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April 1, 1980
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## SUMMARY

The attached report recommends that taxicab rates be increased.

## BACKGROUND

At the April 8, 1980 meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee, the Committee approved the report and directed Staff to forward it to the Full Council for adoption.

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the attached ordinances.
$i$

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:


April 8, 1980
All Districts
cc: Kneeland H. Lobner, Attorney
Dick Wolgamott, County of Sacramento Administration
and Finance Agency
Mr. Bert Cunningham, 4445 Riverside Blvd. Apt T, Sacramento 95822
Mrs. Kerr, 4107 Fotos Court, Sacramento, Ca 95820
Vern Shorey, Secretary-Treasurer, Teamsters Local 165, 716-19th St., Sac 95814
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Budget and Finance Committee
City Council
Sacramento, California
Honorable Members in Session:
SUBJECT: TAXICAB RATE INCREASE
SUMMARY
This report recomends the approval of the taxi rate increase petition of the taxi industry dated January 30, 1980 (Exhibit I).

## BACKGROUND

In September of 1976, the City Council approved the present taxicab meter rate of $\$ 1.20$ for the first $2 / 9$ of a mile and $\$ .20$ for each additional $2 / 9$ mile or fraction thereof.

On January 30, 1980, the industry requested an increase of the taxi fare as follows:

1) Basic meter drop from $\$ 1.20$ for the first $2 / 9$ to $\$ 1.20$ for the first 1/6 mile; and
2) Basic mileage change from $\$ .20$ per $2 / 9$ mile to $\$ .20$ per $1 / 6$ mile.
-RATE INCREASE COMPARISON-


Based on the average trip length experience of $4-1 / 2$ miles the requested increase represents an increase of $23.1 \%$ (Ref. Exhibit II).

ANALYSIS
Since the City granted the last rate increase in September 1976, costs have increased considerably. The following listed items reflect the inflationary trend for the past $3-1 / 2$ years -

1. Consumers Price Index for the San Francisco-0akland Area for all urban consumers:

| Period | \% Increase |
| :---: | ---: |
| December 1976- December 1977 | $8.58 \%$ |
| December 1977 - December 1978 | $7.21 \%$ |
| December 1978 - December 1979 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 4 \%}$ |
| 3-Year CPI Increase | $30.43 \%$ |

2. Cost of regular gas (City's experience):

| December 1976 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ .47 / \mathrm{gal}$. | December 1979 | Increase |  |
| Amount $\%$ |  |  |  |
| $\$ .93 / \mathrm{gai}$. | $\$ .46 \mathrm{gal} 98 \%$ |  |  |

3. Costs of parts (City's experience): up approximately $32 \%$
4. Cost of vehicles (City's experience): up approximately $32 \%$

In reviewing the Yellow Cab Company of Sacramento's Financial and Support Data for 1976, 1977, 1978 and 1979 which accompanies the industries rate increase petition (Exhibit I), the following items were noted -

1. Stockholders return on investment averages $37.5 \%$ over the 4 years even though the net income after taxes based on revenue from passengers reflects a low return:

| Year | Net Incone After Taxes |  |  |  | Stockholders Equity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Income Over Prior Year |  | As a \% of Rev. | Changes From Prior Years |  | Stockholders |  |
|  | Amount | Amount | $\%$ |  | Amount | Amount | \% | Return on Investment |
| 1976 | \$23,556 | -0. | -0- | 1.5\% | \$ 39,585 | -0- | -0- | 59.5\% |
| 1977 | 49,262 | \$25,706 | 109.1 | 2.9\% | 96,093 | \$56,508 | 142.8 | 51.3\% |
| 1978 | 60,411 | 11,149 | 22.6 | 3.3\% | 136,254 | 40,161 | 41.8 | 44.3\% |
| 1979 | 12,800 | $(47,611)$ | (78.8) | . $7 \%$ | 117,702 | $(18,552)$ | (13.6) | 10.9\% |

Average:
$\$ 36,507$
$\$ 97,409$
37.5\%

City Council
2. Revenue from passengers increased $14.8 \%$ from 1976 through 1979 (Ref. to Exhibit A of I):

|  |  |  |  | 3 Yr Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | Amount | \% |
| \$1,608.262 | \$1,712,501 | \$1,823,110 | \$1,846,808 | \$238,546 | 15\% |

3. Number of trips decreased 15.7\% from 1976 through 1979 (Ref. to Exhibit $H$ of I):

|  |  |  |  | 3 Yr Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | Amount | \% |
| \$425,089 | \$376,307 | \$378,643 | \$366,958 | $(58,131)$ |  |

NOTE: The inconsistency of revenues increasing $15.8 \%$ (Item 2 above), while passenger usage decreases $15.7 \%$ (Item 3 above) is caused by customers going longer distances as explained by Neal Love, C.P.A. for Yellow Cab Co.
4. Operation and Maintenance expenses increased $16.3 \%$ from 1976 through 1979 (Ref. to Exhibit B of I):

| $\underline{1976}$ | $\underline{1977}$ | $\underline{1978}$ | $\underline{1979}$ | $\frac{3 \text { Yr Increase }}{\text { Anount }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 7,459,477$ | $\$ 7,529,285$ | $\$ 7,612,756$ | $\$ 1,697,376$ | $\$ 237,595$ |

5. Major expenses per taxi trip increased $36.9 \%$ from 1976 through 1979 (Ref. to Exhibit $H$ of I):

|  |  |  |  | 3 Yr |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | Amount | $\%$ |
| \$3.31 | \$3.94 | \$4.19 | \$4.53 | \$1.22 | 36.9\% |

6. Fuel and 0i1 expenses increased $34 \%$ from 1976 to 1979 (Ref. to Exhibit 8 of I):

| $\underline{1976}$ | $\underline{1977}$ | $\underline{1978}$ | $\underline{1979}$ | $\frac{3 \mathrm{Yr} . \text { Increase }}{\text { Amount }}$ |  |  | $\frac{\%}{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 144,306$ | $\$ 138,010$ | $\$ 152,205$ | $\$ 193,336$ | $\$ 49,030$ | $34.0 \%$ |  |  |

A survey of taxi rates in 10 major cities in California (Ref. to Exhibit III) reflects that at the current rates the Sacramento taxi companies are in 9 th position. The requested rate increase would move the local industry to 5 th position; however, 3 cities -- Anaheim, Long Beach and San Francisco. -- are currently petitioning for rate increases.

