

915 I STREET

CITY HALL ROOM 207

### CITY OF SACRAMENTO

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE C C C V C D APR 2 9 1980 F/Ref. C.C. 8724

April 28, 1980

R. H. PARKER CITY ENGINEER J. F. VAROZZA ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

TELEPHONE (916) 449-5281

SUBJECT: RESOLUTION APPROVING NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR ELDRIDGE AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT

#### SUMMARY:

The Environmental Coordinator has reviewed the subject project and finds that it will not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and therefore recommends that the project and a Negative Declaration be approved by the City Council.

#### BACKGROUND:

In accordance with State EIR Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, dated December 1976, an Initial Study was performed. As a result of this study, it was determined that the ELDRIDGE AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT would not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and a draft Negative Declaration was prepared. On April 14, 1980 the Negative Declaration was filed with the County Clerk. On April 17, 1980 Notice of Opportunity for Public Review of the draft Negative Declaration was published in the Sacramento Union. The appropriate length of time has elapsed for receipt of comments regarding the Negative Declaration, with no comments having been received.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Environmental Coordinator recommends that the attached resolution be passed which will:

- 1. Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2. Approve the Negative Declaration.
- 3. Approve the project.
- 4. Authorize the Environmental Coordinator to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk. APPROVED

BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAY - 8 1980

OFFICE OF THE

CITY CLERK

Recommendation Approved:

de, City Manager

espectfully submitted, ARKER City Engineer

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- 1. Title and Short Description of Project: Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement
- 2. Location of Project: The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through traffic.
- 3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Gary E. Gosse
- A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED: A	Pril 14, 1980 ENDORSED: Filed	Environmental Coordinator of - the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation
•	J.A. L.M. AL WOODS	By Allante
	CEPUTY	R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO

#### INITIAL STUDY

# References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement - The project includes removing an existing deteriorated short span timber bridge and replacing it with two corrugated metal arch pipe culverts and repaving a portion of Eldridge Avenue at the bridge site.

2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue

carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through

traffic.

- Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- 4. Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

The project is not in conflict with existing zoning or community plans.

Date April 9, 1980

Title Associate Engineer

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

C.C. No. 8724

Date: April 9, 1980

I. BAG	KGROUND			
۱.	Name of Project_Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement			
2.	City Department Initiating Project Engineering			
3.	Name of Individual Preparing Checklist Gary E. Gosse		··	
4.	Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEQA $\mathbf{X}$ or NEPA ?			
5.	Source of Funding of Project City Sewer or Drainage funds			
	/IRONMENTAL IMPACTS planations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.)			
		Yes	Maybe	No
1.	Earth. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?	_		<u>x</u>
	b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?		·	<u>_X</u> _
	c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?	·		<u>x</u>
	d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physic features?	cal		x
	e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site	?		<u> </u>
	f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?		·	<u>_x</u>
•	g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?			<u>x</u>
- 2.	Air. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?			x
	b. The creation of objectionable odors?			x
	c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?			<u>x</u>
3.	Water. Will the proposal result in:			•
	a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	· · · · ·	<u>_x</u>	
•	b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?	· ·	· · ·	x
•	c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			<u>x</u>
•	d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?			<u>x</u>
	e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen			
	or turbidity?	u <sup>1</sup> —	<u> </u>	<u>_x</u> x
•	f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters.	·		<u>~</u>
	g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	· · ·		<u>_x</u>
	h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?			<u>x</u>

