin Blvd. Sacramento CA 95820
58	Sanden, Ranz S./Maria T. 2990 Fruitridge Road Sacramento CA 95820
59	City of Sacramento Real Estate Division Room 207, City Hall Sacramento CA

PROPERTY OWNERS' LIST
CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

ASSESSMENT NUMBER(S)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF OWNER
60	Koshell, Jon G. 1428 39th Street Sacramento CA 95816
61	Standard Brands Realty Co. Inc. 4300 W 190th Street Torrance CA 90509
62	Scarteen Corporation - Prop Tax Div P.O. Box 7600 Los Angeles CA 90051

*** END OF LIST ***

ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM
FRANKLIN BOULEVARD
ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SHEET 1 OF 2

LEGEND

- ① ASSESSMENT NUMBER
- 002 LOT NUMBER
- ASSESSMENT DISTRICT BOUNDARY
- PROPERTY LINE

CLERK'S MAP FILING STATEMENT

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 19__.

 VALERIE A. BURROVES, CITY CLERK
 CITY OF SACRAMENTO

SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS RECORDING STATEMENT

RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 19__.

 SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS
 CITY OF SACRAMENTO

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE


AN ASSESSMENT MAP WAS LEVIED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ON THE LOTS, PIECES AND PARCELS OF THE LAND SHOWN ON THIS ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM. SAID ASSESSMENT WAS LEVIED ON THE _____ DAY OF _____, 19___. SAID ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM AND THE ASSESSMENT ROLL WERE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO ON THE _____ DAY OF _____, 19___. REFERENCE IS MADE TO THE ASSESSMENT ROLL RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS FOR THE EXACT AMOUNT OF EACH ASSESSMENT LEVIED AGAINST EACH PARCEL OF LAND SHOWN ON THIS ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM.

 VALERIE A. BURROVES, CITY CLERK
 CITY OF SACRAMENTO

COUNTY RECORDER'S FILING STATEMENT

FILED THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 19__, AT THE HOUR OF _____ O'CLOCK _____ P.M., IN BOOK _____ OF MAPS OF ASSESSMENT AND COMMUNITIES FACILITIES DISTRICTS AT PAGE _____ IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

 COUNTY RECORDER OF THE
 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA



SCALE: 1" = 100'




EXHIBIT F

EXHIBIT G

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

Part 7.5 of Division 4 of the California Streets and
Highways Code

I certify that I am the Engineer responsible for the preparation of the Report pursuant to Resolution of Intention No. 91-618, adopted by the City Council of the City of Sacramento on August 20, 1991. Said resolution provided that it was the intention of said City Council to comply with the requirements of said Division 4 by proceeding under Part 7.5 thereof. Accordingly, and in compliance with said Part 7.5, I hereby present the following:

1. The total amount, as near as may be determined, of the total principal sum of all unpaid special assessments and special assessments required or proposed to be levied under any completed or pending special assessment proceedings, other than contemplated in the instant proceedings, which other completed or pending special assessment proceedings would require an investigation and report under Division 4 of the Streets and Highways Code against the total area proposed to be assessed. Said total amount is \$0.00.
2. The total true value, as near as may be determined, of the parcels of land and improvements which are proposed to be assessed in the instant proceedings. Said total true value has been estimated as the full cash value of the parcels as shown upon the last equalized assessment roll of the County of Sacramento. Said total true value, for purposes of this report is \$3,984,534.00.
3. The principal amount of the assessments proposed to be issued in the instant proceedings had pursuant to said Resolution of Intention is \$210,940.42.

EXHIBIT H

PROPOSED MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT PER PARCEL FOR
CURRENT COSTS AND EXPENSES

FRANKLIN BOULEVARD ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 91-02

In the event that the amount provided for in these proceedings is insufficient to pay various costs and expenses incurred from time to time by the City and not otherwise reimbursed to the City which result from the administration and collection of assessment installments or from the administration or registration of the improvement bonds and the various funds and accounts pertaining thereto, this Council intends, pursuant to Section 8682.1 and subparagraph (f) of Section 10204 of the California Streets and Highways Code, to provide for an annual assessment upon each of the parcels of land in the proposed assessment district to provide therefor. The maximum annual assessment upon each of the parcels of land within the assessment district shall be \$35.00 per parcel.

OFFICIAL RECORDS
SACRAMENTO COUNTY, CALIF

91 OCT -7 AM 9:45

Valerie Burrowes
COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:
VALERIE BURROWES, City Clerk
City of Sacramento
927 10th Street, Room 100
Sacramento, CA 95814

AND WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO:
VALERIE BURROWES, City Clerk
City of Sacramento
927 10th Street, Room 100
Sacramento, CA 95814

FEE
\$21
6

3

NOTICE OF ASSESSMENT

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 3114 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California, the undersigned Clerk of the City of Sacramento, California, hereby gives notice that a Diagram and Assessment were recorded in the office of the Director of Public Works of said City, as provided in said Section, and relating to the following described property:

The lots, pieces or parcels of land as shown on the Assessment Diagram for Franklin Boulevard Assessment District No. 91-02, City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, California, which was filed for record in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Sacramento on the 7th day of October, 1991, in Book 71 of Maps of Assessment and Community Facilities Districts at Page 9 thereof.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that upon the recording of this notice in the office of the County Recorder, the several assessments assessed upon the lots, pieces or parcels of land shall become a lien upon the lots or portions of lots assessed, respectively.

Reference is made to the Assessment Diagram hereinabove referred to and the Assessment Roll recorded in the office of the Director of Public Works of said City of Sacramento on the 7th day of October, 1991. Said Assessment Roll recorded in the office of the Director of Public Works is referred to to determine the amount of each assessment levied against each parcel of land shown upon the assessment diagram.

A list of the name or names of the assessed owners as they appear on the latest secured assessment roll, or as known to the City Clerk, is attached hereto and made a part hereof, together with a list of the amount of the assessment levied against each parcel of land.

