CITY OF SACRAMENTO

13

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE
RECEIVED
MAR 12 1980

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING
915 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814
CITY HALL ROOM 207 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5281

March 7, 1980

R. H. PARKER
CITY ENGINEER
J. F. VAROZZA
ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: Resolution Approving Negative Declaration for Addition of Night Lighting for Four Existing Tennis Courts at Sacramento City College

SUMMARY

The Environmental Coordinator has reviewed the subject project and finds that it will not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and therefore recommends that the project and a Negative Declaration be approved by the City Council.

BACKGROUND

In accordance with State EIR Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, dated December 1976, an Initial Study was performed. As a result of this study, it was determined that the Addition of Night Lighting for Four Existing Tennis Courts at Sacramento City College would not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and a draft Negative Declaration was prepared. On February 28, 1980, the Negative Declaration was filed with the County Clerk. On March 5, 1980, Notice of Opportunity for Public Review of the draft Negative Declaration was published in The Sacramento Union. The appropriate length of time has elapsed for receipt of comments regarding the Negative Declaration, with no comments having been received.

RECOMMENDATION

The Environmental Coordinator recommends that the attached resolution be passed which will:

1. Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
2. Approve the Negative Declaration.
3. Approve the project.
4. Authorize the Environmental Coordinator to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk.

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipe

Walter J. Slipe, City Manager

RHP/lc

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. PARKER
City Engineer

March 18, 1980
District No. 4

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL
MAR 18 1980
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

1. Title and Short Description of Project:

   Addition of Night Lighting for Four Existing Tennis Courts at Sacramento City College

2. Location of Project:

   Sutterville Road, between Freeport Blvd. and 24th Street

3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento

4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.

5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Garrett D. Crispell

6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED: February 28, 1980

ENDORSED
Filed

FEB 28 1980

J.A. [illegible] CLERK
BY AL WOODS
DEPUTY

Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation

By R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

C.C.P 2204

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

   ADDITION OF NIGHT LIGHTING FOR FOUR EXISTING TENNIS COURTS AT SACRAMENTO CITY COLLEGE.

2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

   AREA TO BE DEVELOPED IS IN A COMMUNITY COLLEGE. AREA IS SURROUNDED ON THREE SIDES (NORTH, EAST AND WEST) BY THE COLLEGE CAMPUS, AND ON THE SOUTH BY SUTTERVILLE ROAD, WHICH HAS A COMMERCIAL ZONE (C-2 AND C-4) ACROSS THE STREET FROM THE SITE

3. Environmental Effects - Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).

4. Mitigation Measures - Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).

5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

   PROJECT CONFORMS TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND GENERAL PLAN OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO.

Date FEBR. 26, 1980

Laurel A. Lindsey
(Signature)

Title ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

ENVIRON[illegible] ORM

C.C. No. 2204

Date: FEBR 26 1980

I. BACKGROUND

1. Name of Project SACRAMENTO CITY COLLEGE - ADDITION OF NIGHT LIGHTING FOR FOUR EXISTING TENNIS COURTS
2. City Department Initiating Project COMMUNITY SERVICES
3. Name of Individual Preparing Checklist GARRETT D CRISPELL
4. Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEQA X or NEPA ___?
5. Source of Funding of Project GENERAL FUND, STATE GRANT (SB-174)

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
(Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.)

	Yes	Maybe	No
1. Earth. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?	___	___	X
b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?	X	___	___
c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?	___	___	X
d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?	___	___	X
e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?	___	___	X
f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?	___	___	X
g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?	___	___	X
2. Air. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?	___	___	X
b. The creation of objectionable odors?	___	___	X
c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	___	___	X
3. Water. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	___	___	X
b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?	___	___	X
c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?	___	___	X
d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?	___	___	X
e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?	___	___	X
f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters.	___	___	X
g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	___	___	X
h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	___	___	X