· · ·	4		Yes	Maybe	No
	:	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?			<u>_x</u>
	4.	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	_	_	<u>x</u>
		b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			<u>x</u>
		c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?		_	<u>x</u>
		d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?		_	<u></u>
:	5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:	•		
		a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	_		<u>×</u>
		b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?			<u></u>
		c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?			<u>x</u>
۰		d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?			<u></u>
	6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Increase in existing noise levels?	<u>_x</u>		· <u> </u>
		b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	·		<u>_x</u>
	7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	<u> </u>		<u>x</u>
	8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?	_	<u> </u>	<u>_x</u>
	9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?		<del></del>	<u>x</u>
		b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?			<u></u>
١	0.	<u>Risk of Upset</u> . Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			<u></u>
۱	۱.	<u>Population</u> . Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?			<u>_x</u>
۱	2.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?			<u>_x</u>
۱	3.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?		<u></u>	<u>_x</u>
		b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?	_		<u>_x</u>
		c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			<u></u>
		d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?			_ <u>x</u>
		e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?	<u></u>		<u></u>
		f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?			_ <u>x</u>
۱	4.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
		a. Fire protection?			<u></u>
		b. Police protection?			
		c. Schools?			ورور ورو

			• · · ·	Yes	<u>Maybě</u>	No
		d.	Parks or other recreational facilities?	<u> </u>	_;	x
	•	e.	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?			<u>x</u>
		f.	Other governmental services?			<u>_X</u>
	15.	Ene	rgy. Will the proposal result in:			
		a.	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			<u>_x</u>
		b.	Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?			<u>x</u>
	16.	<u>Uti</u> alt	<u>lities</u> . Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial erations to the following utilities:			
		a.	Power or natural gas?			<u>x</u>
		b.	Communications systems?		-	<u></u>
		c.	Water?			<u>_x</u>
		d.	Sewer or septic tanks?		<u> </u>	<u>x</u>
		е.	Storm water drainage?			x
		f.	Solid waste and disposal?			<u>x</u>
	17.	Hum	an <u>Health</u> . Will the proposal result in:			·
		a.	Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			x
	•	b.	Exposure of people to potential health hazards?		—	x
	18.	vis	<u>thetics</u> . Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic ta or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the ation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	_		x
	19.		reation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality quantity of existing recreational opportunities?			<u></u>
	20.	of	heological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object building?			<u>_x</u>
	21.	Man	datory Findings of Significance.	•		
		đ.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			<u></u>
		b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)			<u>×</u>
		c.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.	•		<u>_x</u>
-	4. - 4	d.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			<b>X</b>

<u> </u>						
•	a.	The width of the existing Hagginwood Creek channel at the site				
	·	of the existing bridge and 50 feet or so upstream and downstream				
·		shall be widened, regraded and realigned. This will provide an				
		improved approach for the flow of drainage water in existing				
		channel of Hagginwood Creek to pass through the corrugated metal				
		arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber bridge.				

6. Noise

3. Water

 a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of noise within the limits of this project. The noise resulting from the construction activities may have a temporary adverse effect on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to the project.

IV. Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)

<u>Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica-</u> tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and improvements. V. Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera) If "no project" is constructed, the existing timber bridge will remain in its existing deteriorated condition and present an increasingly potential hazard to public safety.

The existing timber bridge could be completely reconstructed. However, the total cost would be more than the cost of replacing the bridge with pipe culverts. This is due to the longer economic life of the culverts and the reduced maintenance required.

and the same

k

VI. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial study:

- [x] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- [ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
- [ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.

Date April 9, 1980

Title Associate Engineer

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- 1. Title and Short Description of Project: Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement
- 2. Location of Project: The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through traffic.
- 3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Gary E. Gosse
- A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED:	April 14, 1980 ENDORSED: Filod	Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation
	APR 1 /3 1980	() (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (
	J.A. C AL WOODS	By Allande
	DI	R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO

### INITIAL STUDY

# References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

- 1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))
  - Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement The project includes removing an existing deteriorated short span timber bridge and replacing it with two corrugated metal arch pipe culverts and repaving a portion of Eldridge Avenue at the bridge site.
- Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue

carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through

traffic.

- Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

The project is not in conflict with existing zoning or community plans.

Date April 9, 1980

Title Associate Engineer

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

.

...