Dated: October 7, 1991

VALERIE BURROWES, City Clerk,
City of Sacramento,
Sacramento County, California

By *Valerie A. Burrowes*

ORIGINAL

ASSESSMENT ROLL

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

Diagram Number	Assessment Amount	Assessor's Parcel Number	Owner
1	\$ 2,412.77	020-0011-001	Jensen, Evelyn W.
2	2,591.49	020-0011-012	Pena, Manuel/Margaret
3	2,256.38	020-0012-001	Ziakas, Thomas/Doreen A.
4	1,139.36	020-0012-013	Barnes, Mary Rebecca Herring
5	1,139.36	020-0012-012	Wood, Richard F./Julia E.
6	1,139.36	020-0012-011	Hulbert, Clifford E./ Eleanore M.
7	1,139.36	020-0012-010	Zikes, Mel/Katheryn G.
8	2,340.43	020-0044-017	D'Angelo, John M./Benjamin E. Dudek
9	5,265.96	020-0044-018	Araizer, Katherine
10	3,989.36	020-0044-003	Pemberton, E.R./Ella/Charles E./Jack
11	1,787.23	020-0044-004	Petralli, Juanita B.
12	6,076.60	020-0044-016	Bokan Brothers
13	12,978.65	020-0111-042	Bokan Brothers
14	6,063.83	020-0111-037	Webb, Bill H., Jr.
15	2,925.53	020-0111-038	Rodrigues, Joseph/Pauline
16	2,127.66	020-0111-044	Neely, Harold D.
17	5,691.49	020-0111-043	Pihera, Charles G.
18	6,329.79	020-0111-041	Pihera, Charles G.
19	3,244.68	020-0111-026	Cook, Fred/Aileen
20	1,648.94	020-0111-007	Ruiz, Jose G.
21	1,648.94	020-0111-006	Ruiz, Jose G.
22	3,244.68	020-0201-002	Western Latin American Church of
23	3,244.68	020-0201-001	Greenberg, Gerald W./Deborah G.
24	6,542.55	020-0201-013	Paz, Joseph A., Jr.
25	6,542.55	020-0202-001	Weinberg, Francis/Stephen G./Joyce
26	1,755.32	020-0202-009	Weidner, Edwin F., Jr./Charlene
27	1,755.32	020-0202-008	Weidner, Edwin F., Jr./Charlene
28	2,393.62	020-0202-007	Stickel, Hans G./Rosemarie Ruth
29	2,074.47	020-0261-003	Juarez, Carlos M./Yolanda
30	1,755.32	020-0261-002	Juarez, Carlos M./Yolanda
31	1,755.32	020-0261-001	Hart, Fred A., Jr.
32	1,755.32	020-0261-011	Rich, Robert B./Janet L.
33	1,755.32	020-0261-010	Sidhu, Alfred A./Madeline Jean/Mark
34	3,510.64	020-0261-009	Sidhu, Alfred A./Madeline Jean/Mark
35	3,617.02	020-0263-003	Lee, Foo/Sui, Sheung/Marvin, K.P.

ASSESSMENT ROLL

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
Franklin Blvd. Street A.D. No. 91-02

Diagram Number	Assessment Amount	Assessor's Parcel Number	Owner
36	\$ 1,808.51	020-0263-002	Batish, Tarun K./Etal
37	2,021.28	020-0263-019	Schwartz, Aleyne M.
38	2,446.81	022-0011-001	Landziak, Anna M./Estate of-
39	2,446.81	022-0011-002	Landziak, Anna M./Estate of -
40	2,446.81	022-0011-003	Landziak, Ann M./Estate of -
41	2,712.77	022-0012-001	Ledesma, Juan Ramon/Olivia C.
42	2,712.77	022-0012-022	Ledesma, Juan Ramon/Olivia C.
43	2,712.77	022-0012-021	Keney, Jeffrey S./Russell S./
44	8,138.30	022-0012-026	Ibarria, Alfonso\Sylvia
45	2,712.77	022-0081-001	Kain, Michael A.
46	2,712.77	022-0081-025	Odom, Bob M.
47	2,712.77	022-0081-024	Lofing, Russel N./Dorothy J.
48	2,712.77	022-0081-023	Thompson, William E.
49	2,712.77	022-0081-022	Thompson, William E.
50	1,139.36	022-0081-021	Thompson, William E.
51	1,407.45	022-0082-029	Mueller, Dirk P.
52	1,876.60	022-0082-030	BLW Pet Hospital - So. Sac. Pet Hosp.
53	3,989.36	022-0082-020	Allen, Leslie/Virginia/Etal
54	4,148.94	022-0082-019	Vlahos, John G./Loukia
55	2,606.38	022-0151-001	Swanson, Theodore F./
56	7,765.96	022-0151-024	Swanson, Theodore F./
57	8,138.30	022-0151-036	Cronin, Robert, Jr./L. Ruth
58	10,372.34	022-0152-001	Sanden, Ranz S./Maria T.
59	0.00	022-0221-036	City of Sacramento
60	7,841.49	022-0221-035	Koshell, Jon G.
61	2,546.81	022-0221-025	Standard Brands Realty Co. Inc.
62	2,457.45	022-0221-023	Scarteen Corporation - Prop Tax Div
TOTAL: \$			210,940.42

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

SEALED PROPOSAL
(MUST BE SIGNED BY BIDDER)

Sealed Proposals will be received not later than 10:30 A.M. on September 17, 1991, at the Office of the City Clerk, Room 304, City Hall, Sacramento, California and opened at 10:30 A.M., or as soon thereafter as business allows, on September 17, 1991, in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Sacramento, California.

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL:

The undersigned hereby proposes and agrees to furnish any and all required labor, material, transportation and services for:

Franklin Boulevard Assessment District
Sutterville Road to Fruitridge Road
(PN:TD91/WB91)

FILED
SEP 17 1991

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

By the
Office of the City Clerk

The work is to be done in strict conformity with the Plans, City of Sacramento Standard Specifications (Resolution No. 89-216) and Special Provisions all as on file in the Office of the City Clerk, at the following Unit Prices.

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
BID ITEMS					
1.	Preconstruction Photographs	1	JOB	\$ LUMP SUM	\$ 500 ⁰⁰
2.	Clearing and Grubbing	1	JOB	\$ LUMP SUM	\$ 10,000 ⁰⁰
3.	Fence Relocation	280	LF	\$ 25 ⁰⁰	\$ 7,000 ⁰⁰
4.	Gate Relocation	110	LF	\$ 25 ⁰⁰	\$ 2,750 ⁰⁰
5.	Hydrant to Relocate	2	EA	\$ 3,750 ⁰⁰	\$ 7,500 ⁰⁰
6.	Sign Relocation	3	EA	\$ 160 ⁰⁰	\$ 480 ⁰⁰
7.	Maintenance Hole to Remove	1	EA	\$ 500 ⁰⁰	\$ 500 ⁰⁰
8.	Drain Pipe and Appurtenances to Remove/Abandon	1	JOB	\$ LUMP SUM	\$ 28,942 ⁵⁰
9.	Roadway Excavation and Grading	4543	CY	\$ 16 ⁰⁰	\$ 72,688 ⁰⁰
10.	1" Water Service to Place	31	EA	\$ 1,600 ⁰⁰	\$ 49,600 ⁰⁰