	Yes	Maybe	No
1. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	___	___	X
4. Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	___	___	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	___	___	X
c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	___	___	X
d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	___	___	X
5. Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	___	___	X
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	___	___	X
c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	___	___	X
d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	___	___	X
6. Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in existing noise levels?	___	___	X
b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	___	___	X
7. Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	___	X	___
8. Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?	___	___	X
9. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	___	___	X
b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	___	___	X
10. Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?	___	___	X
11. Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	___	___	X
12. Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	___	___	X
13. Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	___	___	X
b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	___	___	X
c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?	___	___	X
d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	___	___	X
e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	___	___	X
f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?	___	___	X
14. Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
a. Fire protection?	___	___	X
b. Police protection?	___	___	X

	Yes	Maybe	No
d. Parks or other recreational facilities?	—	—	X
e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	—	—	X
f. Other governmental services?	—	—	X
15. Energy. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	—	—	X
b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?	—	—	X
16. Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:			
a. Power or natural gas?	—	—	X
b. Communications systems?	—	—	X
c. Water?	—	—	X
d. Sewer or septic tanks?	—	—	X
e. Storm water drainage?	—	—	X
f. Solid waste and disposal?	—	—	X
17. Human Health. Will the proposal result in:			
a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?	—	—	X
b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?	—	—	X
18. Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	—	—	X
19. Recreation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?	X	—	—
20. Archeological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?	—	—	X
21. Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	—	—	X
b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	—	—	X
c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.	—	—	X
d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	—	—	X

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (any "yes" or "maybe" answers must be explained - attached additional sheets if necessary)

II. 1. b. The Addition of Night Lighting will Result in the Disruption and Displacement of the Soil, But only in the extent of the Erection of the Standards for the Light Fixtures.

II. 7. Project is to Add Lighting to Existing Tennis Courts. The Lighting May Result in Increased Glare when the Courts are in Use at Night.

II. 19. The Addition of Night Lighting will Improve the Quality of the Existing Recreational Opportunities.

IV. Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)

II. 1. b. None.

II. 7. Lighting and Glare on Sutterville Road will be Held to a Minimum By the Use of Fixtures which Direct the Light onto the Tennis Courts, Reducing the Amount of Spillover Light.

II. 19. None

V. Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera)

1. NO PROJECT - THE FAILURE TO ADD LIGHTS TO FOUR OF THE EXISTING TENNIS COURTS WOULD FAIL TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE STUDENTS AND RESIDENTS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF NIGHT LIGHTED PUBLIC FACILITIES AND THE HIGH DEMAND FOR THE SERVICE

VI. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial study:

[X] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

[ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.

[ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.

Date FEBR. 26, 1980

Signature

Title ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

RESOLUTION No. 80-158

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

March 18, 1980

RESOLUTION APPROVING NEGATIVE DECLARATION
FOR
ADDITION OF NIGHT LIGHTING FOR FOUR EXISTING
TENNIS COURTS AT SACRAMENTO CITY COLLEGE

WHEREAS, on February 28, 1980, R. H. Parker, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, filed a Negative Declaration with the County Clerk of Sacramento County for the following proposed City initiated project:

ADDITION OF NIGHT LIGHTING FOR FOUR EXISTING
TENNIS COURTS AT SACRAMENTO CITY COLLEGE

WHEREAS, the prescribed time for receiving appeals has elapsed and no appeals were received,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

1. That the proposed project ADDITION OF NIGHT LIGHTING FOR FOUR EXISTING TENNIS COURTS AT SACRAMENTO CITY COLLEGE will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment.
2. That the Negative Declaration for the above-described project is hereby approved.
3. That the above-described project is hereby approved for the ADDITION OF NIGHT LIGHTING FOR FOUR EXISTING TENNIS COURTS AT SACRAMENTO CITY COLLEGE.
4. That the Environmental Coordinator is authorized to file with the County Clerk a Notice of Determination for said project.

_____
MAYOR

ATTEST:

_____
CITY CLERK

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL
MAR 18 1980
OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK