



OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

10³⁶

TAKEN AS ITEM
36-A

CITY HALL
915 I STREET - 95814
(916) 449-5704

February 28, 1980

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Recommendation for Approval of the Communications Master Plan,
the Amendment to Consultant Contract and Authorization for
Consultant to Start the Second Phase of the Master Plan

SUMMARY

On November 21, 1978, the Council approved the "Preliminary Communications Master Plan" dated October 1978. A copy is attached for reference. It is marked as Exhibit A.

On June 26, 1979, the Council approved an Agreement with Fieler and Associates for Consultant Services for the Consolidation of the City Public Safety Communications System. The Consultant has completed the first phase of his work. Submitted herewith for Council approval are two volumes of the Consultant's Master Plan Reports. These are marked as Exhibit B and Exhibit C.

Also submitted for Council approval is an Amendment to the Agreement for Consultant Services to include architectural services for a consolidated communications replacement building and to include consultant services for a fire and police computer aided dispatch system. The proposed Amended Agreement also will more clearly define the duties and responsibilities of the Consultant and his architect. The original Agreement is marked as Exhibit D and the Amended Agreement is marked as Exhibit E.

Two significant changes since the beginning of the project are:

1. The design and construction of a consolidated communications replacement building in the park at 28th and P Streets in lieu of placing the consolidated communications center in the basement of the Hall of Justice as originally planned.
2. The addition of a police and fire computer aided dispatch system at this time instead of sometime in the distant future.

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

CONDITION COUNCIL
APPROVE SITE PLAN

MAR 4 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

A-79201

The Staff has presented the Communications Master Plan to the Budget and Finance Committee on February 19th and February 26th, 1980. The submittals to the Budget and Finance Committee are attached for Council information. These are combined and marked as Exhibit F.

On February 26th the Budget and Finance Committee approved the Staff recommendations and approved forwarding the proposals to the full Council for consideration.

It is recommended that:

1. The Communications Master Plan submitted by the Consultant, Fieler and Associates, be approved.
2. The First Amendment to the Agreement for Consultant Services for the Consolidation of the City Public Safety Communications System be approved.
3. Authorization be provided for Consultant to begin with the second phase of the Master Plan, which is to proceed with the design for the construction of a consolidated communications replacement building within the current appropriation.

BACKGROUND

Formation of Communications Task Force

It was recognized that there is a need for a comprehensive review and study of all City wide communication functions. Accordingly, in November 1977, a Communications Task Force was created.

The goals of the Task Force were:

1. To develop recommendations which can be implemented to provide the City with a comprehensive communications system that integrates all resources presently involved in communications.
2. To determine the most efficient and economical manner of providing 911 Emergency Assistance to City residents.

Preliminary Communications Master Plan

In October 1978, the Communications Task Force finalized a "Preliminary Communications Master Plan" and presented it to the City Council for approval.

The recommendations in the Preliminary Communications Master Plan are:

1. Consolidation of the City's Communications System at the Police Department and the upgrading of equipment on an interim basis.
2. The establishment of a permanent location for the City's ultimate Communications Center, with full modern equipment which would be implemented sometime in the future.

3. Staff be authorized to proceed with the necessary steps toward retaining a communications consultant for the purpose of refining the Master Plan, defining more closely the implementation stages, and preparing plans and specifications for equipment and materials to implement consolidation to upgrade City's communications equipment.

The Preliminary Communications Master Plan was approved by Council on November 21, 1978 and on June 26, 1979 the Council approved an Agreement with Fieler and Associates for consultant services.

Communications Master Plan

A major recommendation in the Communications Master Plan Report submitted by the Consultant is to locate the proposed consolidated communications center in a replacement building at 28th and P Streets instead of in the basement of the Hall of Justice as originally planned. This is a significant deviation from the Preliminary Master Plan.

This proposal, if implemented, will provide the following advantages:

1. The proposed location will be a permanent location instead of an interim location for the Communications Center, so no future costly relocation will be necessary.
2. There will be more space available for future expansions and for parking.
3. The proposed consolidated communications center will be in close proximity of City fire alarm trunk lines. Extension of fire alarm cable and duct runs to the Police Department at the Hall of Justice will not be necessary. This would be a substantial saving.
4. There will be less radio interference on radio operations at 28th and P Streets than at the Hall of Justice.
5. New construction would have minimum effect on on-going operations.