REJECTED
OCT
BY THE CITY COUNCIL
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

11. 1-1/2" Water Service to Place	6	EA	\$ <u>1,650⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>9,900⁰⁰</u>
12. Adjust Water Valve Boxes	39	EA	\$ <u>350⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>13,650⁰⁰</u>
13. Adjust Maintenance Hole Heads	69	EA	\$ <u>230⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>15,870⁰⁰</u>
14. 6" Flusher Branch to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>1,500⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>1,500⁰⁰</u>
15. Drainage Pipe, 12" Diameter, RCP CL III	826	LF	\$ <u>40⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>33,040⁰⁰</u>
16. Drop Inlet, Type B, to Construct	18	EA	\$ <u>1,300⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>23,400⁰⁰</u>
17. Ditch Box to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>1,000⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>1,000⁰⁰</u>
18. Maintenance Hole to Reconstruct	7	EA	\$ <u>400⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>2,800⁰⁰</u>
19. Maintenance Hole No. 3 to Construct	3	EA	\$ <u>2,200⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>6,600⁰⁰</u>
20. Maintenance Hole No. 3A to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>2,300⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>2,300⁰⁰</u>
21. Maintenance Hole No. 4 to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>3,000⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>3,000⁰⁰</u>
22. Curb and Gutter No. 4 to Construct	2,460	LF	\$ <u>13⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>31,980⁰⁰</u>
23. 3-1/2" Portland Cement Concrete Sidewalk	10,755	SF	\$ <u>200⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>21,510⁰⁰</u>
24. Six Inch (6") Portland Cement Concrete Driveway	6,438	SF	\$ <u>50⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>38,628⁰⁰</u>
25. Handicap Ramps to Construct	18	EA	\$ <u>450⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>8,100⁰⁰</u>
26. Variable Depth Pavement Planing	3,560	TON	\$ <u>12⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>42,720⁰⁰</u>
27. Aggregate Base, Class 2	5,750	TON	\$ <u>14⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>80,500⁰⁰</u>
28. Asphaltic Concrete Base Course	1,030	TON	\$ <u>29⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>29,870⁰⁰</u>
29. Pavement Reinforcing Fabric	38,260	SY	\$ <u>0⁶⁰</u>	\$ <u>22,956⁰⁰</u>

REJECTED

OCT 1 2001

BY THE CITY COUNCIL
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

30. Asphaltic Concrete Finish Surfacing	3,330	TON	\$ <u>28⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>93,240⁰⁰</u>
31. Miscellaneous Asphaltic Concrete	95	TON	\$ <u>300⁰⁰</u> 250⁰⁰	\$ <u>28,500⁰⁰</u> 250⁰⁰
32. Remove and Salvage Electrolier	1	EA	\$ <u>500⁰⁰</u> 1525⁰⁰	\$ <u>500⁰⁰</u> 32,025⁰⁰
33. Install Mast Arm Electroliers	21	EA	\$ <u>1,645⁰⁰</u> 0 60	\$ <u>34,545⁰⁰</u> 4,332⁰⁰
34. Install No. 6 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	7,220	LF	\$ <u>0 55</u> 0 55	\$ <u>3,971⁰⁰</u> 2,084 50
35. Install No. 8 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	3,790	LF	\$ <u>0 50</u> 0 47	\$ <u>1,895⁰⁰</u> 1,457⁰⁰
36. Install No. 10 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	3,100	LF	\$ <u>0 45</u> 0 45	\$ <u>1,395⁰⁰</u> 5,872⁰⁰
37. Modify Fire Alarm and Interconnect Cables	1	JOB	\$ <u>LUMP SUM</u> <u>9⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>3,483⁰⁰</u> <u>15,480⁰⁰</u>
38. Install 2" and 2-1/2" Conduits in Same Trench	1,720	LF	\$ <u>9 70</u> 1 50	\$ <u>16,684⁰⁰</u> 4,500⁰⁰
39. Install No. 5 Pullbox		EA	\$ <u>349⁰⁰</u> 80⁰⁰	\$ <u>10,470⁰⁰</u> 1,600⁰⁰
40. Adjust Pullbox to Grade		EA	\$ <u>275⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>5,500⁰⁰</u>
41. Add 12 Pair Interconnect Cable in Existing Conduit	4,730	LF	\$ <u>1 120</u>	\$ <u>5,676⁰⁰</u>
42. Modify Detector Handhole	12	EA	\$ <u>150⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>1,800⁰⁰</u>
43. Install 6' x 6' Inductive Detector Loop	45	EA	\$ <u>210⁰⁰</u> 10⁰⁰	\$ <u>9,450⁰⁰</u> 3,000⁰⁰
44. Install 2" Conduit	300	LF	\$ <u>13 50</u> 400⁰⁰	\$ <u>4,050⁰⁰</u> 800⁰⁰
45. Install Detector Handhole		EA	\$ <u>225⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>450⁰⁰</u>
TOTAL				\$ <u>779,801⁰⁰</u>

REJECTED

OCT 1 120

BY THE CITY CLERK
OFFICE (2 20 00)
CITY CLERK

FILED
SEP 17 1991
By the
Office of the City Clerk

If awarded the contract, the undersigned shall execute said contract and furnish the necessary bonds within ten (10) days after the notice of award of said contract and begin work within fifteen (15) days after the signing of the contract by the Contractor and the City or Notice to Proceed, whichever is applicable.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only a total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

It is understood that this bid is based upon completion of the work within a period of Ninety (90) working days commencing on the date specified in the Notice To Proceed.

The amount of the liquidated damages to be paid by Contractor to City for failure to complete the entire work by the Completion Date (as extended, if applicable) will be Five Hundred Dollars (\$500) for each calendar day, continuing to the time at which the work is completed. Such amount is the actual cash value agreed upon as the loss to City resulting from Contractor's default.

The undersigned represents and warrants that the undersigned has examined the location of the proposed work and is familiar with the local conditions at the place where the work is to be done, and the undersigned has reviewed and understands the Plans, Specifications and other contract documents, and the undersigned is satisfied with all conditions for performance of the work.

The undersigned has checked carefully all of the above figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this bid.

FILED
SEP 17 1991
By the
Office of the City Clerk

This proposal will not be withdrawn for the periods specified in Section 3-2 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications for award of contract to respective low bidders. This proposal is submitted according to Sections 1, 2, and 3 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications.

BID DEPOSIT ENCLOSED IN THE FOLLOWING FORM:

\$ 10% of the amount bid not less than ten percent (10%) of amount bid.

