

2

DEPARTMENT OF  
POLICE

CITY OF SACRAMENTO  
CALIFORNIA

HALL OF JUSTICE  
813 SIXTH STREET  
SACRAMENTO, CA  
95814-2495

916-449-5121

April 27, 1989

JOHN P. KEARNS  
CHIEF OF POLICE

Budget and Finance Committee  
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session

SUBJECT: GRANT PROPOSAL - CRACK/ROCK IMPACT PROGRAM

SUMMARY

The Sacramento Police Department is requesting approval to apply for second year funds of the Crack/Rock Impact Program-Sacramento (C.R.I.P.S.) grant. The total budget for this grant is \$208,848 and will fund the program from July 1, 1989, until December 31, 1989. Second year grant funds will be 100% funded by OCJP with no cash match required by the City of Sacramento. The grant will continue to fund one Police Sergeant and two Police Officers from the Police Department and three Sheriff's Deputies and one Records Clerk from the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department. Grant funds expended by the County Sheriff's Department are reimbursed by OCJP to the City of Sacramento and passed through to the County of Sacramento. The Police Department will expend \$112,532 and the Sheriff's Department will expend \$96,316 for a total of \$208,848.

It is recommended that the Budget and Finance Committee approve the attached grant application and forward this request to the City Council for consideration.

BACKGROUND

On January 1, 1988, the Sacramento Police Department received grant funds in the amount of \$430,387 from the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (OCJP) to implement the law enforcement component of the Crack/Rock Impact Project (C.R.I.P.S.). This grant is a multi-agency grant encompassing the Sacramento Police Department, County Sheriff's Department, District Attorney's Office, and County Probation Department. The Police and Sheriff's Departments submitted a joint proposal with the Police Department responsible for the administration of the law enforcement component. Funds expended by the County Sheriff's Department

are reimbursed by OCJP to the City of Sacramento and passed through to the County of Sacramento. The first year funding for the grant was originally from January 1, 1988 until December 31, 1988.

The Sacramento Police Department requested and received a three month extension, from January 1, 1989, until March 31, 1989, and \$109,564 augmentation to the first year of the grant. A second three month extension from April 1, 1989, until June 30, 1989, and augmentation of \$155,228 is pending approval by the City Council. A report requesting Council approval is forthcoming.

The Sacramento Police Department is now requesting approval to apply for second year funding in the amount of \$208,848 for a six month program, again to be funded 100% by OCJP with no cash match required. Second year funding would continue the Crack/Rock Impact Program from July 1, 1989, until December 31, 1989. The grant will continue to fund seven grant positions which consist of: one Police Sergeant, two Police Officers, three Sacramento County Sheriff's Deputies and one County of Sacramento Records Clerk.

FINANCIAL DATA

The total operating budget for the first six months of the second year of the grant is \$208,848. This amount is funded 100% by OCJP with no cash match required by the City of Sacramento. The operating budget for the 6 month period from July 1, 1989, until December 31, 1989 is as follows:

	<u>SPD</u>	<u>SSD</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
EMPLOYEE SERVICES			
Salaries	\$ 57,826	\$65,392	\$123,218
Benefits	<u>29,299</u>	<u>29,949</u>	<u>59,248</u>
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 87,125	\$95,341	\$182,466
SERVICES & SUPPLIES			
Operating Expenses	<u>\$ 25,407</u>	<u>\$ 975</u>	<u>\$ 26,382</u>
TOTAL GRANT PROGRAM	<u>\$112,532</u>	<u>\$96,316</u>	<u>\$208,848</u>
	=====	=====	=====

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Approval to apply for continuing grant funds is consistent with past practices. The funds from the Crack/Rock Impact Program will allow law enforcement in the City and County of Sacramento to continue to bring pressure to bear on drug dealers in our community.

Budget and Finance Committee  
Page three  
April 27, 1989

MBE/WBE EFFORTS


None.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the following be approved:


1. The request and proposal for second year grant funds for the Crack/Rock Impact Project Grant for six months, from July 1, 1989, until December 31, 1989.
2. The attached resolution.
3. Increase in appropriations of \$112,532 in the operating budget of the Police Department for the 89/90 fiscal year.

