



**SACRAMENTO  
HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT  
AGENCY**



*Pub. Hearing*  
**35**

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE  
**APPROVED** **RECEIVED**  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL  
MAR 11 1986 MAR 5 1986

Sacramento City Council  
Sacramento, California

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: 1985 City Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)  
Program Grantee Performance Report - Public Hearings

SUMMARY

The attached resolution authorizes the Executive Director to submit to HUD the 1985 Grantee Performance Report for the Community Development Block Grant program. The Commission and City Council should open public hearings and receive public testimony on this item per HUD regulations. A copy of the Grantee Performance Report (GPR) is on file in the City Clerk's office for review.

BACKGROUND

Each year the Department of Housing and Urban Development requires recipients of CDBG funds to submit Grantee Performance Reports (GPR's) which basically outline the progress and performance of these programs for the preceding funding cycle.

The areas addressed in the GPR include: (1) Activity Summary; (2) Status of Funds (Parts I through III); (3) Direct Benefit Activities; (4) Actions to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing; and (5) Displacement. There is also a narrative explaining the relationship of the use of CDBG funds to the community development objectives outlined in the CDBG application.

In 1985, over 2.7 million in CDBG funds were spent. A number of significant capital improvement projects were completed in 1985 including: Susan B. Anthony Park, Washington Area Street Lighting, East Del Paso Heights 1A and 2, Woodbine 3, Woodbine 3A, Del Paso Heights 6A and South Oak Park Street Lighting.

3-11-86  
All Districts

# SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

36

Sacramento City Council  
Page Two

## FINANCIAL DATA

No financial implications.

## POLICY IMPLICATION

These are required documents for receipt of future CDBG funds. While the report primarily records program expenditures and accomplishments, the narrative part of the report does review suggestions to improve the program.

## VOTE AND RECOMMENDATION OF COMMISSION

At its regular meeting of March 3, 1986, the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Commission recommended adoption of the attached resolution. The votes were recorded as follows:

AYES: Amundson, Glud, Luttrell, Moose, Pettit, Sanchez,  
Wooley, Angelides  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: Lopez, Teramoto, Walton

## RECOMMENDATION

The staff recommends adoption of the attached resolution authorizing the Executive Director to submit the 1985 Grantee Performance Report to HUD.

Respectfully submitted,

*William H. Edgar*

WILLIAM H. EDGAR  
Executive Director

TRANSMITTAL TO COUNCIL:

*Walter J. Slipe Jr.*  
WALTER J. SLIPE  
City Manager

Contact Person: Trish Davey

0042K

**RESOLUTION No. 86-205**

**Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of**

March 11, 1986

**SUBMISSION OF 1985 CITY COMMUNITY  
DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM  
GRANTEE PERFORMANCE REPORT TO DHUD**

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:**

Section 1. The Executive Director of the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency is hereby authorized to submit the 1985 Community Development Block Grant Program Grantee Performance Report for the City of Sacramento to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

**APPROVED**  
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

MAR 11 1986

OFFICE OF THE  
CITY CLERK

35

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM  <b>GRANTEE PERFORMANCE REPORT</b> STATUS OF FUNDS-PARTS I AND II	NAME OF GRANTEE City of Sacramento
	GRANT NUMBER B-85-MC-06-0003
	PERIOD COVERED 1/1/85 TO 12/31/85

**PART I: SUMMARY OF RESOURCES AND EXPENDITURES**

	CDBG FUNDS (Thousands of \$)
1. UNEXPENDED CDBG FUNDS AT END OF PREVIOUS REPORTING PERIOD	\$ 8437.1*
2. ADDITIONAL CDBG FUNDS RECEIVED	
a. ENTITLEMENT GRANT	\$ 4,150.0
<i>(HUD-7082, line 9e)</i>	
b. SURPLUS FROM URBAN RENEWAL/NOP SETTLEMENT	\$ -0-
<i>(HUD-7082, line 10b)</i>	
c. PROCEEDS FROM LOANS GUARANTEED UNDER SECTION 108	\$ -0-
d. PROGRAM INCOME RECEIVED DURING THE PROGRAM YEAR, EXCEPT REVOLVING FUND INCOME.	\$ 775.5