CONCLUSION
Although over the past four (4) years the Stockholders average return on their
investment was $37.5 \%$, indicating a good return on their investment, the return on their investment has declined from $59.5 \%$ in 1976 to $10.5 \%$ in 1979. Yet, the requested taxi rate increase of approximately $23.1 \%$ in light of the CPI increase of $30.43 \%$ from December 1976 through December 1979 seems reasonable and justified.

In addition, with the current economic conditions (i.e. double digit inflation) it would seem in order to index all future taxi rate increases to the United States Department of Labor Consumers Price Index (CPI) for the San Francisco - Oakland area for all urban consumers. This would provide a more realistic approach for dealing with current economic trends for both the city and the taxi industry.

Therefore, Staff is providing two Ordinances for consideration:

1. An Emergency Ordinance to increase the taxi rates immediateiy; and
2. An Ordinance, not of emergency, that would provide for future rate increases when the rates of fare on a mileage basis, from the date of the last rate change, would equal $10 \phi$ per mile or more, based on the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index for the San Francisco - Dakland Area "All Urban Consumers". This procedure is patterned after the City of Fresno's Taxicab Resolution of January 30, 1979.

## RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Budget and Finance Committee approve and direct Staff to forward this report to the City Council for enactment of the 1) Emergency Ordinance increasing taxicab rates (Exhibit V); and 2) Ordinance that will provide for future rate increases to the CPI (Exhibit VI).


RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:

## W.ehum I. Edgr <br> Witliam H. Edgar

Assistant City Manager
Enclosures: Exhibit I - Taxi Industry Petition for Rate Increases
Exhibit II - Proposed Taxicab Rate Comparison
Exhibit III - Taxicab Rate Survey
Exhibit IV - Schedule of Taxicab Companies and Number of Cabs Licensed
Exhibit V - Emergency Ordinance Amending Section 42.55
Exhibit VI - Ordinance Future Adjustments to Rate of Fare
cc: Kneeland H. Lobner, Attorney
Dick Wolgamott, County of Sacramento Administration and Finance Agency
Mr. Bert Cunningham, 4445 Riverside Blvd, Apt. i, Sacramento 95822
Mrs. Kerr, 4107 Fotos Court, Sacramento, 95820
Vern Shorey, Secretary-Treasurer, Teamster Local 165, 716 - 19th St., Sac. 95814
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January 30, 1980

Mr. Walter J. Slipe
City Manager
Sacramento City Hall
both and I Street
Sacramento, California 95814


Mr. Brian Richter, County Executive
County Administration Building
700 H Street
Sacramento, California 95814
SUBJECT: Report in Support of Application for 1980 City and County Taxicab Rate Increase

## Gentlemen:

In support of request made this date for a city and countywide taxicab rate increase on behalf of the taxi companies of Sacramento, we herewith submit a report prepared by Neal Love Accountancy Corporation, a certified public accounting firm, 180028 th Street; Sacramento, California; Telephone No. 454-2805; and submitted to us on January 15, 1980.

The following information may be of assistance to you in the consideration of this application:

1. The existing taxicab meter rate for the city and county is $\$ 1.20$ for the first $2 / 9$ of a mile and $\$ 0.20$ for each additional $2 / 9$ of a mile. This particular rate was approved and adopted here by both the city and the county in September 1976. Thus, 3.3 years have passed during which no rate increase has been granted.

The January 1980 application proposes to change the meter rate in Sacramento County to $\$ 1.20$ for the first $1 / 6$ of a mile and $\$ 0.20$ for each additional $1 / 6$ of a mile. Applications for this particular rate have already been approved in the cities of Oakland, Hayward, and Arroyo Grande.
2. The present rate for $4-1 / 2$ miles is $\$ 5.30$. The

Mr. Walter J. Slipe and
Mr. Brian Richter
Re: Report in Support of Application for Rate Increase
Page 2.
new rate for $4-1 / 2$ miles would be $\$ 6.60$. Using 4-1/2 miles as the average trip length, the proposed new meter rate increase represents an increase of 24.5 percent, or an annual appreciation of 7.42 percent.
3. Due to the effects of inflation, the taxi companies have been fighting a losing battle in their attempts to operate on the 19.76 rate standards. In order to save accounting costs, we have caused the accountant to produce statements representing only the financial situation for Yellow Cab of Sacramento, but we are informed and believe that the black picture shown in the actual and projected income figures for Yellow Cab, and like losses, are experienced by the other taxicab companies. It is obvious from a review of the attached exhibits that the companies cannot expect to remain in business and suffer the losses projected.
4. The proposed rate increase will produce sufficient income to put the companies in the black and allow a small but reasonable return on operations.

We request that you arrange for this application to be placed upon the agenda of the City Council and the Board of Supervisors, and that you coincidentally refer the application to appropriate officials for evaluation.

Please notify us of the dates for which you schedule hearings and advise us if there is any further information you require.


KHL : mm
Encls.

NEAL LOVE
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION
1800 28th Street, Suite A
Sacramento, California-95816
Talephone 454-2805
January 28, 1980

Mr. Kneeland H. Lobner Lobner and Bull
717 - 20th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Lobner:
As requested, we are submitting an analysis of the taxi operations of Yellow Cab Company of Sacramento for the period covering January 1 , 1976, through December 31, 1980. The basic financial statements were prepared by us on an unaudited basis.

We elected to analyze Yellow Cab Company of Sacramento (hereinafter called "Company") because this company represents the largest segment of the taxi business in the City and County of Sacramento ( 99 permits). By having the best accounting system, this company provided the most complete data and also offers the City and County continuity with the data submitted to these authorities for prior rate hearings.