_____ CERTIFIED CHECK
_____ MONEY ORDER
_____ CASHIER'S CHECK
 x BID BOND

CONTRACTOR

Addendum No. 1 _____
Addendum No. 2 _____
Addendum No. 3 _____
Addendum No. 4 _____

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

By: [Signature]
(Signature)

Title: R.C. Allbritton, Vice President

Address: P.O. Box 900

Watsonville, CA 95077

Telephone No: 408/724-1011

FILED
SEP 17 1991
By the
Office of the City Clerk

REJECTED
OCT 1
BY THE CITY COUNCIL
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

Contractor's License: _____

Valid Contractor's License No: 89, Classification: A
Expiration date: 5/31/93, is held by the bidder.

NT:kj
TD91-S13.J
06.2091

DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY AND AFFIDAVIT

BID MAY BE DECLARED NONRESPONSIVE IF THIS FORM (COMPLETED) IS NOT ATTACHED.
Pursuant to City Council Resolution CC90-498 dated 6/26/90 the following is required.

The undersigned contractor certifies that it and all subcontractors performing under this contract will provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a "Drug-Free Workplace" statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition.
2. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:
 - a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
 - b. The contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
 - c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program.
 - d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace.
3. Notify employees that as a condition of employment under this contract, employees will be expected to:
 - a. Abide by the terms of the statement.
 - b. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace.
4. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the contract be given a copy on the "Drug-Free Workplace" statement.
5. Taking one of the following appropriate actions, within thirty (30) days of receiving notice from an employee or otherwise receiving such notice, that said employee has received a drug conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace:
 - a. Taking appropriate disciplinary action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
 - b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement or other appropriate agency.

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

* I certify that any person employed by this company, corporation, or business has not been convicted of any criminal drug statute violation on any job site or project within three years of the date of my signature below.


EXCEPTION: _____ Yes _____ No
Date Violation Type Place of Occurrence Was Employed By This Firm.
If additional space is required use back of this form.

* The above statement will also be incorporated as a part of each subcontract agreement for any and all subcontractors selected for performance on this project.

IN THE EVENT THIS COMPANY, CORPORATION, OR BUSINESS IS AWARDED THIS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT, AS A RESULT OF THIS BID; THE CONTRACTOR WITH HIS/HER SIGNATURE REPRESENTS TO THE CITY THAT THE INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. IT IS UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT FALSE CERTIFICATION IS SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE TERMINATION BY THE CITY.

The Representations Made Herein On This Document Are Made Under Penalty Of Perjury.

CONTRACTOR'S NAME: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

BY:  R.C. Allbritton, Vice President Date: September 17, 1991
Signature Title

Effects of violations: a. Suspension of payments under the contract. b. Suspension or termination of the contract. c. Suspension or debarment of the contractor from receiving any contract from the City of Sacramento for a period not to exceed five years.

DESIGNATION OF SUBCONTRACTORS
(including suppliers and truckers)

NAME AND LICENSE NUMBER OF SUBCONTRACTOR	LOCATION OF PLACE OF BUSINESS	DESCRIPTION OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED BY SUBCONTRACTOR	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT OF CONTRACT	ENTER DB OR WBE STATUS AND CERTIFICATION NUMBER	SUBCONTRACTOR FEDERAL TAX I.D. #
JAMES M. SCARLES TRUCKING	SACRAMENTO 9381 'A' Jackson 95826	TRUCKING	\$ 31,631	87H5419	942659110
ASPHALT SERVICES CO. 119612	835 SEA GULF LN. NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92663	PAVING FABRIC	\$ 23,530	—	94-1406766
ANPAK 256390	781 OLD COUNTY RD SAN CARLOS, CA 94070	COLD PLANE	\$ 35,173	—	94-1598019
H&M Elect 260804	1100 ALBUQUERQUE BLVD SACRTO, CA 95815	ELECTRIC	\$ 99,869	—	94-1711147
DISCIZ FENCE 316128	P.O. BOX 7213 CITIZENS HTS CA 9562	FENCE	\$ 9,750	—	94-2306889
Heaps Electrical Inc.	Sacramento	Electrical	\$ 99,869	—	

REJECTED
 BY THE CITY COUNCIL
 BY OFFICE OF THE
 CITY CLERK

THE BID OPENED
 BY EMPLOYEE INITIALS
 MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY
 9/17/91

BID SECURITY
 NONE REQUIRED
 PROPERLY SIGNED

BID DEPOSIT TYPE
 BID BOND
 CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK
 CERTIFIED CHECK
 CASH
 CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER

AFTER AWARD OF BID
 SECURITY RETURNED
 SECURITY ACCEPTED
 EMPLOYEE INITIALS _____
 DATE _____

By the
Office of the City Clerk

SEP 17 1991

FILED

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
 BIDDER _____
 BY R.C. Allbritton
 TITLE R.C. Allbritton, Vice President
 PHONE 408/724-1011
 DATE September 17, 1991
 BIDDER'S FEDERAL TAX I.D. #: 94-0519552
 BIDDER'S CONTRACTOR LICENSE #: 89

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

SEALED PROPOSAL
(MUST BE SIGNED BY BIDDER)

Sealed Proposals will be received not later than **10:30 A.M. on September 17, 1991**, at the Office of the City Clerk, Room 304, City Hall, Sacramento, California and opened at 10:30 A.M., or as soon thereafter as business allows, on September 17, 1991, in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Sacramento, California.

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL:

The undersigned hereby proposes and agrees to furnish any and all required labor, material, transportation and services for:

Franklin Boulevard Assessment District
Sutterville Road to Fruitridge Road
(PN:TD91/WB91)

FILED

SEP 17 1991

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

By the
Office of the City Clerk

The work is to be done in strict conformity with the Plans, City of Sacramento Standard Specifications (Resolution No. 89-216) and Special Provisions all as on file in the Office of the City Clerk, at the following Unit Prices.