Communications Task Force Recommendations

The Task Force concurs with the Consultant's recommendation of locating the Communications Center in a replacement building in the City park at 28th and P Streets.

The Task Force also recommends that a police and fire computer aided dispatch (CAD) system be included at this time, instead of sometime in the distant future. This system will provide instant computer stored information for the dispatchers through cathode ray tube (CRT) displays which enable the dispatchers to dispatch fire and/or police equipment readily and accurately.

The CAD system is recommended for the following reasons:

1. It will dramatically reduce both dispatch time and response time.
2. Under previous Council action, the purchase of a police message switcher unit was approved. The unit contains computers which will make the inclusion of the CAD System possible at this time with minimal cost. The addition of the CAD System at a later date would be much more costly.

Amendment of Consultant Agreement

Inclusion of the Communications Center and the CAD System in the Communications Master Plan will require the following modifications to the Consultant's Agreement.

1. Eliminate the architectural services for the remodeling of the basement of the Hall of Justice.
2. Eliminate the engineering services for the extension of the fire alarm cable and duct runs from 28th and P Streets to the Hall of Justice.
3. The addition of architectural services for the new Communications Center.
4. The addition of engineering services for the CAD System.

911 Emergency Assistance Act

Under AB515 (1972) 911 Emergency Assistance Act and AB416 (1976) legislation, the State will provide funding to reimburse Incremental 911 Emergency telephone costs to cities and counties with an approved 911 System. Cities and counties within California were required to submit final approved plans by July 1, 1978 to qualify for 911 Incremental Funds. The 911 System must be operational by December 31, 1984.

The City applied for this funding on June 28, 1978. The 911 System was also included in the Communications Master Plan.

On December 14, 1979, the State Department of General Services, Communications Division, notified the City of the approval of our application. The approval is conditioned on the elimination of the additional personnel and other incremental costs due to the proposed consolidation of emergency services dispatching and the use of selective routing.

Approved funding for the 911 System will include selective routing but will not include automatic number identification (ANI), automatic location identification (ALI) and other miscellaneous items such as headsets, recorder couplers logging teletype, etc. Selective Routing is a feature that automatically routes all 911 calls within the City boundaries to the City Communications Center.

Action by Budget and Finance Committee

On February 19, 1980, the subject Communications Master Plan was submitted to the Council Budget and Finance Committee for consideration.

At that meeting it was brought to the attention of the Committee that there are two major recommended changes in the proposed Communications Master Plan which deviate from the "Preliminary Communications Master Plan" approved by Council on November 21, 1978. These recommended changes are:

1. The design and construction of a consolidated communications replacement building in the park at 28th and P Streets in lieu of placing the consolidated communications center in the basement of the Hall of Justice as originally planned.
2. The addition of a police and fire computer aided dispatch system at this time instead of sometime in the distant future.

Two questions were raised by the Committee members. These were:

1. What is the feasibility of locating the proposed Communications Center in an existing vacant City owned building?

Previously, this question was thoroughly considered by the Consultant and the Task Force.

In the design of the Communications Center the following criteria should be observed.

- The building should not be located within 150 feet of another structure. Otherwise, special attention should be given to guard against damage from such exposure by protecting openings and by constructing the roof so as to resist damage which might be caused by falling walls.
- The building should have auxiliary emergency power supply.
- The Communications Center shall not be located below grade unless the structure is specifically designed for such location.
- The building shall be of fire-resistive construction as defined in the National Building Code published by the American Insurance Association.
- No combustible materials shall be permitted in the construction.
- Sufficient emergency lighting shall be provided to permit necessary operations.
- The building shall be designed to withstand earthquakes.
- The Communications Center and the essential operating equipment shall be protected against physical damage due to vandalism and civil disturbances.
- The building should be of such design to facilitate future expansions.
- The building should have computer type flooring. This is a honeycomb type raised floor to allow the installation and future rearrangement of cabling systems.
- The building should be at a location where it will be free from excess radio interferences.