The rate increase being requested by the taxi companies, if granted, at an assumed effective date of January 1, 1980, would result in the following:

1. ETiminate the projected 1980 loss situation indicated on page 2 of Exhibit $A$ and Exhibit D.
2. Allow a profit in 1980 of $\$ 64,000$ before income taxes or $2.8 \%$ profit on revenue.

REVIEN OF FINANCIAL DATA FOR 1976, 1977 and 1978:
The income statements of the Company for the calendar years 1976, 1977, 1978 are unaudited. Accordingly, all historical data contained in this analysis is unaudited and we cannot express an independent accountant's opinion on the accuracy of such data. However, the income statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principals.

The Company's annual financial reports agree with its corporate Federal Income Tax Returns for the years 1976, 1977, and 1978 which were prepared and signed under the penalties of perjury and submitted to the Internal Revenue Service.


Mr. Kneeland H. Lobner
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Also, the bus (limousine) division departmental data appearing on the annual financial reports of the Company agree with the data filed in reports signed under the penalties of perjury and submitted to the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California for 1976, 1977, and 1978.

The accompanying schedules which contain financial data from 1976, 1977, and 1978 relate only to the taxi division of the Company.

## PROJECTIONS FOR 1979 and $1980:$

As the major portions of this report were completed before December 31, 1979, we used actual data for the period January 1, 1979 through October 31, 1979, and projected data for the period November 1, 1979 through December 31, 1979.

We have prepared the accompanying projection of income for the Company for 1980, based on assumptions and data provided to us by management, as described below.

1. The proposed rate structure of $\$ 1.20$ for the first $1 / 6$ mile and $20 \nmid$ per $1 / 6$ mile thereafter will be approved expeditiously.
2. Wages .paid to union labor will not increase more than projected, as a result of upcoming labor negotiations.
3. The average distance per trip will continue to remain at $4 \frac{1}{4}$ miles.
4. There will continue to be an average 15.05 taxi trips per shift.
5. Expenses will increase by the amounts and percentages shown in the projection.

The Company's projections for 1979 and 1980 have been related to the Company's actual data for 1976, 1977, and 1978, and we have discussed the statistical data with management.

The projections of income for November and December. 1979, and the entire year 1980 are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and are consistent with methods used by the Company for preparadion of its income statement for 1978 and prior years.

However, since these projections portray future events that are based on the above assumptions and present circumstances, we do not express any opinion on the achievability of the projected results or the probability that these projections will approximate the actual results for the period.

Sincerely,
Meal tor
Neal Love, C.P.A.
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## Exhibit

| Exhibit A | Statements of Net Income |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exhibit B | Operation and Maintenance Expense |
| Exhibit C | Rate Structure Schedule |
| Exhibit 0 | Diagram of Rate Structure |
| Exhibit E | Statistical Analysis |
| Exhibit F | Ratio of Drivers' Compensation to Passenger Revenue |
| Exhibit G | Number of Licensed Taxis |
| Exhibit Hi | Major Expenses Per Taxi Trip |
| Exhibit I | 1980 Salary and Wage Increase |
| Exprat J. | B nuwnes Simats fon 1976, 1477, 1978, 1479 |


|  | 1976 |  | 1977 |  |  |  | 1978 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent of 1976 |  | Percent of 1977 | Increase | ver 1976 |  | Percent of 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase Over } \\ & 1977 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Anount | Revenue | Amount | Revenue | Amount | Percent | Amount | Revenue | Amount Percent |
| Revenue from passengers | \$1,608,262 | 100.0\% | \$1,712,501 | 100.0\% | \$104,239 | 6.5\% | \$1,823,110 | 100.0\% | \$110,609 6.5\% |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation and maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - (Exhibit B) | 1,459,417 | 90.7 | 1,529,285 | 89.3 | 69,868 | 4.8 | 1,612,756 | 88.5 | 83,471 5.5 |
| Depreciation | 16,536 | 1.0 | 16,997 | 1.0 | 461 | 2.8 | 18,508 | 1.0 | 1,511 8.9 |
| Operating taxes . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating rents | 34,716 | 2.1 | 39,222 | 2.3 | 4,506 | 1.3 | 41,738 | 2.3 | 2,516 6.4 |
|  | 1,610,968 | 100.1 | 1,680,982 | 98.2 | 70,014 | 4.3 | 1,774,306 | 97.3 | 93,324 5.6 |
| Less other income (expenses): Refunds, sign rents and insurance recoveries | 29,077 | 1.8 | 48,661 | 2.8 | 19, 19884 | 67.4 | 32,614 | 1.8 | (16,047)(33.0) |
| Interest | (2,815) | (.2) | $(2,598)$ | (.1) | $\begin{array}{r}19,584 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | (.8) | $\begin{array}{r}32,014 \\ -\quad 5,085) \\ \hline\end{array}$ | (.3) | $(2,487)$ <br> 15.7 |
|  | 26,262 | 1.6 | 46,063 | 2.7 | 19,801. | 75.4 | 27,529 | 1.5 | $(18,534)(40.2)$ |
| Total of expenses less other income | 1,584,706 | 98.5 | 1,634,919 | 95.5 | 50,213 | 3.2 | 1,746,777 | 95.8 | $\underline{111,858} \quad 6.8$ |
| Net income before |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incorre taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FederalFranchise |  |  | 21,031 | 1.2 | 21,031 |  | 10,501 | . 6 | ( 10,530 ) (50.1) |
|  |  |  | 7,289 | . 4 | 7,289 |  | 5,421 | . 3 | $(1,868)(\underline{25.6})$ |
|  |  |  | 28,320 | 1.6 | 28,320 |  | 15,922 | . 9 | $(12,398)(43.8)$ |
| Net incone after taxes | 23,556 | 1.5 | 49,262 | 2.9 | 25,706 | 109.1 | 60,411 | 3.3 | 11,149 22.6 |

Note: The above figures relate to taxi operations, alone, and do not include bus operations.