C.C. No. 8724

•

Date: April 9, 1980

1.	BAC	KGROUND	. ·		
	1.	Name of Project Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement		<u> </u>	
	2.	City Department Initiating Project Engineering			
	3.	Name of Individual Preparing Checklist Gary E. Gosse			
	4.	Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEQA X or NEPA ?	-		
	<u></u> 5.	Source of Funding of Project City Sewer or Drainage funds			
11.	ENV	IRONMENTAL IMPACTS	_		
	(Ex	planations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.)		÷	•
			Yes	Maybe	No
	٦.	Earth. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?	<u></u>		X
		b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?	·	·	<u> </u>
		c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?		—	<u>x</u>
		d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?			<u>x</u>
		e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?			x
		f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?			x
		g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?		_	×
	2.	Air. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?			x
		b. The creation of objectionable odors?			x
		c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in		_	
		climate, either locally or regionally?			<u>x</u>
	3.	<u>Water</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?		<u></u>	
		b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?			x
	÷	c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			x
		d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?			x
		e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water			
· .		quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?			<u>_x</u>
	· · ·	f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters.			<u>_x</u>
		g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or			
		excavations?			<u></u>
		h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?			<u>x</u>

	· · ·	Yes	Maybe	No
•	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	 		<u>x</u>
4.	<u>Plant Life</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	_		<u>×</u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			<u>x</u>
	c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?		÷	<u>_x</u>
	d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?			<u>×</u>
5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
¢	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	s 		<u>×</u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?			<u></u>
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?			<u></u>
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	<del></del>		<u></u>
6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in existing noise levels?	<u></u>		
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?			<u>_x</u>
8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?			x
9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?		. —	<u>_x</u>
	b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?		·	<u></u>
10.	<u>Risk of Upset</u> . Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?	·		<u></u>
11.	<u>Population</u> . Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, o growth rate of the human population of an area?	r		<u>_x</u>
12.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	· .		<u>_x</u>
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?			<u>_x</u>
	b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?			<u>_x</u>
	c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?		_	<u></u>
	d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?		_	<u></u>
	e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			_ <u>x</u>
	f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrian	15?		<u></u>
14.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:	ed for		
	a. Fire protection?			_ <u>x</u>
	b. Police protection?			
	c. Schools?		•	·

.....

. ....

			Yes	Maybe	No
		d. Parks or other recreational facilities?		·• ,	<u>_x</u>
		e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?		.—	<u>_X</u> _
		f. Other governmental services?			<u>_x</u>
1	5.	Energy. Will the proposal result in:	•		
		a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			<u>_x</u>
		b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?			x
Į I		Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:		. •	
		a. Power or natural gas?			<u>_x</u>
٩	۰.	b. Communications systems?	_		×
		c. Water?			x
		d. Sewer or septic tanks?	_		x
		e. Storm water drainage?			x
		f. Solid waste and disposal?			 
1		Human Health. Will the proposal result in:			مت الكري ا
		a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			x
. •		b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?			x
1	8.	<u>Aesthetics</u> . Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	· · · ·	_	 x
I	9.	Recreation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?		_	_ <u>_</u>
2		Archeological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?			<u>_x</u>
2	21.	Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
		a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	• .		x
		b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to	·		
· .		the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)			x
		c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.	·		<u>_x</u>
	·	d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	•	•	<u>_X</u>
			· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			•	••••	

•	
<u> </u>	. The width of the existing Hagginwood Creek channel at the si
·	of the existing bridge and 50 feet or so upstream and downst
<u> </u>	shall be widened, regraded and realigned. This will provide
	improved approach for the flow of drainage water in existing
	channel of Hagginwood Creek to pass through the corrugated m
	arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber bri
6. N	loise
· 2	. Construction operations will create a certain amount of nois
	within the limits of this project. The noise resulting from
, 	the construction activities may have a temporary adverse eff
	on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to the
	project.
Rig:	tion measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. n in detail - if none, so state) d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific hs and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an
Rig tion pol	d controls will be incorporated into the construction specific as and maintained during construction to minimize dust and nois aution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property an

.

