



4.5

DEPARTMENT OF
UTILITIES

ENGINEERING SERVICES

CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

5770 FREEPORT BLVD.
SUITE 100
SACRAMENTO, CA
95822-2911

PH 916-433-6318
FAX 916-433-6652

April 14, 1993

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

**SUBJECT: AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR THE SUMP 101 NEW VALVE VAULT PROJECT
(PN: WE81) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$91,361.**

LOCATION AND COUNCIL DISTRICT: Sump 101 site, J Street and Elvas Avenue, near the Southern Pacific Railroad (SPRR) tracks, adjacent to the California State University, Sacramento (District 3)

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that City Council reject the lowest bid received from Joe Vicini, Inc. as non-responsive and award the contract to W.S. Combs Construction as the lowest responsible bidder. The base bid of W.S. Combs is \$88,161. Additionally, staff recommends that City Council award W.S. Combs the painting alternate in the amount of \$3,200. The total contract award is therefore \$91,361.

CONTACT PERSON: Terry Paxton, Supervising Engineer, 433-6619

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF: April 27, 1993

SUMMARY:

This project has been advertised for bids. Bids have been received and an award to W.S. Combs Construction Company, is recommended. Although Joe Vicini, Inc. submitted a lower base bid they did not satisfy City Code section 58.803 regarding encouragement of MBE/WBE contracting. Therefore, the low base bid submitted by Joe Vicini, Inc. is considered to be non-responsive. The base bid submitted by W.S. Combs is \$88,161 and the their painting alternate is \$3,200. Since this painting cost appears reasonable award of the base bid and the painting alternate is recommended. The total amount of the award is therefore \$91,361.



COMMITTEE/COMMISSION ACTION: None applicable.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The Department of Utilities operates Sump 101 which is used to pump storm water runoff into the American River during storm events. Currently, the large diameter check valves, which prevent backward flow and siphoning, are buried in the ground. As a result, problems with these check valves are difficult to identify, and costly to repair. With this project, a concrete, subterranean vault will be constructed around the check valves with removable steel covers over the valves. Consequently, this will allow easy access to the valves and piping. Upon completion of this project, leaks, and other valve problems will be easily found through routine inspection avoiding the costly inspection now necessary. Additionally, the vault will also extend the service life of these very costly valves.

The Environmental Services Division has reviewed this project and determined it to be categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970.

On February 2, 1993, the City Council approved the plans and specifications for this project. Bids were received and opened by the City Clerk on March 3, 1993. The bids received were:

		<u>Base Bid</u>	<u>Add Alternate Bid</u>
<i>non responsive</i> ¹	1. Joe Vicini, Inc.	\$ 86,323.25	\$ 8500.00
	2. W.S. Combs Construction	\$ 88,161.00	\$ 3200.00
	3. Hill Construction	\$ 90,780.00	\$ 3680.00
	4. Petroleum Engineering	\$ 95,400.00	\$ 2000.00
	5. R.T. English Construction	\$ 98,629.00	\$ 2500.00
	6. BRCO Construction	\$ 99,900.00	\$ 6000.00
	7. JJM Engineering Construction	\$ 105,777.00	\$ 3360.00
	8. Orr Construction	\$ 109,256.00	\$ 4000.00
	9. T.S. Green Corporation	\$ 110,000.00	\$ 3200.00
	10. R.E. McCollam	\$ 129,650.00	\$ 2500.00
	11. Owens Construction	\$ 138,713.00	\$ 6000.00
	12. J. Bird and Sons	\$ 142,775.00	\$ 4025.00

The engineer's estimated construction cost was \$99,200. The estimated project completion date is September, 1993.

City Council
April 15, 1993
Sump 101 New Valve Vault

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

Sufficient storm drainage funds are available for this project. The estimated total project completion cost, including all engineering support, construction management, surveying, material testing, and construction costs is \$119,300. The amount currently available for the project (425-500-WE81) is \$124,400 as of April 14, 1993.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

This action is consistent with Chapter 58, Article III, Section 58.304 of the City Code concerning award of contract.