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
BID ITEMS					
1.	Preconstruction Photographs			\$ LUMP SUM	\$ <u>640⁰⁰</u>
2.	Clearing and Grubbing	1	JOB	\$ LUMP SUM	\$ <u>16,200⁰⁰</u>
3.	Fence Relocation	280	OLF	\$ <u>25⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>7,000⁰⁰</u>
4.	Gate Relocation	110	LF	\$ <u>25⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>2,750⁰⁰</u>
5.	Hydrant to Relocate	2	EA	\$ <u>1100⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>2,200⁰⁰</u>
6.	Sign Relocation	3	EA	\$ <u>130⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>390⁰⁰</u>
7.	Maintenance Hole to Remove	1	EA	\$ <u>680⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>680⁰⁰</u>
8.	Drain Pipe and Appurtenances to Remove/Abandon	1	JOB	\$ LUMP SUM	\$ <u>16,000⁰⁰</u>
9.	Roadway Excavation and Grading	4543	CY	\$ <u>15⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>68,145⁰⁰</u>
10.	1" Water Service to Place	31	EA	\$ <u>2850⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>88,350⁰⁰</u>

REJECTED
 1 JOB
 280 OLF
 110 LF
 BY THE CITY COUNCIL
 OFFICE OF THE
 CITY CLERK

11. 1-1/2" Water Service to Place	6	EA	\$ <u>2900⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>17,400⁰⁰</u>
12. Adjust Water Valve Boxes	39	EA	\$ <u>300⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>11,700⁰⁰</u>
13. Adjust Maintenance Hole Heads	69	EA	\$ <u>430⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>29,670⁰⁰</u>
14. 6" Flusher Branch to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>360⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>360⁰⁰</u>
15. Drainage Pipe, 12" Diameter, RCP CL III	826	LF	\$ <u>54⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>44,604⁰⁰</u>
16. Drop Inlet, Type B, to Construct	18	EA	\$ <u>970⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>17,460⁰⁰</u>
17. Ditch Box to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>1900⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>1900⁰⁰</u>
18. Maintenance Hole to Reconstruct	7	EA	\$ <u>860⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>6020⁰⁰</u>
19. Maintenance Hole No. 3 to Construct	3	EA	\$ <u>2100⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>6300⁰⁰</u>
20. Maintenance Hole No. 3A to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>2100⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>2100⁰⁰</u>
21. Maintenance Hole No. 4 to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>3600⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>3600⁰⁰</u>
22. Curb and Gutter No. 4 to Construct	2,460	LF	\$ <u>15⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>36,900⁰⁰</u>
23. 3-1/2" Portland Cement Concrete Sidewalk	10,755	SF	\$ <u>2⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>21,510⁰⁰</u>
24. Six Inch (6") Portland Cement Concrete Driveway	6,438	SF	\$ <u>3⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>19,314⁰⁰</u>
25. Handicap Ramps to Construct	18	EA	\$ <u>600⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>10,800⁰⁰</u>
26. Variable Depth Pavement Planing	3,560	TON	\$ <u>1275</u>	\$ <u>45,390⁰⁰</u>
27. Aggregate Base, Class 2	5,750	TON	\$ <u>11⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>63,250⁰⁰</u>
28. Asphaltic Concrete Base Course	1,030	TON	\$ <u>30⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>30,900⁰⁰</u>
29. Pavement Reinforcing Fabric	38,260	SY	\$ <u>073</u>	\$ <u>27,929⁸⁰</u>

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

REJECTED

OCT 1

BY THE CITY COUNCIL
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

30. Asphaltic Concrete Finish Surfacing	3,330	TON	\$ <u>30⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>99,900⁰⁰</u>
31. Miscellaneous Asphaltic Concrete	95	TON	\$ <u>43⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>4085⁰⁰</u>
32. Remove and Salvage Electrolier	1	EA	\$ <u>550⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>550⁰⁰</u>
33. Install Mast Arm Electroliers	21	EA	\$ <u>1,600⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>33,600⁰⁰</u>
34. Install No. 6 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	7,220	LF	\$ <u>0⁶⁰</u>	\$ <u>4332⁰⁰</u>
35. Install No. 8 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	3,790	LF	\$ <u>0⁵⁵</u>	\$ <u>2084⁵⁰</u>
36. Install No. 10 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	3,100	LF	\$ <u>0⁵⁰</u>	\$ <u>1550⁰⁰</u>
37. Modify Fire Alarm and Interconnect Cables	1	JOB	\$ <u>LUMP SUM</u>	\$ <u>4,000⁰⁰</u>
38. Install 2" and 2-1/2" Conduits in Same Trench	1,720	LF	\$ <u>9⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>15,480⁰⁰</u>
39. Install No. 5 Pullbox	30	EA	\$ <u>380⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>11,400⁰⁰</u>
40. Adjust Pullbox to Grade	20	EA	\$ <u>295⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>5,900⁰⁰</u>
41. Add 12 Pair Interconnect Cable in Existing Conduit	4,730	LF	\$ <u>130</u>	\$ <u>6,139⁰⁰</u>
42. Modify Detector Handhole	12	EA	\$ <u>160⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>1920⁰⁰</u>
43. Install 6' x 6' Inductive Detector Loop	45	EA	\$ <u>225⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>10,125⁰⁰</u>
44. Install 2" Conduit	300	LF	\$ <u>14⁵⁰</u>	\$ <u>4350⁰⁰</u>
45. Install Detector Handhole	2	EA	\$ <u>240⁰⁰</u>	\$ <u>480⁰⁰</u>
TOTAL				\$ <u>805,368³⁰</u>

REJECTED
 OCT 1
 BY THE CITY COUNCIL
 OFFICE OF THE
 CITY CLERK

If awarded the contract, the undersigned shall execute said contract and furnish the necessary bonds within ten (10) days after the notice of award of said contract and begin work within fifteen (15) days after the signing of the contract by the Contractor and the City or Notice to Proceed, whichever is applicable.

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only a total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

It is understood that this bid is based upon completion of the work within a period of Ninety (90) working days commencing on the date specified in the Notice To Proceed.

The amount of the liquidated damages to be paid by Contractor to City for failure to complete the entire work by the Completion Date (as extended, if applicable) will be Five Hundred Dollars (\$500) for each calendar day, continuing to the time at which the work is completed. Such amount is the actual cash value agreed upon as the loss to City resulting from Contractor's default.

The undersigned represents and warrants that the undersigned has examined the location of the proposed work and is familiar with the local conditions at the place where the work is to be done, and the undersigned has reviewed and understands the Plans, Specifications and other contract documents, and the undersigned is satisfied with all conditions for performance of the work.

The undersigned has checked carefully all of the above figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this bid.

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

This proposal will not be withdrawn for the periods specified in Section 3-2 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications for award of contract to respective low bidders. This proposal is submitted according to Sections 1, 2, and 3 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications.

BID DEPOSIT ENCLOSED IN THE FOLLOWING FORM:

\$ 10% of total bid not less than ten percent (10%) of amount bid.

- CERTIFIED CHECK
- MONEY ORDER
- CASHIER'S CHECK
- BID BOND

Addendum No. 1 _____
 Addendum No. 2 _____
 Addendum No. 3 _____
 Addendum No. 4 _____

CONTRACTOR

TEICHERT CONSTRUCTION

By: Douglas E Meyer
(Signature)

Title: DOUGLAS E. MEYER
DISTRICT MANAGER

Address: 8811 Kiefer Blvd.
Sacramento, CA 95826

Telephone No: (916) 386-6800

Contractor's License:

Valid Contractor's License No: 8
Expiration date: 4-30-93, is held by the bidder.