··· :

V. Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera) If "no project" is constructed, the existing timber bridge will remain in its existing deteriorated condition and present an increasingly potential hazard to public safety.

The existing timber bridge could be completely reconstructed. However, the total cost would be more than the cost of replacing the bridge with pipe culverts. This is due to the longer economic life of the culverts and the reduced maintenance required.

VI. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial study:

- [X] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- [ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
- [ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.

Date April 9, 1980

والمراجر والمراجد الوالي

Title Associate Engineer

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- 1. Title and Short Description of Project: Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement
- 2. Location of Project: The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through traffic.
- 3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Gary E. Gosse
- A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED: Apr	il 14, 1980 ENDORSED: Filed	Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation
	APR 1 /3 1980	$\Omega$
•	J.A. C AL WOODS	By R. H. PARKER, City Engineer
	<b>DIFUTY</b>	R. H. PARKER, CITy Engineer

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO

#### INITIAL STUDY

# References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

- 1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))
  - <u>Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement The project includes removing</u> <u>an existing deteriorated short span timber bridge and replacing it</u> <u>with two corrugated metal arch pipe culverts and repaving a portion</u> of Eldridge Avenue at the bridge site.
- 2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue

carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through

traffic.

- Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

The project is not in conflict with existing zoning or community plans.

1980 Date April 9.

Title Associate Engineer

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

.

.

----

1 Million 1 1 1 1 1 1

C.C. No. 8724

Date: April 9, 1980

1.	BAC	KGROUND			
	۱.	Name of Project Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement			
	2.	City Department Initiating Project Engineering			
	3.	Name of Individual Preparing Checklist Gary E. Gosse		<u>.</u> .	
	4.	Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEQA_X_ or NEPA?			
	5.	Source of Funding of Project City Sewer or Drainage funds	•		
11.		IRONMENTAL IMPACTS			
	(EX	planations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.)	¥	Mariha	Ne
	,	Farth - Will the property result in:	Yes	Maybe	No
	۱.	Earth. Will the proposal result in:		•	
		a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?			x
	-	b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?	· · · · ·	·	<u> </u>
		c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?	<u> </u>		<u>x</u>
		d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?			<u>x</u>
		e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?			<u>x</u>
		f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the			
		channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?	· ·		<u></u>
		g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?			<u>×</u>
	2.	Air. Will the proposal result in:		• •	
	•	a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?			<u>×</u>
		b. The creation of objectionable odors?			x
		c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?			<u>_x</u>
	3.	Water. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?		<u></u>	
		b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?			<u>×</u>
		c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			<u>_x</u>
		d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?			<u>x</u>
•		e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen	-		v
	•	or turbidity?	·		<u>_x</u> x
		f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters.			<u>~</u>
	· • •	g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?			<u>_x</u>
	•	h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?			<u>x</u>

		Yes	Maybe	No
:	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?			x
4.	<u>Plant Life</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?		<u> </u>	<u>x</u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			<u>_x</u>
	c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?		. <u>.</u>	<u></u>
	d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?			<u></u>
5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
¢	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?			x
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?			<u>_x</u>
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?			<u></u>
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?			<u>_x</u>
6.	<u>Noise</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in existing noise levels?	<u>_x</u>		
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	_		<u></u>
7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?			<u>_x</u>
8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?		<u> </u>	<u>_x</u>
9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?			<u></u>
	b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?			<u>_x</u>
10.	<u>Risk of Upset</u> . Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			_ <u>x</u>
11.	<u>Population</u> . Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?			<u>_x</u>
12.	<u>Housing</u> . Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?			<u>_x</u>
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?			<u></u>
	b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?			<u>_x</u>
	c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			<u>_x</u>
	d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?			_ <u>x</u>
	e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			<u></u>
	f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?	•		<u></u>
14.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
	a. Fire protection?			_ <u>x</u>
	b. Police protection?			
			•	