- The heating and cooling systems should be designed to meet the environment required by electronic equipment.
- The building should be located near or at the central terminals of existing wire and cable systems so the costly extension of such systems will be at a minimum.

There is no City owned building which will meet these conditions. To renovate a building to meet these conditions and to extend the cabling to the renovated building will be very costly.

All of the possible City owned buildings that could be considered for use as a communications center have been evaluated against the above criteria. Among those structures were the following:

- Basement of the Hall of Justice (6th & H Streets)
- Former Engine 6 (3414 4th Avenue)
- Former Engine 12 (2050 19th Avenue)
- Former Engine 7 (Vallejo Way & Freeport Boulevard)
- Former Engine 11 (1910 Arica Way)
- Former Engine 9 (3101 Stockton Boulevard)

All of the listed buildings would require extensive renovation to meet some of the criteria. As an example, the renovation of the basement of the Hall of Justice would cost an estimated \$150,000. The extension of the cabling from 28th and P Streets to the Hall of Justice would require an additional \$140,000 and equipment relocation costs would be \$85,000. This \$375,000 relocation cost would only provide an interim location for the communications center.

It is concluded that the construction of a replacement communications center at 28th and P Streets (the location of the City cable terminals) is in the best interest of the City. It will provide the City with a suitable permanent communications center without further relocation in the foreseeable future.

2. Are descriptions of the Computer Aided Dispatch System available?

Yes, a Computer Aided Dispatch System is an electronic system consisting essentially of central process unit (CPU) computers, information storage disks, cathode ray tube (CRT) keyboard terminals and associated electronic hardware and software for the purpose of assisting the emergency dispatcher to dispatch police and/or fire equipment readily and accurately.

Attached for Council review are two pamphlets describing the CAD system more thoroughly. Exhibit G

Initially, it was planned to design the proposed police and fire consoles so they will accept the installation of a CAD System at a later date. However, the police message switcher unit approved by Council previously, will have sufficient computer capacity for a CAD System. For this reason, the installation of a CAD System is possible at this time at a minimum cost of approximately \$150,000. The addition of the CAD System at a later date would be much more costly (estimated to be \$400,000 to \$500,000) and will interrupt on-going emergency operations during installation.

The Budget and Finance Committee approved the Staff recommendations on February 26, 1980 and approved forwarding the proposals to the full Council for consideration.

EXHIBITS

Transmitted herewith for Council information are the following exhibits:

- EXHIBIT A Preliminary Communications Master Plan
- EXHIBIT B Consultant's Master Plan Report (Volume 1)
- EXHIBIT C Consultant's Master Plan Report (Volume 2)
- EXHIBIT D Original Agreement for Consultant Services for the Consolidation of the City Public Safety Safety Communications System
- EXHIBIT E First Amendment to the Agreement for Consultant Services for the Consolidation of the City Public Safety Communications System
- EXHIBIT F Letters of Transmittals to the Council Budget and Finance Committee
- EXHIBIT G Included are the following items:
 - Summary of Consultant's Contract, dated February 19, 1980
 - A Memorandum from M. H. Johnson, Deputy City Engineer, dated February 15, 1980 relative to the Architectural Services for the Proposed Communications Center
 - A pamphlet on the "Application of Computer-Aided Dispatch in Law Enforcement" by the California Institute of Technology.
 - Description of a Computer Aided Fire Service Dispatch System

FINANCIAL

The total project cost is estimated to be \$1,989,559 which includes the Proposed Amended Consultant's fee and an estimated cost of \$405,000 for the proposed consolidation communications replacement building.

The existing Agreement for Consultant Services provides for a consultant fee of \$59,069. The Amendment to the Consultant Agreement will change the fee from \$59,069 to a fee of not to exceed \$100,524.

There is \$652,069 budgeted in the Capital Improvement Budget for this project.

By approval of the Amendment to the Contract for Consultant Services, the architectural plans can be started and the communications center can be constructed without additional funding at this time.

Funding for the balance of the project such as police and fire radios, consoles, etc. can be provided for in future budgets. The recommendation is for funding of \$637,490 in the 1980-1981 budget and \$700,000 in the 1981-1982 budget.