STATEMENTS OF INCOME
Actual and Projected

|  |  |  | 1979 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 - Proje |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ 1 / 1- \\ 10 / 31 / 79 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected } \\ 11 / 1- \\ 12 / 31 / 79 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> of 1979 <br> Revenue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase } \\ & \text { Anount } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{er} 1978}{\text { Percent }}$ | Amount | Percent <br> of 1980 Revenue | $\frac{\text { Increase }}{\text { Amount }}$ | $\frac{\text { over } 1979}{\text { Percant }}$ |
| Revenue | \$1,539,007 | \$307,801 | \$1,846,808 | 100.0\% | \$23,698 | 1.3\% | \$1,846,808 | 100.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operations and maintenance (Exhibit B) | 1,414,479 | 282,897 | 1,697,376 | 91.9 | 84,620 | 5.2 | 1,823,080 | 98.7 | 125,704 | 7.4 |
| Depreciation | 15,571 | 3,114 | 18,685 | 1.0 | . 177 | 1.0 | 18,685 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating taxes and licenses | 88,972 | 17,794 | 106,766 | 5.8 | 5,462 | 5.4 | 120,646 | 6.5 | 13,880 | 13.0 |
| Operating rents . | 34,283 | 6,857 | 41,140 | 2.2 | (598) | (1.4) | 46,488 | 2.5 | 5,348 | 13.0 |
|  | 1,553,305 | 310,662 | 1,863,967 | 100.9 | 89,661 | 5.1 | 2,008,899 | 108.7 | 144,932 | 7.7 |
| Less other income, net of other expense: | 26,767 | 3,368 | 30,135 | 1.6 | 2,606 | 9.5 | 30,135 | 1.6 | $\underline{0}$ | 0 |
| Expenses less other income, net | 1,526,538 | 307,294 | 1,833,832 | 99.3 | 87,055 | 5.0 | 1,978,764 | 107.1 | 144,842 | 7.9 |
| Net income before taxes | 12,469 | 507 | 12,976 | . 7 | $(63,357)$ | (83.0) | $(131,956)$ | (7.1) | $(144,932)$ | (1116.9) |
| Income taxes: Federal Franchise | 176 |  | 176 |  | $\begin{array}{r} (10,501) \\ (5,245) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (100.0) \\ (96.8) \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 176 |  | 176 | . 0 | (15,746) | (98.9) |  |  |  |  |
| Net income after taxes | 12,293 | 507 | 12,800 | . 7 | (47,611) | (78.8) |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1976 |  | -1977 |  |  |  | 1978 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Percent <br> of 1976 <br> Expense | Amount | Percent of 1977 Expense | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Increase over } 1976 \\ & \text { Amount Percent } \end{aligned}$ |  | Amount | Percent of 1978 Expense | Increase over 1977 Amount Percent |  |
| Equipment maintenance: |  |  |  |  |  |  | Amount |  |  |  |
| Labor \$ | 116,248 | 8.0\% | \$ 73,629 | 4.8\% | \$(42,619) | (36.7)\% | \$ 74,843 | 4.6\% | \$ 1,214 | 1.6\% |
| Auto repairs, maintenance and supplies | 50,788 | 3.5 | 42,328 | 2.8 | $(8,460)$ | (16.7) | 41,061 | 2.5 | $(1,267)$ | (3.0) |
| Tires and tubes | 10,442 | . 7 | 10,226 | . 7 | ( 216) | (2.1) | 9,512 | . 6 | ( 714 ) | (7.0) |
| Transportation expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drivers' wages | 749,003 | 51.3 | 795,931 | 52.0 | 46,928 | 6.3 | 826,572 | 51.4 | 30,641 | 3.8 |
| Fuel and oil | 144,306 | 9.9 | 138,010 | 9.0 | $(6,296)$ | (4.4) | 153,205. | 9.5 | 15,195 | 11.0 |
| Station expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispatchers' salaries | 54,000 | 3.7 | 56,016 | 3.7 | 2,016 | 3.7 | 62,520 | 3.9 | 6,504 | 11.6 |
| Insurance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property damage and public |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liability | 92,365 | 6.3 | 111,971 | 7.3 | 19,606 | 21.2 | 100,583 | 6.2 | $(11,388)$ | (10.2) |
| Workman's compensation | 60,669 | 4.2 | 73,560 | 4.8 | 12,891 | 21.2 | 83,013 | 5.1 | 9,453 | 12.9 |
| Officer and other insurance | e 8,711 | . 6 | 23,923 | 1.6 | 15,212 | 174.6 | 63,898 | 4.0 | 39,975 | 167.1 |
| Administrative and General Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officer salaries | 2,332 | . 2 | 26,700 | 1.7 | 24,368 | 1045.0 | 26,400 | 1.6 | (300) | (1.1) |
| Office employees' salaries | 48,189 | 3.3 | 57,065 | 3.7 | 8,876 | 18.4 | 65,163 | 4.1 | 8,098 | 14.2 |
| General office supplies | 5,174 | . 3 | 5,617 | . 4 | 443 | 8.6 | 7,947 | . 5 | 2,330 | 41.5 |
| Advertising | 3,426 | . 2 | 1,101 | . 1 | $(2,325)$ | (67.9) | 840 |  | (261) | (23.7) |
| Taxi and garage welfare and pension | 72,400 | 5.0 | 70,266 | 4.6 | $(2,134)$ | (2.9) | 61,076 | 3.8 | $(9,190)$ | (13.1) |
| Legal and accounting | 11,255 | . 8 | 13,430 | . 9 | 2,175 | 19.3 | 4,727 | : 3 | $(8,703)$ | (64.8) |
| Other general expense | 30,109 | 2.0 | 29,512 | 1.9 | ( 597) | 2.0 | 31,396 | 1.9 | 1,884 | 6.4 |
|  | $\underline{1,459,417}$ | 100.0 | 1,529,285 | 100.0 | 69.868 | 4.75 | 1,612,756 | 100.0 | 83,471 | 5.5 |