:-

				<b>.</b>
	d. Parks or other recreational facilities?	Yes	Maybe	<u>No</u>
		—	<b>—</b> •.	x
	e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?			<u>x</u>
	f. Other governmental services?			<u>_x</u> _
15.	Energy. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			x
	b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?			x
16.	Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:			
	a. Power or natural gas?			<u>_x</u>
¢	b. Communications systems?			x
	c. Water?			x
	d. Sewer or septic tanks?			x
	e. Storm water drainage?			x
	f. Solid waste and disposal?			_X.
17.	Human Health. Will the proposal result in:	. — —		
	a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			x
•	b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?			x
18.	Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			×
19.	<u>Recreation</u> . Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?			×
20.	Archeological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?			
21.	Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
	a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			x
	b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)			x
	c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.	·		<u>x</u>
	d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	•		x
	or indirectly?			 
•				×.
			· · · ·	

.

•

3.	Wat	er
	a.	The width of the existing Hagginwood Creek channel at the site
•		of the existing bridge and 50 feet or so upstream and downstream
		shall be widened, regraded and realigned. This will provide an
		improved approach for the flow of drainage water in existing
		channel of Hagginwood Creek to pass through the corrugated metal
		arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber bridge.
6.	Noi	se
	а.	Construction operations will create a certain amount of noise
		within the limits of this project. The noise resulting from
		the construction activities may have a temporary adverse effect
		on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to the
		project.

tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and improvements.

•

.

.

.

Ι٧.

V. Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera) If "no project" is constructed, the existing timber bridge will remain in its existing deteriorated condition and present an increasingly potential hazard to public safety.

The existing timber bridge could be completely reconstructed. However, the total cost would be more than the cost of replacing the bridge with pipe culverts. This is due to the longer economic life of the culverts and the reduced maintenance required.

VI. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial study:

- [x ] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- [ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
- [ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.

Date April 9, 1980

Title Associate Engineer

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- 1. Title and Short Description of Project: Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement
- 2. Location of Project: The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through traffic.
- 3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Gary E. Gosse
- A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED:	April 14, 1980 ENDORSED: Filed	Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation
	APR 1 & 1980 J.A. C AL WOODS	Rellande
	DYCEFUTY	R. H. PARKER, City Engineer
· · ·		

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO

#### INITIAL STUDY

# References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

- 1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))
  - Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement The project includes removing an existing deteriorated short span timber bridge and replacing it with two corrugated metal arch pipe culverts and repaving a portion of Eldridge Avenue at the bridge site.
- 2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

The project is located in a residential area with Eldridge Avenue

carrying primarily local traffic with some commercial through

traffic.

- 3. Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))

The project is not in conflict with existing zoning or community plans.

Date April 9, 1980

Title Associate Engineer

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

C.C. No. 8724

Date: April 9, 1980

₫.

1.	BAC	KGROUND			
	1.	Name of Project Eldridge Avenue Bridge Replacement			
	2.	City Department Initiating Project Engineering			
	3.	Name of Individual Preparing Checklist Gary E. Gosse			
	4.	Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEQA $\times$ or NEPA ?			
	5.	Source of Funding of Project City Sewer or Drainage funds			
11.		IRONMENTAL IMPACTS planations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.)			
			Yes	Maybe	No
	٦.	Earth. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?			<u>x</u>
		b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?			<u>_x</u> _
		c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?		_	<u>x</u>
		d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?			<u>_x</u> _
		e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?	_		x
		f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?			x
		g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?	_		<u>x</u>
	2.	Air. Will the proposal result in:			
		a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?			<u>x</u>
		b. The creation of objectionable odors?			x
		c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?			<u>_x</u>
	3.	Water. Will the proposal result in:		2	
		a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?		<u></u>	
		b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?			<u></u>
		c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			<u>_x</u>
		d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?			x
		e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen			_ <u>x</u> _
	•	or turbidity? f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters.			<u> </u>
•	12				
	-	g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?			<u>_x</u>
		h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?			<u>x</u>
			÷		