The State of California has approved the funding for the 911 Emergency Assistance Program. The funding will be for installation costs and for on-going operational costs. The exact amounts are yet to be determined.

TIME TABLE

The project implementation schedule and project budgetary costs are estimated to be as follows:

<u>Start of Activity (Budget Year)</u>	<u>Schedule of Activity</u>	<u>Estimated Cost</u>	<u>Proposed Funding</u>
1979-1980	Preparation of Master Plan and Consultant Services	\$ 100,524	\$ 652,069 appropriated
	Design and Construction of Consolidated Communications Replacement Building	405,000	
1980-1981	Installation of Fire Department Radios	493,100	637,490
	Installation of Police Department Radios	266,900	
1981-1982	Installation of Police Dispatch Center Equipment	265,355	700,000
	Installation of Fire Department Consoles and Accessories	130,500	
	Installation of Computer Aided Dispatch System	150,000	
	Installation of Fire Alarm Equipment	178,180	
		<u>\$1,989,559</u>	<u>\$1,989,559</u>

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the following items be approved by the adoption of the attached resolution:

1. The Communications Master Plan submitted by the Consultant, Fieler and Associates.
2. The First Amendment to the Agreement for Consultant Services for the Consolidation of the City Public Safety Communications System.
3. Authorization be provided for Consultant to start with the second phase of the Master Plan, to proceed with the design for the construction of the Consolidated Communications Replacement Building within the current appropriation.

Respectfully Submitted

William H. Edgar

William H. Edgar
Assistant City Manager

Recommendations Approved

Walter J. Slipe
Walter J. Slipe, City Manager

WHE:jp

attachments

March 4, 1980
All Districts

RESOLUTION NO. 80-127

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

RESOLUTION FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMMUNICATIONS MASTER PLAN, AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF THE CITY'S PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM AND AUTHORIZING CONSULTANT TO START WITH THE SECOND PHASE OF THE COMMUNICATIONS MASTER PLAN

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

- 1. That the Communications Master Plan submitted by the Consultant, Fieler and Associates, is hereby approved.
- 2. That the City Clerk and City Manager are hereby authorized and directed to execute the First Amendment to the Agreement for Consultant Services for the Consolidation of the City's Public Safety Communications System, dated the First day of July 1979, by and between Fieler and Associates and the City of Sacramento.
- 3. That the Consultant, Fieler and Associates, is hereby authorized to start with the second phase of the Communications Master Plan and to proceed with the design for the construction of a Consolidated Communications Replacement Building within the current appropriation.

MAYOR

ATTEST

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

(MAR 4 1980)

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION No. 79-382

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

June 26, 1979

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF
THE AGREEMENT FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES
FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF THE CITY PUBLIC
SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

That the City Manager and City Clerk are hereby authorized
and directed to execute the Agreement between Fieler and Associates
and the City of Sacramento to provide for consultant services for
the consolidation of the City Public Safety Communications Systems.

PHILLIP L. ISENBERG

MAYOR

ATTEST:

LORRAINE MAGANA

CITY CLERK

CERTIFIED AS TRUE COPY
of Resolution No. 79-382

JUN 27 1979

DATE CERTIFIED

Deputy City Clerk, City of Sacramento

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

INTER-OFFICE ROUTING

To:

- Mayor / City Council Office
- City Manager
- Asst. City Manager
- Asst. City Manager, Community Development
- Asst. to City Manager
- Public Information
- City Clerk
- Finance Administration
- Revenues & Collections
- Purchasing
- Central Stores
- Accounting
- Utility Billing
- Data Processing
- City Treasurer
- City Attorney
- Planning Department
- Personnel Administration
- Employee Relations
- Employee Services
- Management Services
- Personnel Selections
- Training
- Police Department

To:

- Fire Department
- Fire Prevention
- Weed Abatement
- Emergency Planning
- City Engineer
- Asst. City Engr. Administration
- Animal Control
- Real Estate & Street Assessment
- Facility Maintenance
- Street Maintenance
- Traffic - Parking
- Water & Sewer
- Building Inspection
- Electrical Inspection
- Plumbing Inspection
- Community Improvement
- Waste Removal
- Equipment Maintenance