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE
Actual and Projected

|  |  |  | 1979 |  |  |  |  |  | $80-\operatorname{Proj}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Projected |  | Percent |  |  |  | Percent |  |  |
|  | 11/1- | 11/1- | 1979 | of 1979 | Increas | r 1978 |  | of 1980 | Increase | ver 1979 |
|  | 10/31/79 | 12/31/79 | Total | Expense | Amount | Percent | Amount | Expense | Anount | Percert |
| Equipment maintenance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Exp-se | - | Percen |
| Labor | \$ 78,041 | \$. 15,608 | \$ 93,649 | 5.5\% | \$18,806 | 25.1\% | \$ 97,519 | 5.3\% | \$ 3,870 | 4.1\% |
| Auto repairs, maintenance, and supplies | 52,861 | 10,572 | 63,433 | 3.7 | 22,372 | 54.5 | 71,679 | 3.9 | 8,246 | 13.0 |
| Tires and tubes | 8,855 | 1,771 | 10,626 | . 6 | 1,114 | 11.7 | 12,007 | . 7 | 1,381 | 13.0 |
| Transportation expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drivers' wages | 699,994 | 139,999 | 839,993 | 49.5 | 13,421 | 1.6 | 874,553 | 48.0 | 34,560 | 4.1 |
| Fuel and oil | 161,113 | 32,223 | 193,336 | 11.4 | 40,131 | 26.2 | 218,470 | 12.0 | 25,134 | 13.0 |
| Station expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispatchers' salaries: | 54,853 | 10,971 | 65,824 | 3.9 | 3,304 | 5.3 | 68,524 | 3.8 | 2,700 | 4.1 |
| Insurance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property damage and public |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liability | 59,236 | 11,847 | 71,083 | 4.2 | $(29,500)$ | (29.3) | 101,083 | 5.5 | 30,000 | 42.2 |
| Workman's compensation | 76,059 | 15,212 | 91,271 | 5.4 | 8,258 | 9.9 | 91,271 | 5.0 | 0 | 0 |
| Officer and other insurance | 42,641 | 8,528 | 51,169 | 3.0 | $(12,729)$ | (19.9) | 51,169 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 |
| Administrative and general expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| Officer salaries | 20,253 | 4,051 | 24,304 | 1.4 | ( 2,096) | ( 7.9) | 25,294 | 1.4 | 990 | 4.1 |
| Office employees' salaries | 58,375 | 11,675 | 70,050 | 4.1 | 4,887 | 7.5 | 72,930 | 4.0 | 2,880 | 4.1 |
| General office supplies | 7,527 | 1,505 | 9,032 | . 5 | 1,085 | 13.7 | 10,206 | . 5 | 1,174 | 13.0 |
| Advertising | 2,888 | 578 | 3,466 | . 2 | 2,626 | 312.6 | 3,917 | . 2 | 451 | 13.0 |
| Taxi and garage welfare pension | 58,633 | 11,727 | 70,360 | 4.2 | 9,284 | 15.2 | 79,507 | 4.4 | 9,147 | 13.0 |
| Legal and accounting | 9,348 | 1,870 | 11,2?8 | . 7 | 6,491 | 137.3 | 12,676 | . 7 | 1,458 | 13.0 |
| Other general expense | 23,802 | 4,760 | 28,562 | 1.7 | 2,834) | (9.0) | 32,275 | 1.8 | 3,713 | 13.0 |
|  | 1,414,479 | 282,897 | 1,697,376 | 100.0 | 84,620 | 5.2 | 1,823,080 | 100.0 | 125,704 | 7.4 |

# RATE STRUCTURE SCHEDULE <br> COMPONENTS OF PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATE STRUCTURE AND <br> calculation of fare and mileage of average trip 

## 1 Mile 2 Miles 3 miles 4 Miles 5 Miles

Present Rate Structure
(Approved 1976)

| 1.20 for $2 / 9$ mile; $20 ¢$ for each additional $2 / 9 \mathrm{mile}$ | \$2.10 | \$3.00 | \$3.90 | \$4.80 | \$5.70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Rate Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.20 for $1 / 6$ mile; $20 \nmid$ for each additional $1 / 6$ mile | \$2.40 | \$3.60 | \$4.80 | \$6.00 | \$7.20 |
| Increase | \$. 30 | \$. 60 | \$ . 90 | \$1.20 | \$1.50 |
| Percent | 14.29\% | 20.00\% | 23.08\% | 25.00\% | 26.32\% |
| Revenue per average trip, per Statistical Analysis (see Exhibit D). experienced in 1979 under present rate structure |  |  |  | \$5.03 |  |

Mileage of average trip in 1979 as indicated from average trip revenue of $\$ 5.03$ based on present rate structure
Rate for 4 miles
Rate for $4 \frac{1}{2}$ miles
Average for $4 \frac{3}{4}$ miles

Mileage for average 1979 trip is 43 miles and the average fare is $\$ 5.03$, as indicaimmediately above. Under the proposed rate structure the fare for a trip of $4^{\frac{1}{4}}$ miles would $\$ 6.30$ which is $\$ 1.27$ or $25.25 \%$ greater than the existing fare for $44_{4}$ miles.

