Port of sacramento

81-86

### PROPOSED RESOLUTION

re

#### PORT DREDGING USER CHARGES

WHEREAS the U. S. Government has proposed legislation in the form of Senate Bill 809 which would materially change its participation in national port development, and

WHEREAS the proposal has ignored the necessity of a uniformly applied system of user charges to maintain the nationwide port system, and

WHEREAS Congressmen Robert Matsui, Vic Fazio and Gene Chappie, along with 18 other co-sponsors, have introduced H. R. 4862 in the U. S. Congress which bill calls for the imposition of uniform user charges, if, when and to the extent necessary,

- NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Sacramento City Council does commend Congressmen Matsui, Fazio and Chappie for their effort and urges them to continue to work for passage of their bill, and
- EE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be furnished to President Ronald Reagan; Office of Management and Budget Director David Stockman; Senator James Abdnor, Chairman of the Water Resources::Subcommittee of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee and Congressman Robert Roe, Chairman of the Water Resources Subcommittee of the House i of Representatives Committee on Public Works and Transportation, urging their support of HR 4862 and the principles embodied therein.



# U.S. PORT SYSTEM

# Advocates

P.O. BOX 815, WEST SACRAMENTO, CA. 95691 • TWX 910-367-3581 • TEL. (916) 371-8000

November 12, 1981

#### **MEMBER PORTS**

ALBANY ANACORTES BELLINGHAM BUFFALO CAMDEN CANAVERAL CHICAGO CLEVELAND COOS BAY DELAWARE RIVER PORTS DULUTH ERIE FORT PIERCE FREEPORT GEORGIA PORTS AUTHORITY - BRUNSWICK - SAVANNAH GRAYS HARBOR GULFPORT HUMBOLDT HARBOR ILLINOIS PORTS INDIANA PORT COMMISSION JACKSONVILLE KALAMA LONGVIEW LOBAIN MIAMI MILWAUKEE NORTH CAROLINA PORTS - MOREHEAD CITY - WILMINGTON OGDENSBURG OLYMPIA ORANGE OSWEGO PALM BEACH PANAMA CITY PENSACOLA PHILADELPHIA PORT EVERGLADES PORT MANATEE PORTLAND, OREGON REDWOOD CITY RICHMOND SACRAMENTO SOUTH CAROLINA PORTS - CHARLESTON - GEORGETOWN - PORT BOYAL SUPERIOR ТАМРА TOLEDO VANCOUVER WILMINGTON, DEL.

Enclosed you will find a copy of HR4862 introduced by Congressmen Matsui, Fazio, Chappie and 15 other Congressmen. This bill addresses the problem of the inability of the Federal government to fund its share of the port development program in a way that we believe will be livable for all parties, ports and shippers alike. I urge you to study and contact me if I can be of any assistance.

In addition, I would urge that you let your support of this bill be known wherever and however you feel is appropriate in Congress and the Administration. We are particularly anxious to obtain additional co-sponsors for the bill. If a Congressman doesn't feel he can co-sponsor for some reason, then he might be urged to join the Port System Caucus (contact through Matsui's office). Letters of appreciation to the prime co-sponsors, Matsui, Fazio and Chappie would also be appreciated.

#### Sincerely,

Melvin Shore

## MS/mmg/ns encl: Hr4862

+ South Pacific Region Melvin Shore, Sacramento

North Atlantic Region James R. Kelly, Delaware River

#### STEERING COMMITTEE

North Pacific Region Lloyd Anderson, Portland

South Atlantic Region George J. Nichols, Georgia Great Lakes Region Roy F. Hoffmann, Milwaukee

Gulf Region Stephen E. Pomeroy, Orange





PROCEEDINGS AND DEBATES OF THE 97th CONGRESS, FIRST SESSION

Vol. 127 · . WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, OCTOBER 29, 1981

No. 156

House of Representatives

THE NATIONAL DEFENSE PORT SYSTEM ACT OF 1981

HON. ROBERT T. MATSUI OF CALIFORNIA IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Thursday, October 29, 1981

ENVIRONMENTAL PREDICTABILITY

• Mr. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, today along with Vic Fazio, Gene Chappie and cosponsors from every part of the country, I am introducing the Nation-al Defense Port System Act of 1981, We would also like to acknowledge the participation of our colleague, Don CLAUSEN, who, as ranking minority member on the Public Works Commit-tee, cannot fairly cosponsor such a major bill which will be referred to his major bill which will be referred to his committee. This bill is the result of 3 months of work with a group of 52 deep-water ports from every coastal area and the Great Lakes, the U.S. Port System Advocates. With 200 years of effort, the United States has created a national port system. This system has stimulated the economy and is an important asset for our national security. Communities

for our national security. Communities have invested in landside facilities which have been matched by the navigation projects of the Federal Govern-ment. New developments threaten this partnership,

It is apparent that funding for new projects may not continue in the future, or may continue at less than 100-percent Federal participation. Also, because of the increasing pay-

ments on the construction debt of the St. Lawrence Seaway, the deep-water ports of the Great Lakes have a de-

ports of the Great Lakes have a de-creasing ability to provide important water transportation to the Midwest. Finally, in recent years, there has been an alarming growth in long and costly delays in the environmental permitting processes for port projects. This legislation is designed to ad-dress three developments.

UNIFORM USER FEES The Department of the Treasury would establish and collect a nationally uniform schedule of fees on ships and/or cargoes in ships which draw 45 feet or less, to pay for harbor and channel projects from 14 through 45 feet in depth. A Presidentially ap-pointed task force would recommend such a schedule to the Treasury within 6 months. The five-member task force would have representatives from ports, shippers, carriers, the Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Trade Representative. Decisions on which projects to approve would continue to be individually determined by Con-gress. Recreational boats and marinas ly uniform schedule of fees on ships gress. Recreational boats and marinas would be excluded from this bill. To pay for work deeper than 45 feet,

individual ports would be given au-thority to do the work, to borrow funds from the Government, and to repay the loans from port-specific fees to be determined by the port, and to be charged on ships and/or cargoes on ships which draw more than 45 feet.

5T. LAWRENCE FEAWAY/GREAT LAKES PORTS

57. LAWRENCE SEAWAY/GREAT LAKES PORTS To avoid a double tax in the Mid-west, the Federal Government would eliminate tolls and the remaining debt on the St. Lawrence Seaway. To put the Great Lakes ports on the same footing with coastal deepwater ports, the U.S. portion of future operations, maintenance and new construction on the St. Lawrence Seaway would be paid for from the same system as the deepwater ports of a stress.

A timetable for steps in all Federal environmental permits for each port project would be established through project would be established through the Corps of Engineers. To the extent possible, forms and reporting proce-dures would be standardized among Federal agencies. There is no provision for the override of State law, dimin-ished compliance with Federal law, or restriction on judicial review of the substance of Federal law. substance of Federal law. The current cosponsors on this legis-

lation are: Edwin Derwinski, Kika de LA GARZA, PARREN MITCHELL, AUSTIN MURPHY, DON BONKER, BO GINN, NEWT GINGRICH, TOM LANTOS, SAM GIEBONS, CHAPLES HATCHER, CHARLES WILSON, TOM HARINSIT, JOHN NAPIER, and WIL-LIAM LEEMAN. WE Invite additional co-

sponsors. The members of the U.S. Port The members of the U.S. Port System Advocates are: Albany; Ana-cortes; Bellingham; Buffalo; Camden; Canaveral; Chicago; Cleveland; Coos. Bay; Delware River Ports; Detroit; Duluth; Erie; Fort Pierce; Freeport; Georgia Ports Authority, Brunswick, Savannah; Grays Harbor; Gulfport; Humboldt Harbor; Illinois Ports; Indi-ana Port Commission; Jacksonville; Kalama; Longview; Lorain; Miami; ana Port Commission; Jacksonville; Kalama; Longview; Lorain; Miami; Milwaukee; North Carolina Ports, Morehead City, Wilmington; Ogdens-burg; Olympia; Orange; Oswego; Palm Beach; Panama City; Pensacola; Phila-delphia; Port Everglades; Port Mana-tee; Portland, Oreg.; Redwood City; Richmond; Sacramento; South Caroli-na Ports. Charleston, Georgetown, na Ports, Charleston, Georgetown, Port Royal; Superior; Tampa; Toledo; Vancouver; and Wilmingon, Del.

Following is a section-by-section analysis of our bill:

Introduction: Section 1, title; section 2, findings and purposes; and Section 3, definitions.

TITLE I. -NATIONAL USER FEES-45 FEET AND SHALLOWER:

101, Establishes task force to recom-SEC SEC. 101. EStablishes task force to recom-mend to the Secretary of the Treasury user fees on deep draft ports to finance new navi-gation construction and operations and maintenance. Five-member task force would have one representative each from ports, shippers, carriers, the Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Trade Representative.

SEC. 102. Requirements on the schedule of user fees. Fees may be on commodities or on, vessels, but they must be nationally uni-form. The fees may be for less than 100 per-cent of expected construction and O. & M. costs, thereby anticlpating continued Feder-al participation from general revenues. The task force, in recommending fees (and, sub-sequently, the Treasury in promulgating fees) must consider: (1) the effect on inter-national trade; (2) the potential for diver-sion of cargo to foreign ports; (3) the result on competition between U.S. ports; (4) the ability of the trade to bear the rate; and (5) the total anticipated need for new construc-tion and O. & M. SEC, 103, Subsequent to the task force rec-SEC. 102. Requirements on the schedule of

tion and O. & M. SEC. 103. Subsequent to the task force rec-ommendation, the Secretary of the Treas-ury shall establish by regular rulemaking procedures a nationally uniform set of user fees. They may be for less than 100 percent of expected costs, anticipating Federal par-ticipation. Once the initial fees are estab-blieved they may not be relief or lowered lished, they may not be raised or lowered

the task force for further recommendations. Fees will be collected by the U.S. Customs Service.

SEC. 104. Establishment and use of trust fund. User fees from section 103 will be de-posited in a port system trust fund. Stand-ard trust fund management procedures are and trust lund management procedures are included, such as in the inland waterways trust fund. By authorization and appropri-ations acts of Congress, amounts in the trust fund will be available for: (1) new navi-gation construction to 45 feet or shallower; (2) O. & M. for channels and harbors 45 feet and shallower; and (3) the U.S. portion of O. & M. on the St. Lawrence Seaway and con-nections between the Great Lakes. SEC 105. St. Lawrence Seaway and Great

nections between the Great Lakes. SEC, 105. St. Lawrence Scaway and Great Lakes. Eliminates the remaining debt on the original construction of the seaway upon en-actment. Eliminates the collection of all tolls when fees are established under sec-tion 103. Includes the U.S. portion of O. & M. on the seaway and Great Lakes for pay-ments from the port system trust fund. Pro-vides interim collection of tolls for O. & M. end obligations of the Seaway Corporation other than debt service.

TITLE II.- CONSTRUCTION AND FINANCING-DEEPER THAN 45 FEET

SEC. 201. The Corps of Engineers shall give priority to channel projects deeper than 45 feet if the local port authority enters into an agreement for 100 percent local cost recovery.

SEC. 202. Permits a local port authority on its own to undertake a channel project to deepen to more than 45 feet.

SEC. 203. Permits the local authority to levy a duty of tonnage on ships drawing more than 45 feet which use the channel constructed under section 201 or 202 and fi-nanced by the port under those sections to pay for the construction.