REJECTED
 OCT 1
 THE CITY COUNCIL
 OFFICE OF THE
 CITY CLERK

NT:kj
TD91-S13.J
06.2091

Bidder's license number is State of California Contractors License No. 8. The license expiration date is 04/30/93. The representations made herein are made under penalty of perjury.

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY AND AFFIDAVIT

BID MAY BE DECLARED NONRESPONSIVE IF THIS FORM (COMPLETED) IS NOT ATTACHED.
Pursuant to City Council Resolution CC90-498 dated 6/26/90 the following is required.

The undersigned contractor certifies that it and all subcontractors performing under this contract will provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a "Drug-Free Workplace" statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition.
2. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:
 - a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
 - b. The contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
 - c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program.
 - d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace.
3. Notify employees that as a condition of employment under this contract, employees will be expected to:
 - a. Abide by the terms of the statement.
 - b. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace.
4. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the contract be given a copy on the "Drug-Free Workplace" statement.
5. Taking one of the following appropriate actions, within thirty (30) days of receiving notice from an employee or otherwise receiving such notice, that said employee has received a drug conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace:
 - a. Taking appropriate disciplinary action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
 - b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement or other appropriate agency.

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

* I certify that any person employed by this company, corporation, or business has not been convicted of any criminal drug statute violation on any job site or project within three years of the date of my signature below.

EXCEPTION: _____ Yes _____ No _____
Date Violation Type Place of Occurrence Was Employed By This Firm.
If additional space is required use back of this form.

* The above statement will also be incorporated as a part of each subcontract agreement for any and all subcontractors selected for performance on this project.

IN THE EVENT THIS COMPANY, CORPORATION, OR BUSINESS IS AWARDED THIS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT, AS A RESULT OF THIS BID; THE CONTRACTOR WITH HIS/HER SIGNATURE REPRESENTS TO THE CITY THAT THE INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. IT IS UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT FALSE CERTIFICATION IS SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE TERMINATION BY THE CITY.

The Representations Made Herein On This Document Are Made Under Penalty Of Perjury.

CONTRACTOR'S NAME: TEICHERY CONSTRUCTION

BY: Douglas E. Meyer Signature DOUGLAS E. MEYER DISTRICT MANAGER Title Date: 9-17-91

Effects of violations: a. Suspension of payments under the contract. b. Suspension or termination of the contract. c. Suspension or debarment of the contractor from receiving any contract from the City of Sacramento for a period not to exceed five years.

DESIGNATION OF SUBCONTRACTORS
(including suppliers and truckers)

NAME AND LICENSE NUMBER OF SUBCONTRACTOR	LOCATION OF PLACE OF BUSINESS	DESCRIPTION OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED BY SUBCONTRACTOR	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT OF CONTRACT	ENTER DB OR WBE STATUS AND CERTIFICATION NUMBER	SUBCONTRACTOR FEDERAL TAX I.D. #
Richard Heeps Electric Sacto Co. 472728 C-10 Supt.		Electrical	\$81,466 ⁰⁰		68-0068452
Anrak 256390	5820 Mayhew Sacramento	Pavement Planing	\$35173 ⁰⁰		94-1598018
Asphalt Service Co 119612	Martinez, Ca	Pavement Reinforcing FABRIC	\$25930 ⁰⁰		94-1406766
PISON FENCE C-13 316128	Citrus Heights, Ca 95621	Fencing	\$9750 ⁰⁰		94-2306999
Scaves Trucking CAL T 191369	Jackson Rd Sacramento, Ca	Trucking	\$20,858 ⁰⁰		94-2659110

REJECTED
 OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
 THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO
 SEP 1 1991

DATE	9/17/91
EMPLOYEE INITIALS	DS
MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY	
BID SECURITY	
() NONE REQUIRED	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROPERLY SIGNED	
BID DEPOSIT TYPE	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BID BOND	
() CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK	
() CERTIFIED CHECK	
() CASH	
() CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER	
AFTER AWARD OF BID	
() SECURITY RETURNED	
() SECURITY ACCEPTED	
EMPLOYEE INITIALS	
DATE	

By the
Office of the City Clerk
SEP 17 1991
FILED

TEICHERT CONSTRUCTION

BIDDER BY Douglas S. Meyer
 TITLE DOUGLAS E. MEYER
DISTRICT MANAGER
 PHONE (916) 386-6800
 DATE 9-17-91
 BIDDER'S FEDERAL TAX I.D. #: 68-0174245
 BIDDER'S CONTRACTOR LICENSE #: 8

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

SEALED PROPOSAL
(MUST BE SIGNED BY BIDDER)

Sealed Proposals will be received not later than 10:30 A.M. on September 17, 1991, at the Office of the City Clerk, Room 304, City Hall, Sacramento, California and opened at 10:30 A.M., or as soon thereafter as business allows, on September 17, 1991, in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Sacramento, California.

TO THE HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL:

The undersigned hereby proposes and agrees to furnish any and all required labor, material, transportation and services for:

Franklin Boulevard Assessment District
Sutterville Road to Fruitridge Road
(PN:TD91/WB91)

FILED

SEP 17 1991

in the City and County of Sacramento, California.

By the
Office of the City Clerk

The work is to be done in strict conformity with the Plans, City of Sacramento Standard Specifications (Resolution No. 89-216) and Special Provisions all as on file in the Office of the City Clerk, at the following Unit Prices.