5		Yes	Maybe	No
•	-i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?			x
4.	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:		_	
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of			
	plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?		_	<u>x</u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			x
	c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier		—	
	to the normal replenishment of existing species?			<u>×</u>
	d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?			<u>×</u>
్.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?		_	<u></u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?			x
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in			
	a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?			<u>x</u>
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	<del></del>		<u></u>
6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in existing noise levels?	<u>_x</u>		
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	<u> </u>		<u>x</u>
7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?			<u>_x</u>
8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?			· <u>x</u>
9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?			<u>_x</u>
	b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?			<u></u>
10.	<u>Risk of Upset</u> . Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			x
11	Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or			
•••	growth rate of the human population of an area?			<u>_x</u>
12.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?			<u>_x</u>
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?			<u>_x</u>
	b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?			<u>_x</u>
	c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			_ <u>x</u>
	d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people			v
	and/or goods?			_ <u>_</u>
	e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			<u>×</u>
	f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?			<u></u>
14.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
	a. Fire protection?			
	b. Police protection?			

-:

. .. .

				•		,	
					<u>Yes</u>	Maybe	No
			Parks or other recreational facilities?		<del></del>	• •	<u>X</u>
		e.	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?		. ——		<u>x</u>
		f.	Other governmental services?				<u>x</u>
	15.	Ene	rgy. Will the proposal result in:				
		a.	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?				x
		b.	Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?				<u>x</u>
	16.	<u>Uti</u> alt	<u>lities</u> . Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or subst erations to the following utilities:	antial			
		a.	Power or natural gas?				x
	¢	b.		•		_	x
		c.	Water?				<u></u>
,		d.	Sewer or septic tanks?		<b>—</b>	_	x
			Storm water drainage?		—		x
			Solid waste and disposal?		· · · ·		
	17		an Health. Will the proposal result in:		—	<del></del>	X
	• .		Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			<u></u>	<u>x</u>
		b.	Exposure of people to potential health hazards?				<u></u>
	18.	vis	thetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic ta or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the ation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			·	x
	19.		reation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality quantity of existing recreational opportunities?	-			<u>_x</u>
	20.	of	heological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object building?				x
	21.		datory Findings of Significance.			· <u></u>	
			Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history				
			or prehistory?				<u></u>
		b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)				<u>×</u>
7		с.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.				x
		d.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				×
	•	•••••					
						•	:
				• • •		• •	
							-

.

•

المتعطلة فللالتخاريف ويحتجر ويترك ويستيده ويستيده مواحين والمناو المجاولات والمساور