MBE/WBE CONSIDERATIONS:

Plans and specifications were sent to nineteen (19) plan rooms and construction services organizations for publication and use by the construction industry of Northern California. There are four (4) organizations on the distribution list that are directly involved with MBE/WBE contractors.

There were 17 planholders, none of whom are certified MBE/WBE contractors.

The contract documents required bidders to take all reasonable steps to ensure the maximum participation of MBE/WBE firms for this contract. In order to comply with Section 58.803 of the City Code regarding MBE/WBE efforts required of responsible bidders, a bidder must either meet the MBE/WBE goals for the contract, or make a good faith effort prior to the time bids are opened.

The low base bidder, Joe Vicini, Inc., thought he had satisfied Section 58.803 of the City Code by submitting with his bid a subcontract bid (6.4% of contract) directly from JJ Rebar Corporation. Joe Vicini understood JJ Rebar to be a MBE/WBE contractor as they had purportedly, until very recently, been certified as both MBE and WBE by Caltrans. However, JJ Rebar Corporation has, since the bid opening, applied to the City to be certified as a MBE/WBE company. The City's Office of Minority, Women and Small Business has denied their application for certification because JJ Rebar does not meet the City criteria. Since Joe Vicini, Inc. has stated they did not take other reasonable steps to solicit MBE/WBE as required in Section 58.803, they can not be considered as a responsive bidder.

W.S. Combs, the second low bidder, did not list any MBE/WBE firms as subcontractors for the base bid, but did list a certified WBE for the painting add alternate. However, the WBE subcontractor listed for the painting add alternative cannot be considered as meeting the requirements of Code Section 58.803 since only the base bid may be considered for contract


City Council
April 14, 1993
Sump 101 New Valve Vault

award as defined in the request for bids. W.S. Combs, unlike Joe Vicini, Inc. did, in staff's opinion, take the reasonable steps outlined in Section 58.803 of the City Code. This is evidenced by their inclusion of telephone logs, and trade publication advertisements submitted in their bid.

Staff, for the above reasons, recommends award to W.S. Combs as the lowest responsible bidder, meeting the contract's MBE/WBE requirements.

It should be noted that of the six lowest bids received (others not considered due to higher costs), none contained any MBE/WBE subcontractor participation; the first, third, and fourth low bids all proposed using JJ Rebar as a MBE/WBE firm.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald M. Dodge, Director
Department of Utilities

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:



WILLIAM H. EDGAR
City Manager



RECEIVED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
CITY OF SACRAMENTO
APR 5 8 09 AM '93

March 11, 1993

Department of Utilities
City of Sacramento
5770 Freeport Blvd., Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95822

ATTN: Todd Shields

Re: Letter of protest on job Sump 101 Valve Vault Project PN: WE81
in the City of Sacramento

Dear Mr. Shields,

W. S. Combs Construction is making a formal protest in regards to the sealed proposal of Joe Vincini, Inc., on job Sump 101 Valve Vault that bid on March 3, 1993.

I am not protesting the fact that W. S. Combs Construction was not the apparent low bidder but came in second. I am protesting that the bid proposal of Joe Vincini, Inc., regarding the DBE, MBE, WBE Program Statement, was incomplete and shows no reasonable attempt, program or steps described in the bid proposal, to ensure or attempt to obtain the DBE, MBE, WBE participation.

W. S. Combs Construction would like to know if Joe Vincini's bid proposal reflects the "lowest responsible bidder" as states in paragraph one of the DBE, MBE, WBE Program Statement.

If in fact the City of Sacramento deems Joe Vincini, Inc., the lowest responsible bidder, then the steps that W. S. Combs Construction took to encourage the DBE, MBE, WBE, firms would not be needed in the future on these bids?

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads 'Warren S. Combs'.

W. S. Combs
Owner

WSC/lc

cc: Office of the City Clerk, City Hall, Valerie Burrows

JOE VICINI, Inc.

GENERAL ENGINEERING CONTRACTOR

Lic. No. 213766

315 PLACERVILLE DRIVE - P.O. BOX 206

PHONE (916) 622-1963

PLACERVILLE, CALIFORNIA 95667

March 23, 1993

Department of Utilities
City of Sacramento
5770 Freeport Boulevard
Suite 100
Sacramento, California 95822-2911

Attn: Terry Paxton
Supervising Engineer

Re: Sump 101 Valve Vault Project
Project No.: WE81

Dear Mr. Paxton:

This is in response to the protest of W. S. Combs Construction to our bid on the above-described project. Combs Construction's protest is based on the contention that our bid was "incomplete and shows no reasonable attempt, program or steps described in the bid proposal, to ensure or attempt to obtain the DBE, MBE, WBE participation." Our response is as follows:

1. Our bid contains the subcontract of J. J. Re-Bar Corp. in the amount of \$5,520.00. J. J. Re-bar Corp. is a WBE and will be a certified WBE but was not certified at the time of the bid. Re-Bar was previously certified as both a WBE and MBE. (See Footnote 1.) This was known to us from a previous job and the subcontract of Re-Bar Corp. was solicited to fulfill the minority participation requirements. During the last 15 years, Re-Bar Corp. has been both WBE and MBE certified by various agencies, including Caltrans, Regional Transit and City of Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment, Certification No. WBE/020.

However, at the time of the bid, it was discovered that Re-Bar Corp. had lost their WBE/MBE certification status as the State had disallowed Portuguese as a certified minority. Due to the fact that the WBE/MBE certification was under the same certification number, Re-Bar Corp. had to reapply for the WBE certification number. As Re-Bar Corp. was in the process of re-

Terry Paxton
March 23, 1993
Page 2

applying to the City of Sacramento at the time of the bid, we could not list their certification number in the bid. We did, however, state in Section C of the Program Statement that J. J. Re-Bar Corp. was in the process of reapplying for their WBE certification. Enclosed is a copy of the application for Subcontractor Certification submitted by J. J. Re-Bar Corp. There is no indication that Re-Bar Corp. does not otherwise qualify as a WBE.

2. The sub-contract of Re-Bar Corp. is 6.4% of the covered work. This certainly meets the goals and requirements established by the City of Sacramento, and therefore Section 2000(a)(1) of the Public Contracts Code. The goals and specifications set forth in the Program Statement included in the bid proposal does not set any specific numerical goals and merely states that it is the policy of the City of Sacramento to "encourage" the participation of DBE's, MBE's and WBE's.

In contrast, Combs Construction does not have any minority participation in its listed subcontracts. Combs Construction has listed Dial One Effort Paint and Dec. as a WBE subcontractor. However, Dial One's subcontract is for Item No. 4 which is an "Additive" and is not part of the contract bid. The Sealed Proposal provides that, "The entire bid to be used as a basis for selection of the lowest bid is comprised of the sum of items 1 through 3 (Excluding Item 4)." Combs Construction's bid did list Chriss [sic] Oliveirta & Ass. on page 2 of the Program Statement as a certified subcontractor at 2% of the contract total but Oliveirta is not listed as a subcontractor in the bid and no certification number is given. The failure to list Oliveirta is also a violation of Public Contracts Code Section 4104(a) which requires the listing of any subcontractor whose subcontract is in excess of one-half of 1 percent.

While the documentation attached to the Combs Construction bid arguably supports a finding of good faith effort under the criteria set forth in Section 2000(b) of the Public Contracts Code (however, see Footnote 2), the actual participation of a WBE, as in our bid, is obviously more desirable in obtaining the minority and women participation goals set forth in the Program Statement.

3. The minority and women participation goals set forth in the Program Statement provides that it the "policy of the City of Sacramento to encourage the participation of DBE's, MBE's and WBE's in all aspects of contracting to the maximum extent feasible." (*Emphasis added*). The feasibility factor imposes a limitation in this particular project.

The major items of work include the excavation and shoring, installation of concrete forms and concrete pouring. The nature of these major items of work result in the majority of work being

Terry Paxton

March 23, 1993

Page 3

performed by the prime contractor. This is borne out by our bid and Combs Construction's bid as these items are not covered by any subcontracts. Additionally, our research found that the list of plan holders does not contain any MBE's, DBE's or WBE's and only two of them replied with subcontractor bids.

The only work required to be sublet by us is the steel grating and the fabrication of the re-bar. We are qualified and able to install the re-bar. However, the work covered by Re-Bar Corp.'s sub-bid is for both the fabrication and the installation of the re-bar. We did this solely to help fulfill the women and minority participation goals. Given these economic times, and the fierce competition for bids, this is a significant effort on our part to meet the stated goals.

Combs Construction's bid does not list any subcontracts for any of the covered work to WBE's, DBE's or MBE's. We applaud the efforts made by Combs Construction in attempting to comply with goals but to reject our bid would result in a total frustration of such goals and would be the ultimate celebration of form over substance.


4. The structural steel fabrication is a very critical item and it is important to use a subcontractor in whom you have confidence. We have such confidence in our listed subcontractor, Rick's Crane & Welding Service. Richard L. Vancleave, owner of Rick's Crane & Welding Service, is a veteran and is in the process of applying for his disability status. The application was made in May, 1992, and Mr. Vancleave has been patiently awaiting a response. Enclosed are copies of the Subcontract and correspondence with the Veteran's Administration.

In summary, our bid conforms to the City's DBE/MBE/WBE Program and the protest by Combs Construction should be denied. To the extent deemed necessary, this letter is intended to supplement the bid documents previously submitted.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,

JOE VICINI, INC.,
A California Corporation



By: Gordon J. Vicini
President

cc: City Clerk

Terry Paxton
March 23, 1993
Page 4

Footnote 1: J. J. Re-Bar Corp. is presently self-certified as a WBE. Re-Bar Corp. submitted its application to the City of Sacramento on March 17, 1993 (a copy is attached) and was told that it would take one to two days to process the application. On March 22, 1993, Re-Bar Corp. spoke with Jim Johnston at the City and they discovered that they had been sent a partnership application instead of a corporate application to complete and file. Re-Bar Corp. has re-submitted the correct application and should receive the certification this week.

Footnote 2: The documentation attached to the bid of Combs Construction does not support a presumption of good faith pursuant to Section 2000(b) and (c), particularly as to the time limits set forth in subsections (b)(3) and (4), and as to proof of any follow-up, providing of information, or engaging in any negotiations as required by subsections (b)(5) through (b)(8). The attempts documented by Combs Construction, when compared against the requirements of subsection (b), are very superficial.

S/E

DOCUMENT CHECKLIST FOR PARTNERSHIPS

Provide the following required documents ONLY if your company is a partnership.

Important: Please check off each required document submitted by your firm. Please note that supplemental documents may be requested. If any REQUIRED document cannot be submitted, use a separate sheet to explain. This will expedite the certification process, which will be beneficial to your firm. Thank you for your cooperation in the Joint Certification Process.

REQUIRED DOCUMENTS

Check List

- 1 Copy of Professional License(s) for Principal Partners
- 2 Copy of Company's Fictitious Business Name Statement
- 3 Proof of Qualifying US Citizenship or Legal US Permanent Resident Status
- 4 Proof of Gender/Ethnic/Minority Status*
- 5 Copy of Lease/Rental Agreement for Business Site
- 6 Letter from Bank verifying authorized signatures on business checking account
- 7 Copy of Current Partnership Return Federal Tax Form 1065
- 8 Resumes of Principal Partners showing Education, Training, and Employment History with appropriate dates
- 9 Copy of Partnership Agreement
- 10 Copy of Partnership Buy-out rights Agreement
- 11 Copy of Partnership Profit Sharing Agreement
- 12 Copies of cancelled checks paid to acquire ownership interest in the partnership

*ACCEPTABLE DOCUMENTS

Not Applicable

Item 1 - California contractors should obtain a STATE CERTIFIED copy of their state contractor's license from their local branch of the California Contractors' License Board, or directly from:

Contractors' State License Board
 Northern California Regional Office
 3132 Bradshaw Road
 Sacramento, CA 95827
 (916) 366-2290

Item 3 - A copy of either: a) certified birth certificate from county registrar; b) United States Passport; (c) US Military record (form DD 214); or d) front and back of permanent resident visa card.

Item 4 - For applicants other than Native Americans. A copy of either: a) certified birth certificate from county registrar b) US Small Business Administration 8(a) certification; or c) parent's or grandparent's birth certificates.

For Native American applicants. A copy of either: a) tribal enrollment card; b) letter from Tribal Chairman; c) letter from US Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) if reservation is terminated; or d) US Small Business Administration 8(a) certification.

4 IS A PERCENTAGE OF YOUR FIRM OWNED BY AN AFFILIATED COMPANY? Yes No

If yes, Affiliate Name: _____
 Address: _____
 NUMBER STREET
 CITY COUNTY STATE ZIP CODE

5 OWNERSHIP — Identify any person who owns 5% or more of the business (If insufficient space, please attach sheet)

NAME	TITLE	ETHNIC GENDER CODE* (M/F)	Check U.S. Citizenship/Permanent Resident			Percentage Owned	Percentage Voting
			Citizen	Resident	Permanent		
JoAnn E. Skokan	President	C	X			51	51
Joseph J. Skokan	Secretary	C	X			49	49

6 CONTROL — List all individuals responsible for day-to-day management and policy decision-making:

NAME	TITLE	ETHNIC GENDER CODE* (M/F)	TYPE OF AUTHORITY / RESPONSIBILITY					
			Finance	Legal	Hiring/Pricing	Mktg./Sales	Equipment	Field Serv.
JoAnn E. Skokan	President	C	51		51	51	51	51
Joseph J. Skokan	Secretary	C	49		49	49	49	49

Who is responsible for Insurance and Security Bond (if applicable): Joint

7 RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER BUSINESS CONCERNS — Do any owners, officers or employees of the firm currently have (or have had in the past five years) an ownership interest in, or a business relationship with any other firms? (Business relationship is defined as sharing space, equipment, financing, or employees as well as both firms having some of the same owners.) Yes No

If yes, include the following for each person in item No. 12:
 a. Name of Person _____ b. Name of Business _____ c. Position in other Business _____

8 OTHER AGENCY VERIFICATION

A Please check if your firm is certified by any of the following agencies:

California Department of Transportation (CALTRANS)
 Regional Transit Association of the Bay Area
 Small Business Administration (SBA) 8 (a)

EXPIRATION DATE: _____
 (A copy of current certification or letter granting MWDBE status MUST be attached)

B Have you been certified in the past by any of the following agencies:

City of Sacramento _____ Date _____
 County of Sacramento _____ Date _____
 SHRA _____ Date _____
 Regional Transit _____ Date _____

C Has this firm ever been denied as a minority business enterprise by any government agency? Yes No

If YES, date of denial: _____

(*) ETHNIC CODES (See Definitions on Page 1-4):

- 1 = Caucasian 2 = African American 3 = Native American 4 = Hispanic American
- 5 = Asian/Pacific Islander 6 = Asian/Indian 7 = Other (describe)

MWDBE2.APP (02/92)

8 OTHER AGENCY VERIFICATION (cont'd)

D Have any of the individuals listed in items 5 and 6 been involved with a firm that has been denied certification as an MWDBE by any other government agency? Yes No

If the answer to C or D is YES, please explain in Item No. 13.

9 BUSINESS FACILITY AND EQUIPMENT

A Does the business own its offices / facilities? Yes No

If NO, please provide the following information:

Name of owner of premises: Joseph J. & JoAnn E. Skokan

Owner's Address: 2010 Idaho Mine Court

Rancho Cordova California 95670
CITY COUNTY STATE ZIP CODE

Telephone: (916) 852-0519

PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF CURRENT RENTAL / LEASE AGREEMENT

B Does the applicant share office / work facilities with other firms? Yes No

If YES, please identify these firm(s):

Firm Name: _____

Telephone: _____

Firm Name: _____

Telephone: _____

Firm Name: _____

Telephone: _____

C Does the applicant own major equipment / assets? Yes No

If YES, please identify below:

Trucks, fabricating equipment, inventory, autos

D Does the applicant lease equipment? Yes No

If YES, please complete the following information:

MAJOR EQUIPMENT LEASED BY APPLICANT: _____ LESSOR: _____

10 OUTSTANDING LOANS — Does your firm have any outstanding loans greater than \$10,000?

Yes No

If YES, please complete the following:

AMOUNT LENDOR / CREDITOR(S) GUARANTOR(S)

300,000 of Wells Fargo Bank Joseph J. & JoAnn E. Skokan

500,000 Credit Line

MWDBE3.APP (02/92)

AFFIDAVIT

The undersigned certifies, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing statements indicated on the certification application are true and correct and includes all material information necessary to identify and explain the ownership, operation and control of J.J. Re-Bar Corp. (name of firm). Any material misrepresentation or omission will be grounds for terminating any contract which may be awarded and for initiating action under Federal, State, and local laws concerning false statements.

If, at any time, the City of Sacramento has reason to believe that any person or firm has willfully and knowingly provided incorrect information or made false statements, the City of Sacramento may refer the matter to the appropriate Federal or State funding agency for initiation of debarment procedures and/or refer the matter to the appropriate law enforcement agency if the City deems appropriate.

All oral and tacit agreements shall be reduced to writing and submitted with this affidavit. If there are no written, oral, or tacit agreements concerning the operation of the company, please affirm in your own handwriting the following statement.

"There are no written, oral or tacit agreements concerning the operation of the company between any persons associated with the company."

This affidavit was executed in Sacramento, (city) California.


Signature: Joseph J. Skokan Title: Secty.

Printed Name: Joseph J. Skokan Date Signed: March 19, 1993

NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On this 19 day of March, 1993 before me appeared Joseph J. Skokan to be personally known who being duly sworn, did execute the foregoing affidavit and did state that he/she was properly authorized by J.J. Re-Bar Corp. (name of firm) to execute the affidavit and did so as his or her free act and deed.

Notary Public: Janet B. Johnston
Commission Expires: May 16, 1995



XCP Instruction Package Rev. 4/03/92 CERTPRMO Q/A Version

Jan Clave Lic. #034290 62-290
P.O. Box 833
Cottonwood, CA 96022
- 524-1122 - mob.
Bus. (916) 347-6947 Fax. (916) 347-6940

PROPOSAL

In the State of California: Contractors are required by law to be licensed and regulated by the Contractors' State License Board. Any questions concerning a contractor may be referred to the Registrar of the board whose address is:

Contractors' State License Board
9835 Goethe Road, Sacramento, CA 95827
Mailing Address:
P.O. Box 26000, Sacramento, CA 95826

Submitted To: SS. 563-15-3145

JOB NAME / NO.

Sump 101

PHONE

DATE

3 - 3 - 93

JOB LOCATION

Sacramento, Ca.

ARCHITECT

DATE OF PLANS

David A. Crane & Assoc.

2 - 2 - 93

We hereby submit specifications and estimates for:

Sump 101
(Revised Proposal)

Scope:

Fabricate, Deliver, & Install Steel Beams, Galvanized Grating W/Clips, 2 Aluminum Hatch Covers, Fasteners for Beams & Grates, Exp. Bolts, 4 - 2" X 3' Galvanized Pipe Bollards W/Caps Welded on Plates.

(Supply Only) To Job Site:

Foundation Anchor bolts for Steel Beams, Galvanized Embedded Angles, 18 Stainless Steel Ladder Rungs, 5 - 6" X 7' Galvanized Pipe Bollards.

Inclusion:

Shop Drawings, Redoxide Primer on Structural Steel, Crane, Equipment & Crew to Complete this portion of work including certified on site welding of relocated 10" Pipe as per plan.

Exclusion:

Rebar, Concrete, Paint, 10" Pipe, Testing or Inspection of any type, or Outside Factors that may effect delay or change the project.

Total \$14,933.98 (Tax Included)

We Propose hereby to furnish material and labor - complete in accordance with above specifications, for the sum of:

Fourteen Thousand Nine Hundred Thirty Three 98/100 dollars (\$ 14,933.98).

Payment to be made as follows:

10% down, progress billing, balance upon completion of work specified.


All material is guaranteed to be as specified. All work to be completed in a workmanlike manner according to standard practices. Any alteration or deviation from above specifications involving extra costs will be accepted only upon written orders, and will become an extra charge over and above the estimate. All agreements contingent upon strikes, accidents or delays beyond our control.

Authorized Signature _____

Note: This proposal may be withdrawn by us if not accepted within 30 days.