Item No.	Item	Estimated Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Total
BID ITEMS					
1.	Preconstruction Photographs	1	JOB	LUMP SUM	\$ 1500-
2.	Clearing and Grubbing	1	JOB	LUMP SUM	\$ 3,000 81,000- <i>DL</i>
3.	Fence Relocation	280	LF	\$ 15-	\$ 4,200-
4.	Gate Relocation	110	LF	\$ 22-	\$ 2,420-
5.	Hydrant to Relocate	2	EA	\$ 1850	\$ 3,700-
6.	Sign Relocation	3	EA	\$ 200	\$ 600-
7.	Maintenance Hole to Remove	1	EA	\$ 2500	\$ 2500-
8.	Drain Pipe and Appurtenances to Remove/Abandon	1	JOB	\$ LUMP SUM	\$ 25,000-
9.	Roadway Excavation and Grading	4543	CY	\$ 25	\$ 113,575-
10.	1" Water Service to Place	31	EA	\$ 1325	\$ 41,075-

REJECTED
 OCT 1
 JOB BY THE CITY COUNCIL
 BY THE OFFICE OF THE
 JOB CITY CLERK

11. 1-1/2" Water Service to Place	6	EA	\$ <u>1600</u>	\$ <u>9,600</u>
12. Adjust Water Valve Boxes	39	EA	\$ <u>500</u>	\$ <u>19,500</u>
13. Adjust Maintenance Hole Heads	69	EA	\$ <u>780</u>	\$ <u>53,820</u>
14. 6" Flusher Branch to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>740</u>	\$ <u>740</u>
15. Drainage Pipe, 12" Diameter, RCP CL III	826	LF	\$ <u>64</u>	\$ <u>52,864</u>
16. Drop Inlet, Type B, to Construct	18	EA	\$ <u>1200</u>	\$ <u>21,600</u>
17. Ditch Box to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>1200</u>	\$ <u>1,200</u>
18. Maintenance Hole to Reconstruct	7	EA	\$ <u>1225</u>	\$ <u>8575</u>
19. Maintenance Hole No. 3 to Construct	3	EA	\$ <u>1725</u>	\$ <u>5175</u>
20. Maintenance Hole No. 3A to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>2500</u>	\$ <u>2500</u>
21. Maintenance Hole No. 4 to Construct	1	EA	\$ <u>3000</u>	\$ <u>3000</u>
22. Curb and Gutter No. 4 to Construct	2,460	LF	\$ <u>11</u>	\$ <u>27,060</u>
23. 3-1/2" Portland Cement Concrete Sidewalk	10,755	SF	\$ <u>3</u>	\$ <u>32,265</u>
24. Six Inch (6") Portland Cement Concrete Driveway	6,438	SF	\$ <u>450</u>	\$ <u>28,971</u>
25. Handicap Ramps to Construct	18	EA	\$ <u>340</u>	\$ <u>6,120</u>
26. Variable Depth Pavement Planing	3,560	TON	\$ <u>17</u>	\$ <u>60,520</u>
27. Aggregate Base, Class 2	5,750	TON	\$ <u>14</u>	\$ <u>80,500</u>
28. Asphaltic Concrete Base Course	1,030	TON	\$ <u>33</u>	\$ <u>33,990</u>
29. Pavement Reinforcing Fabric	38,260	SY	\$ <u>065</u>	\$ <u>24,869</u>

FILED
SEP 17 1991
 By the
 Office of the City Clerk

REJECTED
 EA \$ 3000
 OCT 1
 LF \$ 11
 BY THE CITY COUNCIL
 SF OFFICE OF THE
 CITY CLERK \$ 3

30. Asphaltic Concrete Finish Surfacing	3,330	TON	\$ <u>28⁻</u>	\$ <u>93,240⁻</u>	
31. Miscellaneous Asphaltic Concrete	95	TON	\$ <u>80⁻</u>	\$ <u>7,600⁻</u>	
32. Remove and Salvage Electrolier	1	EA	\$ <u>250⁻</u> \$ 500⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>250⁻</u> \$ 500⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
33. Install Mast Arm Electroliers	21	EA	\$ <u>1,550⁻</u> \$ 1,550⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>32,550⁻</u> \$ 32,550⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
34. Install No. 6 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	7,220	LF	\$ <u>.60⁻</u> \$.85⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>4,332⁻</u> \$ 3,971⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
35. Install No. 8 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	3,790	LF	\$ <u>.55⁻</u> \$.50⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>2,084⁵⁰</u> \$ 1,895⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
36. Install No. 10 THW Conductors in Existing Conduit	3,100	LF	\$ <u>.50⁻</u> \$.45⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>1,550⁻</u> \$ 1,395⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
37. Modify Fire Alarm and Interconnect Cables	1	JOB	\$ <u>LUMP SUM</u>	\$ <u>8000</u>	
38. Install 2" and 2-1/2" Conduits in Same Trench	1,720	LF	\$ <u>9⁻</u> \$ 10⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>15,480⁻</u> \$ 17,200⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
39. Install No. 5 Pullbox		EA	\$ <u>160⁻</u> \$ 350⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>4,800⁻</u> \$ 10,500⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
40. Adjust Pullbox to Grade		EA	\$ <u>90⁻</u> \$ 275⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>1,800⁻</u> \$ 5,500⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
41. Add 12 Pair Interconnect Cable in Existing Conduit	4,730	LF	\$ <u>120⁻</u> \$ 120⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>5,676⁻</u> \$ 5,676⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
42. Modify Detector Handhole	12	EA	\$ <u>150⁻</u> \$ 150⁻ <i>Dr</i>	\$ <u>1,800⁻</u> \$ 1,800⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
43. Install 6' x 6' Inductive Detector Loop	45	EA	\$ <u>225⁻</u>	\$ <u>10,125⁻</u> \$ 10,175⁻ <i>Dr</i>	
44. Install 2" Conduit	300	LF	\$ <u>12⁻</u>	\$ <u>3,600⁻</u>	
45. Install Detector Handhole		EA	\$ <u>370⁻</u>	\$ <u>740⁻</u>	
				TOTAL	\$ <u>942,066⁵⁰</u> \$ 944,066⁵⁰ <i>Dr</i>

REJECTED
OCT 1
BY THE CITY CLERK
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

FILED
SEP 17 1991
By the
Office of the City Clerk

If awarded the contract, the undersigned shall execute said contract and furnish the necessary bonds within ten (10) days after the notice of award of said contract and begin work within fifteen (15) days after the signing of the contract by the Contractor and the City or Notice to Proceed, whichever is applicable.

In determining the amount bid by each bidder, City shall disregard mathematical errors in addition, subtraction, multiplication and division that appear obvious on the face of the Proposal. When such a mathematical error appears on the face of the Proposal, the City shall have the right to correct such error and to compute the total amount bid by said bidder on the basis of the corrected figure or figures.

When an item price is required to be set forth in the Proposal, and the total for the item set forth separately does not agree with a figure which is derived by multiplying the item price times the Engineer's estimate of the quantity of work to be performed for said item, the item price shall prevail over the sum set forth as the total for the item unless, in the sole discretion of the City, such a procedure would be inconsistent with the policy of the bidding procedure. The total paid for each such item of work shall be based upon the item price and not the total price. Should the Proposal contain only a total price for the item and the item price is omitted, the City shall determine the item price by dividing the total price for the item by Engineer's estimate of the estimated quantities of work to be performed as items of work.

If the Proposal contains neither the item price nor the total price for the item, then it shall be deemed incomplete and the Proposal shall be disregarded.