Respectfully submitted,



JOHN P. KEARNS  
CHIEF OF POLICE

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:



JACK CRIST  
DEPUTY CITY MANAGER

CONTACT PERSON: Lt. Matt Powers, Planning & Fiscal Section, 449-5491

JPK:sc

REF: 4-56

May 9, 1989  
ALL DISTRICTS

2

**RESOLUTION No.**

**Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of**

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT  
WITH THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
TO IMPLEMENT SECOND YEAR FUNDING OF  
THE CRACK/ROCK IMPACT PROJECT - SACRAMENTO

WHEREAS the Sacramento Police Department desires to undertake a certain project designated Crack/Rock Impact Project - Sacramento to be funded in part from funds made available through the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 for the Anti-Drug Abuse Program administered by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereafter referred to as OCJP).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Manager of the City of Sacramento, or his designated representative, is authorized on its behalf to submit the attached proposal to OCJP and is authorized to execute on behalf of the City Council the attached Grant Award Agreement including any extensions or amendments thereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City of Sacramento agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including any amendment thereof) under the Program and the funding terms and conditions of OCJP and that the cash match will be appropriated as required.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, upon receiving approval by OCJP of the grant award, \$96,316 will be received and administered on behalf of the County of Sacramento and the operating budget of the Police Department will be increased by \$112,532.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by this body.

IT IS AGREED that any liability arising out of the performance of this Grant Award Agreement, including civil court actions for damages, shall be the responsibility of the City of Sacramento and the Sacramento City Council. The State of California and OCJP disclaim responsibility for any such liability.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
GRANT PROPOSAL/AWARD FACE SHEET

OCJP A301  
ATTACHMENT B-1

2

The Office of Criminal Justice Planning, hereafter designated OCJP, hereby makes a grant award of funds to (1) \_\_\_\_\_ hereafter designated Grantee, in the amount and for the purpose and duration set forth in \_\_\_\_\_'s grant award. Note: If name exceeds 30 characters indicate below the abbreviated name warrants:

(1) C.R.I.P.

(3) Project Title (60 character maximum) <b>CRACK/ROCK IMPACT PROJECT, SACRAMENTO</b>	(6) Award No.
(4) Project Director (Name, Title, Address, Telephone) (four lines maximum) <b>Jerry Ledbetter, Captain Narcotics/Gang Division 813 6th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. (916) 449-5796</b>	(7) Grant Period <b>7-1-89 to 12-31-89</b>
(5) Financial Officer (Name, Title, Address, Telephone) (four lines maximum) <b>Betty Masuoka, Finance Director 915 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 449-5736</b>	(8) Federal Amount  (9) State Amount
	(10) Cash Match <b>N/A</b>
	(11) In-Kind Match <b>N/A</b>
	(12) Total Project Cost

This grant award consists of this title page, the proposal for the grant which is attached and made a part hereof, and the Assurance of Compliance form which is attached. The grant recipient signifies acceptance of this grant award and agrees to administer the grant project in accordance with the statute(s), Program Guidelines, this Request-for-Proposals (RFP), and the OCJP Subgrantee Handbook.

**FOR OCJP USE ONLY**

Item:  
Chapter:  
PCA No.:  
Component No.:  
Project No.:  
Amount:  
Split Fund:  
Split Encumber:  
Year:  
Match Requirement:  
d:  
Program:  
Region:

(13) Official Authorized to Sign for Applicant/Grant Recipient

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name: **Walter J. Slipe**  
Title: **City Manager**  
Address: **915 I Street, Room 109  
Sacramento, CA 95814-2684**  
Telephone: **(916) 449-5704**  
Date:

I hereby certify upon my own personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for the period and purposes of this expenditure stated above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Fiscal Officer, OCJP Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Executive Director, OCJP Date

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
ASSURANCE OF COMPLIANCE

ATTACHMENT B-2

By signing the Grant Proposal/Award Face Sheet and submitting the proposal to the Office of Criminal Justice Planning, the applicant acknowledges awareness of and the responsibility to comply with the following:

Title V, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and federal department regulations on its implementation.

As a recipient of federal funds, the state of California is required to comply with Title V, section 504, of the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and those regulations of federal departments on its implementation. Section 504 and federal department regulations mandate that all qualified people with disabilities will not be excluded from, denied benefits of, or discriminated against solely on the basis of their disabilities, under any program or activity that receives federal financial assistance.

