





DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

ROBERT P. THOMAS DIRECTOR

G. ERLING LINGGI ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

WALTER S. UEDA DEPUTY DIRECTOR

City Council Sacramento, California CITY OF SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA

May 9, 1989

BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAY 1 6 1989

OFFICE OF THE

1231 I STREET SUITE 400 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-2977

916-449-5200

DIVISIONS: CROCKER ART MUSEUM GOLF METROPOLITAN ARTS MUSEUM AND HISTORY PARKS RECREATION ZOO

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Meadowview Community Center Site Selection

SUMMARY

This report recommends that City Council approve the criteria and ranking procedure for the selection of the site for the Meadowview Community Center.

TRANSPORTATION AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE ACTION

The attached report will be heard by the Transportation and Community Development Committee at their May 16, 1989 meeting. Results of that meeting will be presented orally to City Council.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that City Council, by resolution, approve the criteria and procedure for site selection for the Meadowview Community Center as presented in Attachment A.

Respectfully submitted,

Parks and Community Services

Recommendation Approved:

City Manager

RPT: ja

May 16, 1989 Districts 7 & 8

RESOLUTION NO. 89-384

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL ON DATE APPROVED

MAY 1 6 1989

RESOLUTION APPROVING CRITERIA AND PROCEDURES

OFFICE OF THE
CITY OF THE
FOR SITE SELECTION OF THE MEADOWVIEW COMMUNITY CENTER

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO that the Meadowview Community Center site selection criteria and ranking procedures as presented in Attachment A are hereby approved.

| | MAYOR | |
|------------|-------|--|
| ATTEST: | | |
| | | |
| CITY CLERK | • | |

Attachment A

MEADOWVIEW COMMUNITY CENTER - SITE SELECTION CRITERIA AND RANKING PROCEDURE

The following procedures and criteria are to be used in the rating of potential sites as the location for the Meadowview Community Center. The final ranking of the sites by the Advisory Committee becomes the basis by which the City Council makes the final decision assuming cost and environmental factors are basically the same.

RANKING PROCEDURES:

- 1. Each Advisory Committee member will rank each identified site seperately.
- 2. Using a scale of 1 (low) to 10 (high) each member will rate each site by each criteria based on the members assessment of the importance of that criteria. Total scores will range from 9 - 90 for each site for each Committee Member.
- 3. The sum of each ranking for each site will be added providing an overall committee ranking for that site.
- 4. The sites will be placed in order of total score and this becomes the recommended list to the City Council for their consideration.

The top three-four ranked sites will be subject to an Environmental Impact Report and the findings of this report and the cost of purchasing the site will become additional factors in the final site selection by the Council.

SITE CRITERIA:

- 1. The site is centrally located within the community.
- 2. The site has good access to/from public transportation.
- 3. The site is located on, or adjacent to, other public or public access facilities.
- 4. The site is on a major street.
- 5. The site is easily accessible/identifiable from all parts of the Meadowview Community.
- The site is of sufficient size and configuration for current and future needs.
- 7. The site has the potential for a positive social impact on the community.
- 8. The site has the potential for a positive economic impact on the community.
- 9. The site has no known significant infra-structure and/or environmental problems.

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

CITY OF SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA

ROBERT P. THOMAS DIRECTOR

G. ERLING LINGGI ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

WALTER S. UEDA DEPUTY DIRECTOR May 5, 1989

1231 I STREET SUITE 400 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-2977

916-449-5200

DIVISIONS: CROCKER ART MUSEUM **GOLF** METROPOLITAN ARTS MUSEUM AND HISTORY PARKS RECREATION 200

Transportation and Community Development Committee Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Meadowview Community Center Site Selection

SUMMARY

This report recommends that the City Council approve the criteria and ranking procedure for the selection of the site for the Meadowview Community Center.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A fifteen member citizens committee of Meadowview residents has been advising the Department of Parks and Community Services on the selection process for the site of the new Meadowview Community Center.

The committee has developed a set of criteria and a ranking procedure for rating each of the sites that have been identified as a possible location for the Community Center (Attachment A). As noted in the procedure, the committee's final ranking of the sites becomes the basis for the City Council's final determination of the site to be selected subject to the cost and an Environmental Impact Report.

FINANCIAL DATA

Funds for purchase of the site have been appropriated in the current year C.I.P. budget. No additional funds are being requested.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

None.

MBE/WBE EFFORTS

None.

The Pride of Sacramento

Transportation and Community Development Committee

-2-

May 5, 1989

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Transportation and Community Development Committee approve this report and refer it to the full City Council for action. Further, it is recommended that the City Council, by resolution, approve the criteria and procedure for site selection for the Meadowview Community Center as presented in Attachment A.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert P. Thomas, Director Parks and Community Services

Recommendation Approved:

David R. Martinez Deputy City Manager

May 16, 1989 Districts 7 & 8

RPT: ja

CONTACT PERSON: Alan Boyd, Recreation Superintendent, 449-5171