Acceptance of Proposal - The above prices, specifications and conditions are satisfactory and are hereby accepted. You are authorized to do the work as specified. Payment will be made as outlined above.

Signature _____ Date _____ Signature _____ Date _____



DATE: 3/25/93 TIME SENT: 11:05 A.M.

FAX TO: Todd Shields (City of Sac.)
NAME

916 433-6652
AREA CODE NUMBER

ATTENTION: Todd


SUBJECT: Sump 101 Project

FROM: Bob Major

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS PAGE 6

HERE ARE COPIES OF CORRESPONDANCE
between the V.A. and Rick Vanleave. This
should have been included with our response
to the allegations by W.S. Combs Const.

Thank, Bob Major





**Veterans
Administration**

211 MAIN STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94105

83

May 22, 1992

IN REPLY REFER TO:

RICHARD L VANCEAVE
P O BOX 453
COTTONWOOD CA 96023

FILE NUMBER:
562-15-3145/00
R L VANCL

We have received your application for benefits, You do not have to take any more action now. However, if you need to contact us, be sure to show YOUR file number and full name.

T. A. VERRILL
ADJUDICATION OFFICER

Veterans Administration

VA FORM 20-8992
OCT 1986

03-24-1993 08:26PM

916 347 6940

P.02



**Veterans
Administration**

211 MAIN ST
SAN FRAN CA 94105

89 September 15, 1992

IN REPLY REFER TO:

R L VANCEAVE
P O BOX 853
COTTONWOOD CA 95022

FILE NUMBER:
563-15-3145/00
R L VANCL

We have received your application for benefits. You do not have to take any more action now. However, if you need to contact us, be sure to show YOUR file number and full name.

T. A. VERRILL
ADJUDICATION OFFICER

Veterans Administration

VA FORM
OCT 1980 **20-8992**

03-24-1993 08:26PM

916 347 6940

P.03



**Veterans
Administration**

211 MAIN ST
SAN FRAN CA 94105

83 September 16, 1992

IN REPLY REFER TO:

R L VANCLAVE
P O BOX 853
COTTONWOOD CA 95022

FILE NUMBER:
563-15-3145/00
R L VANCL

Our action on your claim for DISABILITY benefits will be delayed. We are waiting for information that we requested from your VA hospital records.

T. A. VERRILL
ADJUDICATION OFFICER

Veterans Administration

VA FORM
OCT 1980 **20-8992**

03-24-1993 08:26PM

916 347 6940

P.04



**Veterans
Administration**

211 MAIN ST
SAN FRAN CA 94105

83 September 29, 1992

IN REPLY REFER TO:

R I. VANCLEAVE
P O BOX 853
COTTONWOOD CA 96022

FILE NUMBER
563-15-3145/00
R L VANCL

We are making arrangements for your physical examination. We will notify you soon of the time and place of the examination.

If you do not report for this examination, we may disallow your claim or you may receive less money than you might otherwise have received.

T. A. VERRILL
ADJUDICATION OFFICER

Veterans Administration

VA FORM
OCT 1988 20-8892

03-24-1993 08:27PM

916 347 6940

P.05

09/29/92
563153145

RICHARD VANCELEAVE
PO BOX 858
COTTONWOOD, CALIFORNIA 96022

*C&P
Manual OK
10-38-92:sl
[Signature]*

Dear Mr. Vancleave,

This is notification of your scheduled C & P Clinic appointment(s):

WEDNESDAY OCT 20, 1992 2:00 PM MTZ C&P STEVENS Clinic

This appointment is for a compensation and pension (CAP) disability evaluation which was requested through the VA Regional Office. Please report to the C & P Unit, which is now located in Room E 120 in the Education Wing, 1st floor. Since the main building is closed, please enter through the entrance at the northeast end of the Medical Center.

Please be aware that this examination is for EVALUATION ONLY and not for treatment. If you wish to also apply for treatment, you may make application in Room E129.

If you are unable to keep this appointment, please contact the C & P Unit at (510) 372-2560. If you do not report for this appointment, unfortunately, we are required to return your request to the VA Regional Office.

Sincerely yours,

Barbara L. Neff
Chief, Medical Administration Service

Northern California System of Clinics
150 Muir Rd.
Martinez, CA 94553