## PROPOSED RATE

. 20 EACH ADOITIONAL K MILE
41.20 FLAS DROD



$\$ 120$ FLAG DREOP


## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

|  | Reference | $1976$ <br> Actual | 1977 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 1978 \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan-0ct } \\ & \text { 1979 } \\ & \text { Actual } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov-Dec } \\ 1979 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1979 \\ & \text { Iotal } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & \text { Projected } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1, Taxts | Company's depreciation schedule | 122 | 118 | 108 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2. Taxi shifts-full utiliza tion | See Note below | 44,530 | 43,070 | 39,420 | , 400 | 100 | 100 |  |
| 3, Actual shifts . | Company records | 26,848 | 26,949 | 25,250 | 19,984 | 4,400 | 24,384 | 36,500 24,384 |
| 4. Taxi utilization factor (TUF) | ( 3 divided by 2) | 60\% | 63\% | 64\% | 66\% | 72\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| 5. Tạxi revenue | Company records | 1,608,262 | 1,712,501 | 1,823,110 | 1,539,007 | 307,801 1 | 1,846,808 | 1,846,808 |
| 6. Increase in taxi revenue over prior years | ( 5 minus prior year 5) | $(23,984)$ | 104,239 | 110,609 |  |  | 23,698 | 0 |
| 7. Percent increase in taxi revenue | ( 6 divided by prior year 5) | (1.47\%) | 6.5\% | 6.5\% |  |  | 1.3\% | 0 |
| 8. Revenue per taxi shift | ( 5 divided by 3) | \$59.90 | \$63.55 | \$72.20 | \$77.01 | \$69.95 | \$75.74 | \$75.74 |
| 9. Average shift per taxi | ( 3 divided by 1) | 220 | 228. | - 234 | 200 | 44 | 244 | 244 |
| 10. Average trips per shift | (11 divided by 3) | 15.83 | 13.96 | 15.00 | 15.16 | 14.55 | 15.05 | 15.05 |
| 11. Taxi trips | Company records | 425,089 | 376,307 | 378,643 | 302,958 | 64,000 | 366,958 | 366,958 |
| 12. Average revenue per trip | ( 8 divided by 10) | \$3.78 | \$4.55 | \$4.81 | \$5.08 | \$4.81 | \$5.03 | \$5.03 |
| 13. Miles per average trip | Exhibit C | 2718 | 3 3/4 | 4 |  |  | 43/4 | 41/4 |
| 14: Income (loss) before income taxes | Exhibits A | 23,556 | 77,582 | 76,333 | 12,469 | 507 | 12,976 | $(131,956)$ |
| 15. Percent of taxi revenue | (14 divided by 5) | 1.5\% | 4.5\% | 4.2\% |  |  | .7\% | (7.15\%) |
| 16. Net income projected | Exhibits A | 23,556 | 49,262 | 60,411 | 12,293 | 507 | 12,800 |  |
| 17. Percent of taxi revenue | ( 16 divided by 5) | 1.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.3\% |  |  | . $7 \%$ |  |

Note:
Taxi shifts equal 1 shift per taxi per day (1.e., number of taxis $\times 365$ )
'assenger revenue
:ompensation to
$\frac{\text { drivers: }}{\text { Wages }}$
Welfare \& pension Workman's compensation
'Payroll taxes

| Unaudited |  |  | Projected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| \$1,608,262 | \$1,712,501 | \$1,823,110 | \$1,846,808 | \$1,846,808 |
| 749,003 | 795,931 | 826,572 | 839,993 | 874,553 |
| 55,915 | 55,412 | 47,829 | 54,029 | 61,053 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 52,515 \\ 62,998 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67,329 \\ 66,105 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76,123 \\ 69,804 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82,117 \\ & 84,631 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82,117 \\ & 95,634 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| \$ 920,431 | \$ 984,777 | \$1,020,328 | \$1,060,770 | \$1,113,357 |


| revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drivers' wages | 46.57 | 46.48 | 45.34 | 45.48 | 47.35 |
| Welfare a pension | 3.48 | 3.24 | 2.62 | 2.93 | 3.31 |
| Workman's compensation | 3.27 | 3.93 | 4.18 | 4.45 | 4.45 |
| Payroll taxes. | 3.92 | 3.86 | 3.83 | 4.58 | 5.18 |
|  | 57.24 | 57.51 | 55.97 | 57.44 | $\underline{60.29}$ |

Exhibit G

## NUMBER OF LICENSED TAXIS*


*City and County licenses

MAJOR EXPENSES PER TAXI TRIP

## Taxt trips

Expense items with large increases:
Fuel and oil
Insurance
Repairs, maintenance \& supplies
Payroll taxes

Payroll taxes
Salary and wages
Fuel per taxi trip
Insurance per taxi trip
Repairs, maintenance \& supplies
per taxy trip
Payroll taxes per taxi trip
Salary and wages per taxi trip

## Total

| 1977 | 1978 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan-0ct } \\ \quad 1979 \\ \text { Actual } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov-Dec } \\ 1979 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tota } \\ \quad 1979 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1980 \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 376,307 | 378,643 | 302,958 | 64,000 | 366,958 | 366,958 |


| \$144,306 | \$.138,010 \$ | 153,205 | \$161,113 | \$ 32,223 | \$ 193,336 | ( 218,470 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161,745 | 209,454 | 247,494 | 177,936 | 35,587 | 213,523 | 243,523 |
| 50,788 | .. 42,328 | 41,061 | 52,861 | 10,572 | 63,433 | 71,679 |
| 81,572 | 82,885 | 89,138 | 80,823 | 16,164 | 96,987 | 109,595 |
| 969,772 | 1,009,341. | 1,055,498 | 911,516 | 182,304 | 1,093,820 | 1,138,820 |
| 33.94 | 36.74 | 40.5¢ | 53.24 | 50.44 | 52.7\$ | 59.5\$ |
| 38.0 | 55,7 | 65.4 | 58.7 | 55.6 | 58.2 | 66.4 |
| 11.9 | 11.2 | 10,8 | 17.4 | 16.5 | 17.3 | 19.5 |
| 19.2 | 22.0 | 23.5 | 26.7 | 25.3 | 26.4 | 29.9 |
| \$2.28 | \$2.68 | \$2.79 | \$3.01 | \$2.85 | \$2.98 | \$3.10 |
| \$3.31 | \$3.94 | \$4.19 | \$4.57 | \$4.33 | \$4.53 | \$4.85 |

Salary and Wage Increases:
Office personnel, mechanics, dispatchers, phone operators, safety department. $\$ 30,000$

Drivers (minimum wage increase) $\quad 15,000$
$\$ 45,000$

ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION
2222 Sierra Boulevard. Suite 15 Sacramento, California 95825

Telephone 929-4450

February 15, 1977

Mr. Frederick Pleines
Yellow. Cab Company of Sacramento
Sacramento, California

The accompanying balance sheet of YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTOat December 31, 1976 and the related statements of income and retained earnings and changes in financial position for the year then ended were not audited by us and accordingly we do not express an opinion on them.

