Appeal of Bartley W. Cavanaugh, Jr.) vs City of Sacramento Planning)
Commission's denial of a Variance)
to permit a pole sign on a land-)
locked parcel and conditions of)
approval for a Special Permit to)
allow a recreational vehicle)
storage facility and a caretaker's)
residence located at 21 Massie)
Court (P-8642)

NOTICE OF DECISION

A!ID

FINDINGS OF FACT

At its regular meeting of March 4, 1980, the City Council heard and considered evidence in the above entitled matter. Based on the oral and documentary evidence at such hearing, the Council denied the appeal based on the following findings:

- 1. The pole sign and reduction in landscaping is contrary to the policies set forth in the 1974 General Plan which encourages highway beautification.
- The granting of a Variance to allow the pole sign will be a disservice and injury to the public welfare by creating a significant visual impact along the adjacent freeway.
- 3. The requirement for the landscaping is necessary to help buffer the recreational vehicles from passing motorists along Highway 99.

PHILLIP L. ISENBERG

ATTEST:

JACI PAPPAS

ACTING CITY CLERK

APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAR 18198

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

P-8642



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

LORRAINE MAGANA CITY CLERK

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK 915 1 STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 CITY HALL ROOM 203 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

March 19, 1980

Bartley W. Cavanaugh, Jr. 926 "J" Building Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Cavanaugh:

On March 18, 1980, the City Council adopted the enclosed Notice of Decision and Findings of Fact in the appeal of the Planning Commission's denial of a Variance to permit a pole sign on a landlocked parcel and conditions of approval for a Special Permit to allow a recreational vehicle storage facility and a caretaker's residence located at 21 Massie Court. P-8642

Sincerely,

Acting City Clerk

JP:HO*

Encl.

cc: Sacramento Partners LTD Crocker Brothers Dev.

Planning Department

Item No. 4