It is understood that this bid is based upon completion of the work within a period of Ninety (90) working days commencing on the date specified in the Notice To Proceed.

The amount of the liquidated damages to be paid by Contractor to City for failure to complete the entire work by the Completion Date (as extended, if applicable) will be Five Hundred Dollars (\$500) for each calendar day, continuing to the time at which the work is completed. Such amount is the actual cash value agreed upon as the loss to City resulting from Contractor's default.

The undersigned represents and warrants that the undersigned has examined the location of the proposed work and is familiar with the local conditions at the place where the work is to be done, and the undersigned has reviewed and understands the Plans, Specifications and other contract documents, and the undersigned is satisfied with all conditions for performance of the work.

The undersigned has checked carefully all of the above figures and understands that the City of Sacramento will not be responsible for any errors or omissions on the part of the undersigned in making up this bid.

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

This proposal will not be withdrawn for the periods specified in Section 3-2 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications for award of contract to respective low bidders. This proposal is submitted according to Sections 1, 2, and 3 of the City of Sacramento Standard Specifications.

BID DEPOSIT ENCLOSED IN THE FOLLOWING FORM:

\$ _____ not less than ten percent (10%) of amount bid.

- _____ CERTIFIED CHECK
- _____ MONEY ORDER
- _____ CASHIER'S CHECK
- BID BOND *IN THE AMOUNT OF 10% OF THE AMOUNT BID*

CONTRACTOR

RGW CONSTRUCTION INC.

Addendum No. 1 _____

Addendum No. 2 _____

Addendum No. 3 _____

Addendum No. 4 _____

By: *Philip Carr*
(Signature) Philip Carr

Title: ESTIMATING MANAGER

Address: 4225 NORTHCATE BLVD. #4
SACRAMENTO, CA 95834

FILED
SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

REJECTED
Telephone No: (916) 920-2166

Contractor's License:

Valid Contractor's License No: A591940, Classification: A/B
Expiration date: 4/30/92, is held by the bidder.

BY THE CITY CLERK
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

NT:kj
TD91-S13.J
06.2091

DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE POLICY AND AFFIDAVIT

BID MAY BE DECLARED NONRESPONSIVE IF THIS FORM (COMPLETED) IS NOT ATTACHED.
Pursuant to City Council Resolution CC90-498 dated 6/26/90 the following is required.

The undersigned contractor certifies that it and all subcontractors performing under this contract will provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a "Drug-Free Workplace" statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the contractor's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violations of such prohibition.
2. Establishing a Drug-Free Awareness Program to inform employees about:
 - a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.
 - b. The contractor's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.
 - c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance program.
 - d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace.
3. Notify employees that as a condition of employment under this contract, employees will be expected to:
 - a. Abide by the terms of the statement.
 - b. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace.
4. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the contract be given a copy on the "Drug-Free Workplace" statement.
5. Taking one of the following appropriate actions, within thirty (30) days of receiving notice from an employee or otherwise receiving such notice, that said employee has received a drug conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace:
 - a. Taking appropriate disciplinary action against such an employee, up to and including termination; or
 - b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a federal, state, or local health, law enforcement or other appropriate agency.

FILED

SEP 17 1991

By the
Office of the City Clerk

* I certify that any person employed by this company, corporation, or business has not been convicted of any criminal drug statute violation on any job site or project within three years of the date of my signature below.

EXCEPTION: N/A Yes No
Date _____ Violation Type _____ Place of Occurrence _____ Was Employed By This Firm. _____
If additional space is required use back of this form.

*** The above statement will also be incorporated as a part of each subcontract agreement for any and all subcontractors selected for performance on this project.**

IN THE EVENT THIS COMPANY, CORPORATION, OR BUSINESS IS AWARDED THIS CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT, AS A RESULT OF THIS BID; THE CONTRACTOR WITH HIS/HER SIGNATURE REPRESENTS TO THE CITY THAT THE INFORMATION DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. IT IS UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED THAT FALSE CERTIFICATION IS SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE TERMINATION BY THE CITY.

The Representations Made Herein On This Document Are Made Under Penalty Of Perjury.

CONTRACTOR'S NAME: RGW CONSTRUCTION INC.

BY: Philip Carr ESTIMATING MANAGER Date: 9/17/91
Signature Title

Effects of violations: a. Suspension of payments under the contract. b. Suspension or termination of the contract. c. Suspension or debarment of the contractor from receiving any contract from the City of Sacramento for a period not to exceed five years.

DESIGNATION OF SUBCONTRACTORS
(including suppliers and truckers)

NAME AND LICENSE NUMBER OF SUBCONTRACTOR	LOCATION OF PLACE OF BUSINESS	DESCRIPTION OF WORK TO BE PERFORMED BY SUBCONTRACTOR	TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT OF CONTRACT	ENTER DB OR WBE STATUS AND CERTIFICATION NUMBER	SUBCONTRACTOR FEDERAL TAX I.D. #
R&R MATHER 508930	VALLEJO	CONCRETE	89,362 ⁸⁰	—	68-0122808
C.F.B 303449	ROSEVILLE	UNDERGROUND	210,672	—	94-2281513
WESTERN STABILIZATION 540139	DIXON	AC PLANING	43,076	—	68-0150763
HEAPS ELECTRIC 472728	SACRAMENTO	ELECTRICAL	± 87,465	—	68-0068452
SOARES	SACRAMENTO	TRUCKING	± 22,400	—	?
Spirit Road Oil Asphalt Serv. Co. 119612	SAN JOSE Asphalt	Pvmt. Rain Fabric	± 24,300	DB & WBE 85H 1378	77-0051932 94-111766

REJECTED

OCT 1 1991

BY THE CITY COUNCIL
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
CITY CLERK ROBERTLY SIGNED

BID SECURITY

MARK ONE BOX FOR EACH ITEM ONLY

EMPLOYEE INITIALS _____

DATE _____

AFTER AWARD OF BID

() SECURITY RETURNED

() SECURITY ACCEPTED

EMPLOYEE INITIALS _____

DATE _____

BID DEPOSIT TYPE

() BID BOND

() CALIF. BANK CASHIER'S CHECK

() CERTIFIED CHECK

() CASH

() CALIF. BANK MONEY ORDER

By the
Office of the City Clerk

SEP 17 1991

FILED

RGW CONSTRUCTION INC.

BIDDER BY Philip Carr Philip Carr

TITLE ESTIMATING MANAGER

PHONE (916) 920-2166

DATE 9/17/91

BIDDER'S FEDERAL TAX I.D. #: #77-0244867 (FED. ID #)

BIDDER'S CONTRACTOR LICENSE #: A591940