SOURCE	AMOUNT
Capital Mall Venture (Note Proceeds)	\$696,739.52
Preservation (Diepenbrock Mansion)	\$ 60,000.00
Excess CHFA Fees	\$ 15,505.00
Chicago Title - So. Pacific Refund	\$ 3,266.55
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$775,506.07</b>

\*Reported unexpended balance for the 1984 GPR was \$8440.6; however, there was an error made in revolving funds program. The actual unexpended balance for the 1984 GPR was \$8437.1.

e. REVOLVING FUND PROGRAM INCOME RECEIVED DURING THE PROGRAM YEAR (enter the sum of all amounts reported on the status of Form Part III, Column (I))	\$ 61.4
f. TOTAL ADDITIONAL CDBG FUNDS RECEIVED (sum of lines 2a-e)	\$ -0-
3. TOTAL CDBG FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR USE DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD (sum of lines 1 and 2f)	\$ 13,424.0
4. CDBG FUNDS EXPENDED DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD (as shown in Activity Summary Forms, column (g))	\$ 4,893.9
5. UNEXPENDED BALANCE OF CDBG FUNDS AT END OF THIS REPORTING PERIOD (line 3 minus line 4)	\$ 8,530.1

**PART II: OVERALL BENEFIT TO LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS**

6. EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO PROGRAM BENEFIT RULES THIS REPORTING PERIOD	
a. TOTAL CDBG FUNDS EXPENDED DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD (from line 4 above)	\$ 4,893.9
b. LESS: PLANNING AND GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS (as shown in Activity Summary forms, column (g))	\$ 496.7
c. TOTAL EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO PROGRAM BENEFIT RULES (line a minus line b)	\$ 4,397.2
7. EXPENDITURES PRINCIPALLY BENEFITTING LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS DURING THIS REPORTING PERIOD (as shown in Activity Summary forms, column (g))	\$ 4,397.2
8. PERCENT BENEFIT TO LOW AND MODERATE INCOME PERSONS (line 7 as a percent of line 6(c))	90 %

86-205

35

**RESOLUTION No.**

**Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of**

March 11, 1986

**SUBMISSION OF 1985 CITY COMMUNITY  
DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM  
GRANTEE PERFORMANCE REPORT TO DHUD**

**BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:**

Section 1. The Executive Director of the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency is hereby authorized to submit the 1985 Community Development Block Grant Program Grantee Performance Report for the City of Sacramento to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

April 30, 1986

Willow Creek Associates  
c/o Lee Sammis Company  
1451 River Park Drive, Ste. 110  
Sacramento CA 95815

Dear Gentlemen:

On February 11, 1986, the Sacramento City Council took the following action(s) for property located on the north and south sides of West El Camino Avenue, west of the Natomas Main Drainage Canal:

Adopted Resolution #86-129 approving Certification of the EIR. Adopted Resolution #86-130 approving the 1974 General Plan Amendment from 56± Residential to 45± ac. of Industrial and 11± ac. of Commercial-Office and approving the 1978 South Natomas Community Plan Amendment from Residential 4 through 21 units/ac. (7 min. av.) to Heavy Commercial-Industrial (45 ac.) and Commercial-Shopping Center (11± ac.) as well as to delete a school site and 2 park sites (11 ±) and approving Designation and Adoption of a Schematic Plan for Willow Creek. Adopted Ordinance #86-024 approving rezone of 112 vacant acres from Agriculture (A) to Manufacturing, Research and Development (MRD-PUD) (45 ac.), Shopping Center (SC-PUD) (11± ac.), Townhouse (R-1A PUD) (22± ac.) and Garden Apartment (R-2B-PUD) (934± ac.) (d1) (P-83391)

Enclosed, for your records, are fully certified copies of the above referenced documents.

Sincerely,

Janice Beaman  
Deputy City Clerk

JB/lh/#35

Enclosure

cc: Planning Department