To:

- Museum & History Commission
- Recreation & Parks Administration
- Recreation
- Parks
- Golf
- Zoo

- Crocker Art Gallery
- Library Administration
- Housing and Redevelopment
- Community Center

Note:

- For Your Information
- Return with Recommendations
- Please Comment
- Prepare Draft and Return
- Prepare Letter
- Take Necessary Action
- Investigate and Report
- Per Your Request
- Reply, Send Copy To:

To: WALTER J. SLIPE, CITY MANAGER REFERRAL OF AGENDA ITEM, MEETING OF 3-4-80

ITEM NO. 36A: Council adopted the resolution and attendant agreement

Comments _____

with condition that Council to subsequently approve

the site plan.

cc: Assistant City Manager (W. Edgar)

Signature

J Payne
(1976)

Title or Dept.

CITY CLERK

Date

3-6-80



37

SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

February 13, 1980

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
FEB 27 1980

Redevelopment Agency of the
City of Sacramento
City Hall
915 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

CITY GOVERNING BOARD
PHILLIP L. ISENBERG, MAYOR
LLOYD CONNELLY
BLAINE H. FISHER
THOMAS R. HOEBER
DOUGLAS N. POPE
JOHN ROBERTS
LYNN ROBIE
ANNE RUDIN
DANIEL E. THOMPSON

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Amendment of Personal Services Contract for
services of City Engineer

SUMMARY

Attached is a resolution by which you add \$143,400.00 to the contract authorizing the Agency to reimburse the City of Sacramento for engineering services for Project 4.

BACKGROUND

When Project 4 started in June, 1968, a contract was executed between the Agency and the City for reimbursement of engineering services rendered in connection with the design and installation of streets, sewer, storm drainage, water, and other site improvements in the project. The original contract amount of \$170,713 has now been exhausted. It is estimated that an additional \$143,400 will be required to reimburse the City for engineering services needed to complete the project. This amount is approximately 10% of the estimated cost of remaining improvements.

FINANCIAL DATA

Costs of the contract are paid with federal urban renewal funds; ample funds are available in the federal budget for Project 4 for this purpose. No local funds will be used, and no additional staff is needed.

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAR 4 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

APPROVED
SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Date 3-4-80

3/4/80

COUNTY GOVERNING BOARD
ILLA COLLIN
C. TOBIAS (TOBY) JOHNSON
JOSEPH E. (TED) SHEEDY
SANDRA R. SMOLEY
FRED G. WADE

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
WILLIAM G. SELINE

P.O. Box 1834
SACRAMENTO, CA 95809
630 I STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
(916) 444-9210

SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Redevelopment Agency of the
City of Sacramento
February 13, 1980
Page Two

VOTE AND RECOMMENDATION OF COMMISSION

The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Commission, at its meeting of February 20, 1980, recommended that you adopt the attached resolution by the following vote:

AYES: Fisher, Knepprath, Luevano, A. Miller, Teramoto,
Walton, B. Miller
NOES: None

ABSENT: Coleman, Serna

RECOMMENDATION


It is recommended that you approve the attached resolution.

Sincerely,



WILLIAM G. SELINE
Executive Director

TRANSMITTAL TO COUNCIL:


WALTER J. SLIFE
City Manager

Contact Person: Beverly Czoberek

AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT FOR PERSONAL SERVICES
PROJECT NO. 4, CALIF. R-67

By and Between

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
and
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

THIS AMENDMENT, made and entered into this _____ day of _____, 1980, by and between the CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal corporation (herein called the "Contractor"), and the REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a public body, corporate and politic (herein called the "Agency").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Agency and Contractor entered into a Contract for Personal Services dated June 5, 1968, in connection with the Capitol Mall Riverfront Project, Project No. 4; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to make certain modification to said Contract, and to increase the amount of compensation payable thereunder.