LOVE \& SIMONS ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION'

By Oneal fore

## ASSETS

## CURRENT ASSETS:

Accounts. receivable
Supplies and parts inventory, at cost
\$ 58,557
Prepaid expenses
6,695
3,792
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS:
69,044

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:
Automobiles
$\$ 248,253$
Radios.
44,007
Taxi meters
11,521
Garage equipment
Leasehold improvements
Furniture and fixtures
Less accumulated depreciation
20,662
24,219 8,831
357,493
274,281 83,212

OTHER ASSETS:
Deposits
3,820
Goodwill
18,000
Organization expense
2,616
Permits
5,200
$\$ 181,892$

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

## CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Bank overdraft . . \$ 49,521
Accounts payable
Accrued payroll taxes.
23,093
Rent payable
Accrued insurance
1,005
Franchise tax payable . . 1,059
Employee benefits payable 6,714
Current maturities of long-term debt $\quad 5,456$
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 106,691

LONG-TERM DEBT:
8\% note payable - no due date
\$. 16,500
Contract payable - secured by equipment,
payable in equal monthly installments
of $\$ 458$, interest. included
Less current maturities

LOAN PAYABLE - OFFICER
17,052

STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY::

| Capital stock, | no par. | 80,652 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Paid-in capital | 60,154 |  |
| Retained earnings. (deficit) | $(101,221)$ | 39,585 |

\$ 181,892

ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION
1787 Tribute Road, Suite 8
Sacramento, California 95815
Telephone 920-3707

March 8, 1978

Mr. Frederick Pleines Yellow Cab Company of Sacramento Sacramento, California:

The accompanying balance sheet of YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO at December 31, 1977 and the related statements of income and retanned earnings and changes in financial position for the year then ended were not audited: by us and accordingly we do not express an opinion on them.

NEAL LOVE ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION


## ASSETS



See notes to financial statements.

# YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO 

BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 1977 (LNAUDITED)

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

CURRENT LIABILITIES:
Accounts payable ..... $\$ 32,719$
Accrued wages ..... 20,000
Accrued payroll taxes ..... 20,521
Rent payable ..... 1,317
Accrued insurance ..... 8,276
Income and Franchise tax payable ..... 32,182
Employee benefits payable ..... 6,695
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES ..... 121,710
LOAN PAYABLE - OFFICER ..... 17,048
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY:
Capital stock, no par
Paid-in capital
Retained earnings (deficit) ..... $\$ 80,652$
60,154
$(44,713) \quad 96,093$
$\$ 234,851$
Sce notes to financial statements.

## NEAL LOVE

## ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

1787 Tribute Road, Suite B
Sacramento, California 95815
Telephone 920-3707
March 12, 1979

Mr. Frederick Pleines
Yellow Cab Company of Sacramento
Sacramento, California 95814.

The accompanying balance sheet of YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO at December 31, 1978 and the related statements of income and retaine earnings and changes in financial position for the year then ended were not audited by us and accordingly we do not express an opinion on them.

NEAL LOVE ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION


YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 1978
(UNAUDITED)

## ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS:
Cash.in bank ..... \$ 10,627
Accounts receivable ..... 77,127
Supplies and parts inventory, at cost ..... 7,532
Prepaid expenses ..... 13,724
Income and Franchise Tax refunds receivable ..... 22,438
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS ..... 131,448
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:
Automobiles ..... \$123,709
Radios ..... 34,434
Taxi meters ..... 10,007
Garage equipment ..... 20,747
Leasehold improvements ..... 28,646
Furniture and fixtures11,234
-228,777
Less: accumulated depreciation152,693 - 76,084
OTHER ASSETS:
Deposit - Self Insurance ..... 36,474
Deposits ..... 9,310
Goodwill ..... 18,000
Organization expense ..... 2,616
Permits ..... 5,200TOTAL ASSETS.\$279,132

# YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO 

BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 1978
(UNAUDITED)

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

```
CURRENT LIABILITIES:
    Accounts payable
    $ 53,162
    Wages payable
    15,550
    Payroll taxes payable: 7,166
    Rent payable . . 1,412
    Transportation tax. . 96
    Employee benefits payabTe
        13,901
        TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 91,287
LOAN PAYABLE - OFFICER. 51,591
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY:
    Capital stock, no par . . . $80,652
    Paid-in capital
    Retained earnings (deficit)
    60,154
        (4,552) 136,254
        TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY
        $279,132-
```

Mr. Frederick Pleines, Sr.
Yellow Cab Company of Sacramento
900 Richards Blvd.
Sacramento, CA 95814

The accompanying balance sheet of YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO as of December 31, 1979, and the related income statement for the period then ended have been compiled by us.

A compilation is. limited to presenting in the form of a financial statements information that is the representation of management. We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statements and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on them.

NEAL LOVE ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION
By $\frac{\text { Oed } \operatorname{mox}_{0}}{\text { Neal Love, C.P.A. }}$

## YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO

BALANCE SHEET
DECEMBER 31, 1979

## ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS:
Cash in bank
Accounts receivable$\$(13,635)$
82,719
Gasoline inventory ..... 6,704
Parts inventory ..... 2,794
Prepaid taxes and license2,506
Income and franchise tax refunds recievable ..... 15,136
Prepaid insurance ..... 15,445
Total current assets ..... 111,669
PROPERTY AND-EQUIPMENT - AT COST;
Taxi cabs ..... \$109,618
Airport buses ..... 6,627
Radios ..... 34,434
Meters ..... 10,007
Furniture \& fixtures ..... 11,584Garage equipment:23,739
Leasehold improvenents:36,272.232,281
Less accumulated depreciation ..... 161,539
70,742
OTHER ASSETS:
Organization expense ..... 2,616
Deposits ..... 9,310
Deposit-self insurance ..... 36,474
Franchise perait ..... 5,200Goodwill18,000
Account receivable - officer ..... 2,500
Account receivable - officer ..... 3,30177,401Total assets.