SEC. 204. The U.S. Comptroller General periodically audits operations under section 203,

SEC. 205. Sets jurisdiction over section 204 In the local U.S. district court. SEC. 206. Sets standard enforcement provi-sions for collection of duties under section 204

TTLE III.—EXPEDITED PROCEDURE FOR APPROVAL OF NAVIGATION IM-PROVEMENT PROJECTS AND RELAT-ED LANDSIDE FACILITIES PROJECTS TITLE

ED LANDSIDE FACILITIES PROJECTS SEC. 301. The Corps of Engineers, in con-sulatation with appropriate federal agen-cies, will publish a schedule for completion of all decisions on permits, et cetera, for new navigation projects and construction of landside facilities related to those projects. The schedule will be published 75 days after congressional authorization of the project. The schedule will provide that all decisions be completed within 1 year after publica-tion. Federal agencies will consolidate their proceedings. The Corps of Engineers will-monitor and be able to modify the schedule. SEC. 302. Provides consistency with obliga-tions of other statutes. SEC. 303. Provides a procedure for the Corps of Engineers to investigate the rea-sons for other agency's failure to meet the project schedule and empowers the corps to take necessary steps to see that decisions are promptly made, including, upon comple-tion of the investigation procedure, the power of the corps to determine compliance with federal law in lieu of the defaulting agency. (No provision is made for dimin-ished compliance with federal law, either statutes or regulations. No provision is made for override of State law.) SEC. 304. Establishes the time and place for seeking judicial review of actions under title 111. SEC. 301. The Corps of Engineers, in con-

## RESOLUTION No. 81-868

## Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

NOVEMBER 24, 1981

#### PROPOSED RESOLUTION RE PORT DREDGING USER CHARGES

WHEREAS, the U. S. Government has proposed legislation in the form of Senate Bill No. 809, which would materially change its participation in national port development, and

WHEREAS, the proposal has ignored the necessity of a uniformly applied system of user charges to maintain the nationwide port system, and

WHEREAS, Congressmen Robert Matsui, Vic Fazio and Gene Chappie along with 18 other co-sponsors, have introduced H.R. 4862 in the U. S. Congress which bill calls for the imposition of uniform charges, if, when and to the extent necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Sacramento City Council does commend Congressmen Matsui, Fazio and Chappie for their effort and urges them to continue to work for passage of their bill, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be furnished to President Ronald Reagan; Office of Management and Budget Director David Stockman; Senator James Abdnor, Chairman of the Water Resources Subcommittee of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee and Congressman Robert Roe, Chairman of the Water Resources Subcommittee of the House of Representatives Committee on Public Works and Transportation, urging their support of HR 4862 and the principles embodied therein.

# PHILLIP L. ISENBERG

MAYOR

ATTEST :

LORRAINE MAGANA

CITY CLERK

PORT OF SACRAMENTOCC 81-868 Retype onto put format

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

PORT DREDGING USER CHARGES

WHEREAS the U.S. Government has proposed legislation in the form of Senate Bill 809 which would materially change its participation in national port development, and

WHEREAS the proposal has ignored the necessity of a uniformly applied system of user charges to maintain the nationwide port system, and

WHEREAS Congressmen Robert Matsui, Vic Fazio and Gene Chappie, along with 18 other co-sponsors, have introduced H. R. 4862 in the U. S. Congress which bill calls for the imposition of uniform user charges, if, when and to the extent necessary,

- NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Sacramento City Council does commend Congressmen Matsui, Fazio and Chappie for their effort and urges them to continue to work for passage of their bill, and
- EE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be furnished to President Ronald Reagan; Office of Management and Budget Director David Stockman; Senator James Abdnor, Chairman of the Water ResourcessSubcommittee of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee and Congressman Robert Roe, Chairman of the Water Resources Subcommittee of the House of Representatives Committee on Public Works and Transportation, urging their support of HR 4862 and the principles embodied therein.