<ul> <li>of the existing bridge and 50 feet or so upstream and dow shall be widened, regraded and realigned. This will provide improved approach for the flow of drainage water in exist channel of Hagginwood Creek to pass through the corrugate arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber</li> <li>6. Noise</li> <li>a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of rest of the second secon</li></ul>	ing bridge and 50 feet or so upstream and downstr ened, regraded and realigned. This will provide roach for the flow of drainage water in existing agginwood Creek to pass through the corrugated me lverts that will replace the existing timber brid operations will create a certain amount of noise imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise	a.,	The width of the existing Hagginwood Creek channel at the sit
<pre>shall be widened, regraded and realigned. This will prov improved approach for the flow of drainage water in exist channel of Hagginwood Creek to pass through the corrugate arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber 6. Noise a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of r within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project.</pre>	ened, regraded and realigned. This will provide roach for the flow of drainage water in existing agginwood Creek to pass through the corrugated me lverts that will replace the existing timber brick operations will create a certain amount of noise imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the be incorporated into the construction specificated during construction to minimize dust and noise	•	
channel of Hagginwood Creek to pass through the corrugate arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber 6. Noise a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of r within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project. Attraction measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. Explain in detail - if none, so state) Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speciations and maintained during construction to minimize dust and not pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	agginwood Creek to pass through the corrugated me lverts that will replace the existing timber brid operations will create a certain amount of noise imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise	<u> </u>	shall be widened, regraded and realigned. This will provide
channel of Hagginwood Creek to pass through the corrugate arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber 6. Noise a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of r within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project. itigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. Explain in detail - if none, so state) Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speciries tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and not pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	agginwood Creek to pass through the corrugated me lverts that will replace the existing timber brid operations will create a certain amount of noise imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		improved approach for the flow of drainage water in existing
arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber 6. Noise a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of r within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project. hitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. Explain in detail - if none, so state) Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci- tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	<pre>lverts that will replace the existing timber brid operations will create a certain amount of noise imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the</pre>	·	
6. Noise a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of r within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project. Hitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. Explain in detail - if none, so state) Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speciations and maintained during construction to minimize dust and not pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	operations will create a certain amount of noise imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		arch pipe culverts that will replace the existing timber brid
<ul> <li>a. Construction operations will create a certain amount of r within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project.</li> <li>Altigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above.</li> <li>Explain in detail - if none, so state)</li> <li>Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci: tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property</li> </ul>	imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		
<pre>within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project.</pre>	imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise	6. Noi	Se
<pre>within the limits of this project. The noise resulting f the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to th project.</pre>	imits of this project. The noise resulting from tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		Construction operations will create a certain amount of noise
the construction activities may have a temporary adverse on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to the project.	tion activities may have a temporary adverse effe ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) . be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		within the limits of this project. The noise resulting from
on the residences adjacent to or in close proximity to the project. Nitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. Explain in detail - if none, so state) Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speciations and maintained during construction to minimize dust and not pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	ences adjacent to or in close proximity to the o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		the construction activities may have a temporary adverse eff
project. Nitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. Explain in detail - if none, so state) Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction special tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	o minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. so state) . be incorporated into the construction specificated ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		
Aitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. Explain in detail - if none, so state) Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci- tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		
Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci- tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		
Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci- tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	be incorporated into the construction specifica- ed during construction to minimize dust and noise		
Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci- tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	be incorporated into the construction specificand during construction to minimize dust and noise	<u></u> ,	
Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci- tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	be incorporated into the construction specificand during construction to minimize dust and noise		
Rigid controls will be incorporated into the construction speci- tions and maintained during construction to minimize dust and no pollution, enhance public safety, and protect existing property	be incorporated into the construction specificand during construction to minimize dust and noise		
improvements.			
		Rigid tions	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
· ·		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and
		Rigid tions pollut	controls will be incorporated into the construction specifica and maintained during construction to minimize dust and noise ion, enhance public safety, and protect existing property and

-

V. Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera) If "no project" is constructed, the existing timber bridge will remain in its existing deteriorated condition and present an increasingly potential hazard to public safety.

The existing timber bridge could be completely reconstructed. However, the total cost would be more than the cost of replacing the bridge with pipe culverts. This is due to the longer economic life of the culverts and the reduced maintenance required.

#### VI. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial study:

- [x] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- [ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
- [ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.

Date April 9, 1980

Associate Engineer Title

RESOLUTION No. 80 - 26

### Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

### May 8, 1980

### RESOLUTION APPROVING NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR ELDRIDGE AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT

WHEREAS, on April 14, 1980 R. H. Parker, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, filed a Negative Declaration with the County Clerk of Sacramento County for the following proposed City initiated project: ELDRIDGE AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT.

WHEREAS, the prescribed time for receiving appeals has elapsed and no appeals were received,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO: 1. That the proposed project ELDRIDGE AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT will not have a significant effect on the environment.

2. That the Negative Declaration for the above-described project is hereby approved.

3. That the above-described project is hereby approved for the ELDRIDGE AVENUE BRIDGE REPLACEMENT.

4. That the Environmental Coordinator is authorized to file with the County Clerk a Notice of Determination for said project.

MAY - 8 1980

THE

MAYOR

ATTEST:

CITY CLERK