NOW, THEREFORE, Contractor and Agency hereby agree that the Contract for Personal Services dated June 5, 1968, shall be amended as follows:

1. Subsection (c) of Section 9 shall be amended by deleting the reference to "City Ordinance No. 2733, Fourth Series", and inserting in lieu thereof: "City Ordinance No. 4150, Fourth Series". A copy of said City Ordinance No. 4150, Fourth Series, is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof.
2. Subsection (e) of Section 9 shall be amended by deleting the sum of "ONE HUNDRED SEVENTY THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED THIRTEEN DOLLARS (\$170,713.00)" and inserting in lieu thereof:

"THREE HUNDRED FOURTEEN THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED THIRTEEN and NO/100 DOLLARS (\$314,113.00)".

Except as modified herein, all other terms and conditions of the Contract for Personal Services dated June 5, 1968, shall remain the same and unchanged.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Agency and Contractor have executed this Amendment as of the date first above written.

REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO

By _____ Chairman

By _____ Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Chief Counsel

APPROVED:

Finance Department

Funding Source

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

By _____ Mayor

Attest: _____ City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

ORDINANCE NO. 4150 FOURTH SERIES

AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING FEES FOR SERVICES OF THE CITY ENGINEER AND SUPERINTENDENT OF STREETS FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES IN CONJUNCTION WITH ASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS AND OTHER WORK AND REPEALING SECTIONS 38.6 AND 38.7 OF THE CITY CODE

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1.

Sections 38.6 and 38.7 of the City Code are repealed.

SECTION 2.

Section 38.6 of the City Code is enacted to read as follows:

Sec. 38.6 Charges for Services of City Engineer and Superintendent of Streets - on Assessment Proceedings.

The charges for the services of the City Engineer and his office and the charges for the services of the Superintendent of Streets and his office for engineering services and for other services relating to public improvement work constructed or done upon the public streets, places and rights-of-way in this city under special assessment proceedings which the City Council of the City of Sacramento conducts under the provisions of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California are hereby fixed as follows:

(a) For special assessment proceedings where the assessment district was formed prior to the effective date of this section:

- (1) Fifteen percent of the first \$5,000 of the contract amount, or any part thereof;
- (2) Thirteen percent of the next \$5,000 of the contract amount, or any part thereof;
- (3) Twelve percent of the next \$15,000 of the contract amount, or any part thereof;
- (4) Eleven percent of the next \$25,000 of the contract amount, or any part thereof;
- (5) Ten percent of all contract amounts above \$50,000.

(b) For special assessment proceedings where the assessment district is formed on or after the effective date of this section, a sum equal to the direct cost of the services provided by the City Engineer and his office plus an indirect cost factor at a rate or rates adopted by Resolution of the City Council from time to time. Said services shall include, without limitation, any services for acquiring right-of-way or property or capacity in public improvement work and all costs and expenses of any such acquisition.

(c) When subparagraph (a) above applies, in any proceeding for doing and constructing public improvement work wherein the City of Sacramento acquires right-of-way or property or capacity in public improvement work and wherein the law under which the City Council conducts the proceeding permits the cost of acquiring such rights-of-way or property or capacity to be an incidental expense of the proceeding which can be assessed on the lands benefiting from such public improvement work and such acquisitions, the City Engineer is authorized to charge to the proceeding the cost of the services that his office renders in obtaining such rights-of-way or property or capacity and all necessary expenses incurred therefor and the cost of such services and expenses shall not be included within the schedule of rates above shown.

The charges determined from the above list shall cover the cost of the preparation of the proceedings, the cost of the field work, the cost of inspection and the cost of all office and clerical work in the proceedings. Such charges cover only normal engineering services in connection with such work, and all other unusual or extraordinary services performed by the City Engineer in connection with any work under this section shall be charged separately by the City Engineer in relation to his cost of rendering such services.

SECTION 3.

Section 38.7 of the City Code is enacted to read as follows:

Sec. 38.7 Same--Frontage Improvements and Improvements Pursuant to Subdivision Proceedings - All Improvements Other Than Frontage Improvements and Improvements Pursuant to Subdivision Proceedings and Assessment Proceedings.

(a) The charges for the services of the engineering department of the City of Sacramento for frontage improvements and improvements pursuant to subdivision proceedings relating to public improvement work constructed or done upon the public streets, places, and rights-of-way in this city under private contract are hereby fixed as follows:

(1) Thirteen and one-half percent of the first \$10,000 of the contract amount, or any part thereof;

(2) Twelve percent of the next \$15,000 of the contract amount, or any part thereof;

(3) Eleven percent of the next \$25,000 of the contract amount, or any part thereof;

(4) Ten percent of all contract amounts above \$50,000.