## YELLOW CAB COMPANY OF SACRAMENTO <br> BALANCE SHEET <br> OECEMBER 31, 1979

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

CURRENT LIABILITIES:
Accounts payable ..... \$71,963
Airport rent payable ..... 355
Wages payable ..... 9,741
Payroll taxes payable ..... 11,903
Interest payable ..... 292
Compensation insurance payable ..... 7,853
Pension funds payable ..... 4,547$\overline{106,654}$
LOAN PAYABLE - officer ..... 35,456
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY:
Common stock ..... $\$ 80,652$
Additional paid in capital ..... 60,153
Retained earnings: ..... (23,103) 117,702
Total liabilities and Stockholder's equity ..... \$259,812

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## PROPOSED TAXICAB RATE COMPARISON

CURRENT VS PROPOSED


NOTE: This Exhibit not in agreement with Exhibits $C$ and: 0 of Industry's Petition (Exhibit). due to mis-calculation of Flag. Drop and handing fraction rates.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO taxi cab Rate survè AS OF MARCH 3 , 1980

Service Area


Current Baslc Rates

Charge for Waiting
Time cost Per Trip By oistance

II


LEGEND: NR - Ho response
NR - No response
N/A-Not applicable
NOTES: 1. Above ranking based on average trips of 4 ml les.
2. Source of Information: A) Survey of cities and b) Calffornfa Taxicab Owners Assoctation.
3. Request for rate increase of $62 \%$ recently filed (Flag Drop $\$ 1.30-1 / 4$ plus . 20 each $1 / 7$ and when cost of gasoline fncreases from $\$ 1.11 /$ galion to $\$ 1.28 /$ gallon flag drop to increase from $\$ 1.30$ to $\$ 1.50$ ).


Yellow Cab Company of Sacramento

| a) Oak Park Cab | 1 | 1 | 1 | . ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b) Yellow Cab | 20 | 93 | 93 | 93 |  |
| c) Union Cab | 53 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| d) Capitol Transportation | 16 | - | - | - |  |
| e) Delue Cab: | 5 | = | - | - |  |
| Total Cabs. | 95 | 95. | 95 | 95 | 70\% |
| Greyhound Taxi Company | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 24\% |
| Courtesy Cab Company | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6\% |
| Total Number of Taxicabs Licensed | 136 | 136 | 136 | 136 | 100\% |

## ADDITIONAL DATA

Business License Fee Structure: Ist Cab, $\$ 75$ per year; and $\$ 46$ per year for each additional cab

Basis for Number of Cab Permits 1ssued:

1 permit for each 2,000 population.

$$
\frac{\text { Population } 1979}{1 \text { permit } / 2000 \text { pop. }}=\frac{264,511}{2,000}=132 \text { permits }
$$

Waiting list for taxi permits: None

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 42.55 OF DIVISION 6 OF ARTICLE II OF CHAPTER 42 OF THE SACRAMENTO CITY CODE RELATING TO TAXICAB RATES AND CHARGES AND DECLARING THIS TO BE AN EMERGENCY MEADURE TO TAKE EFFECT IMMEDIATELY

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO;

## SECTION 1.

Section 42.55 of Division 6 of Article II of Chapter 42 of the Sacramento City Code is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 42.55. Taxicab Rates.
The schedule of charges for taxicab service within the City shall be as follows, and no other rate or fare may be charged by any owner or driver for taxicab service than the rates established by this section, save and except the charges for baggage shall be as provided in Section 42.46.
(a) For the first one-sixth (1/6) mile, or fraction thereof, \$1,20.
(b) For each additional one-sixth ( $1 / 6$ ) mile, or fraction thereof, twenty cents.
(c) Waiting time shall be computed and paid for at the rate of eight dollars per hour; provided, further, that clock meters shall be in continuous operation at all times after the meter flag is dropped.
(d) No additional charge shall be imposed for passengers travelling to the same destination.

SECTION 2.
This ordinance is hereby declared to be an emergency measure which shall take effect inmediately. The reason for said emergency is the need to establish current reasonable taxicab rates and ahrges to offset increased operating costs.

ATTEST:

```
AN ORDINANCE ADDING SECTION 42.55-1
TO THE SACRAMENTO CITY CODE RELATING
TO CHANGES IN TAXICAB MILEAGE RATES
BASED UPON ADJUSTMENTS TO THE
CONSUMERS PRICE INDEX
```

BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO AS FOLLOWS:
SECTION 1.
Section $42.55-1$ is hereby added to the Sacramento City Code to read as follows:

Sec. 42.55-1 Adjustments to taxicab rates.
(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter to the contrary, the Director of Finance ("Director") is hereby authorized to change the taxicab rates established in subdivision (a) and (b) of Section 42.55 whenever he determines that:
(1) an adjustment equal to the percentage change in the United States Department of Labor Consumer Price Index (CPI) for San Francisco-Oakland "All Urban Consumers" from the date of last rate change would equal or exceed ten cents (\$0.10) per mile; and,
(2) that such adjustment would be in the interest of the public welfare and safety and would allow a reasonable rate of return on investment. The Director may increase or decrease a rate to a lesser extent than indicated by the change in the CPI consistent with the provisions of this subsection.
(3) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to the contrary, not more than one taxicab rate change shall be granted in any calendar year.
(b) The Director shall, prior to making a derermination pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section, hold a public hearing on the matter. Notice of the time and place of said hearing shall be sent by mail, postage prepaid at least ten (l0) days in advance thereof to all taxicab owners in the City and shall be published at least five (5) days in advance in the official newspaper of the City of Sacramento.
(c) The decision of the Director shall be sent by mail, postage prepaid to all taxicab owners in the City under subdivision (a) of this section and shall be published once in the official newspaper of the City of Sacramento within ten (10) days after the date thereof. Said decision shall take effect thirty (30) days after the date thereof unless appealed pursuant to subdivision (d).
(d) Any person aggrieved by the decision of the Director may appeal said decision to the City Council pursuant to Article XIX of Chapter 2 of the City Code (Sections 2.320 et. seq.) within
thirty (30) days of the date thereof. The determination on appeal shall be governed by the criteria set forth in. subdivisions (a) (1) and (a) (2) of this Section.

PASSED FOR PUBLICATION:

ENACTED :
EFFECTIVE:

MAYOR

ATTEST:

## $\overline{\text { CITY CLERK }}$