The above charges cover only normal engineering services in connection with such work, and all other unusual or extraordinary services performed by the City Engineer in connection with any work under this section shall be charged separately by the City Engineer in relation to his cost of rendering such service.

The City Engineer, at his discretion, may authorize consulting engineers to provide engineering services in the preparation of plans for the above-mentioned public works at the expense of any private party who is responsible for the construction of said works. In such event, the charges for field inspection shall be one-half of the amount which is computed according to the above rate list.

(b) The charges for the services of the engineering department of the City of Sacramento for all services for improvements other than those covered by Sections 38.6 and 38.7(a) above relating to public improvement work constructed or done upon the public streets, places and rights-of-way in this city are hereby fixed as a sum equal to the direct cost of the services provided by the engineering department of the City of Sacramento plus an indirect cost factor at a rate or rates adopted by Resolution of the City Council from time to time.

PASSED FOR PUBLICATION: OCTOBER 24, 1978

ENACTED: OCTOBER 31, 1978

EFFECTIVE: NOVEMBER 30, 1978

PHILLIP L. ISENBERG
MAYOR

ATTEST:

LORRAINE PAGANA
CITY CLERK

RESOLUTION NO. 2892

Adopted by the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento

March 4, 1980

APPROVING AMENDMENT OF CONTRACT WITH THE
CITY OF SACRAMENTO FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF
SACRAMENTO:

Section 1. The Executive Director is authorized to execute
an amendment to "Contract for Personal Services, Project No. 4,
Calif R-67" with the City of Sacramento increasing the maximum
amount of compensation thereunder to \$314,113.00.

CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

William Selby
SECRETARY

APPROVED
SACRAMENTO REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Date 3-4-80

RESOLUTION No. 80-131

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

March 4, 1980

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF AN
AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT BETWEEN THE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY AND THE CITY OF
SACRAMENTO FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

That the City Manager and City Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to execute an amendment to that certain "Contract for Personal Services, Project No. 4, Calif R-67" between the Redevelopment Agency and the City of Sacramento, increasing the maximum amount of compensation thereunder to \$314,113.00.

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAR 4 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

INTER-OFFICE ROUTING

To:

- Mayor / City Council Office
- City Manager
- Asst. City Manager
- Asst. City Manager, Community Development
- Asst. to City Manager
- Public Information
- City Clerk
- Finance Administration
- Revenues & Collections
- Purchasing
- Central Stores
- Accounting
- Utility Billing
- Data Processing
- City Treasurer
- City Attorney
- Planning Department
- Personnel Administration
- Employee Relations
- Employee Services
- Management Services
- Personnel Selections
- Training
- Police Department

To:

- Fire Department
- Fire Prevention
- Weed Abatement
- Emergency Planning
- City Engineer
- Asst. City Engr. Administration
- Animal Control
- Real Estate & Street Assessment
- Facility Maintenance
- Street Maintenance
- Traffic - Parking
- Water & Sewer
- Building Inspection
- Electrical Inspection
- Plumbing Inspection
- Community Improvement
- Waste Removal
- Equipment Maintenance

To:

- Museum & History Commission
- Recreation & Parks Administration
- Recreation
- Parks
- Golf
- Zoo
- Crocker Art Gallery
- Library Administration
- Housing and Redevelopment
- Community Center
- _____

Note:

- For Your Information
- Return with Recommendations
- Please Comment
- Prepare Draft and Return
- Prepare Letter
- Take Necessary Action
- Investigate and Report
- Per Your Request
- Reply, Send Copy To:
- _____
- _____
- _____

To: JOAN ROBERTS, AGENCY CLERK REFERRAL OF AGENDA ITEM, MEETING OF 3-4-8-

Comments ITEM NO. 37: Attached is agreement executed by the City (4 copies).

Upon final execution by the Agency, please return the
designated copies to this office.

Signature *J Pappas* Title or Dept. CITY CLERK Date 3-6-80

(1976)