California Government Code, Article 9.5, Sections 11135-11135.9

These sections mandate comprehensive state civil rights regulations that prohibit discrimination or denial of benefits to persons in the state of California who are under programs or activities that are funded by or receive financial assistance from the state. Discrimination and denial of benefits are prohibited on the bases of ethnic group identification, religion, age, sex, or physical or mental disability.

California Administrative Code, Title 22, Sections 98000-98413.

These sections implement California Government Code sections 11135-11139.5 and establish standards for determining who is protected and guidelines for determining what practices are discriminatory.

Federal and state agencies have the legal right to seek enforcement of the above items of this assurance of compliance.

The assurance is effective as of the date of the proposal and throughout the period during which federal or state funding is extended or during the period of time in which property, purchased with grant or matching funds, is used after the grant ends.

Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, Subtitle C - State and Local Narcotics Control and Justice Assistance Improvements of 1988, Pub. L. Sec. 501(c)

This section requires federal block grant recipients and subgrantees to assure, following the first fiscal year covered by an application and for each fiscal year thereafter, a performance evaluation and assessment report concerning the activities carried out under this program will be submitted to BJA. OCJP will require each subgrantee to provide relevant information for the preparation of the evaluation and assessment report.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT SERVICE AREA INFORMATION

U.S. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT(S):

3 and 4

STATE SENATE DISTRICT(S):

1, 5, and 6

STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT(S):

5, 6, 7, and 10

COUNTY OR COUNTIES SERVED:

Sacramento County

POPULATION OF SERVICE AREA:

## OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

## PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Applicant: Jerry Ledbetter, Captain Sacramento Police DepartmentImplementing Agency: Sacramento Police Department  
(if applicable)Project Title: Crack/Rock Impact Project, SacramentoGrant Number: \_\_\_\_\_  
(to be added by OCJP)

Provide the name, title, address and telephone number for the project contact persons named below. If a section does not apply to your project, enter "N/A".

The person having day-to-day responsibility for the project:

Name: David S. Poroli  
Title: Sergeant, Narcotics/Gangs Division  
Address: 813 6th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone Number: (916) 449-5796

The Executive Director of a non profit organization or the Chief Executive Officer ( e.g., Chief of Police) of the implementing agency:

Name: John P. Kearns  
Title: Chief of Police, Sacramento Police Dept.  
Address: 813 6th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone Number: (916) 449-5123

The Chair of the Governing Body of the implementing agency:

Name: Anne Rudin  
Title: Mayor  
Address: 915 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone Number: (916) 449-5300

The person responsible for the project from the applicant agency:

Name: Jerry Ledbetter  
Title: Captain, Narcotics/Gangs Division  
Address: 813 6th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone Number: (916) 449-5796

The Chair of the Governing Body of the applicant agency:

Name: Anne Rudin  
Title: Mayor  
Address: 915 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Telephone Number: (916) 449-5300

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PRIOR AND CURRENT OCJP FUNDING

GRANT NUMBER

AMOUNT

PURPOSE

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE-ITEM DETAIL	COST
A. Personal Services - Salaries	
<p>1 Police Sergeant</p> <p>2 Police Officers</p> <p>3 Sheriff's Deputies</p> <p>1 Sheriff Records Clerk</p> <p>The Sergeant will be the first line supervisor for the five officer investigative team.</p> <p>The five officers will conduct investigations and perform the tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the project.</p> <p>The Records Clerk will be responsible for the clerical duties which includes answering the telephones, taking information and preparing information reports, and tracking statistical data of both the team and targeted offenders.</p>	<p>20,336.00</p> <p>37,490.00</p> <p>56,074.00</p> <p>9,318.00</p> <p>123,218.00</p>
TOTAL	123,218.00

ET CATEGORY AND LINE-ITEM DETAIL	COST
B. Personal Services - Employee Benefits	
1 Police Sergeant	11,033.00
2 Police Officers	18,266.00
3 Sheriff's Deputies	26,494.00
1 Sheriff's Records Clerk	3,455.00
AL	59,248.00



E. CATEGORY AND LINE-ITEM DETAIL	COST
E. Operating Expenses	
<p>Uniform allowance (\$650 per year per officer) 1,950.00</p> <p>Audit cost (1% of project) 2,088.00</p> <p>Confidential Fund (buy money) 10,896.00</p> <p>Lease/rental of vehicles 11,448.00</p> <p>Adequate "buy money" is necessary to make purchase of crack cocaine from the targeted gang-related drug dealers and also to pay informants for information and services.</p> <p>Currently, the division has a limited number of vehicles for existing personnel. The proposed team will be a field investigative team, necessitating undercover vehicles that can be changed frequently.</p>	
<p>✓</p>	26,382.00



OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

ANTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM  
PROJECT SUMMARY

<b>PROJECT TITLE:</b> CRACK/ROCK IMPACT PROJECT	<b>PROJECT NUMBER:</b>
<b>APPLICANT:</b> Name Jerry Ledbetter, Captain Sacramento P.D.  Address 813 6th Street Sacramento, CA 95814  Telephone Number (916) 449-5796	<b>GRANT PERIOD:</b>  <b>FUNDS REQUESTED:</b> Federal Funds \$ _____ Cash Match \$ _____ Total \$ _____

**TARGETED OFFENDERS:** Indicate the category(ies) that will be targeted by this project.

or drug offenders  
 d-level drug offenders  
 Street level offenders  
 Gang-related drug offenders

**PROGRAM MODEL/COMPONENT(S)/OPTIONAL ELEMENT(S):** Indicate which program model will be implemented and the components and optional elements that are included.

Program Model	Program Component(s)	Optional Element(s)
<input type="checkbox"/> Single component model	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Law enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/> Custodial treatment
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multicomponent model	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Probation	<input type="checkbox"/> Pretrial services
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prosecution	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Crime laboratories
		<input type="checkbox"/> Court

**PROBLEM:** In the space provided, briefly summarize the problem that is addressed by the project. The City and County of Sacramento have been severely affected by the infiltration of gang related drug offenders, primarily from the Los Angeles and Oakland areas; aggressively importing and distributing rock cocaine. In their efforts to establish territorial turf and increase their profits, the outside gang members, compounded with local gang members, continue to victimize many local neighborhoods.

With the assistance of the C.R.I.P.S. grant local law enforcement has been able to apply great pressure on gang members and associates dealing rock cocaine. The results have been the arrest and prosecution of many key suspects and a significant reduction in drug and gang related homicides in Sacramento. Experience has taught us however, that dealers who are arrested are replaced by new dealers and if pressure is applied in one neighborhood the narcotics activity increases in another. Local law enforcement already overextended desperately needs the continued operation of the C.R.I.P.S. program.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT SUMMARY

**PROGRAM STRATEGIES:** By component, list the strategy(ies) included in this proposal.

Law Enforcement: Special enforcement operations (narcotics details, task forces, special assignments).

Prosecution: Vertical prosecution

Probation: Pre-sentence investigation intensive supervision

Crime Lab: Crime lab analysis

**PARTICIPATING AGENCIES:** By component, list each agency included in this proposal.

Law Enforcement: City of Sacramento Police Department  
County of Sacramento Sheriff's Department

Prosecution: Sacramento County District Attorney

Probation: Sacramento County Probation Department  
Sacramento County Forensic Science Laboratory

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
PROBLEM STATEMENT

---

INSTRUCTIONS: Provide the requested information to validate the need for the proposed project. Use additional pages as needed. Not to exceed ten, double spaced typed pages for the Problem Statement (Attachments B-9A through B-9E).

---

1. NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE PROBLEM

Describe the nature and scope of the drug problem which is the focus of the proposed project. Include drug and crime data to substantiate the problem.

The drug problem in Sacramento County continues to be directly linked to gang activity. Sacramento County has been severely affected by gang related manufacture, distribution and sales of crack cocaine.

Local, Los Angeles, and Bay area street gang members and associates aggressively import, manufacture, and distribute crack cocaine in Sacramento.

Local street gang members, who once were only understudies of L.A. gang members, have graduated and have taken over a major role in the manufacture and distribution of rock cocaine in the Sacramento area. The combined effect of having both outside and local gangs vying for the sale of crack cocaine in one community has deteriorated our neighborhoods, restricted free access to our streets and homes, and has continued to victimize our citizens. The gang/drug related killings, assaults, and constant preying upon each other continues to over-extend the resources of local law enforcement.

In 1987, the year just prior to the C.R.I.P.S. grant being implemented, there were 89 homicides in the City of Sacramento, 46.2% which were drug or gang related. In 1988, the first year the C.R.I.P.S. grant was in effect, there were 72 homicides in the City of Sacramento. 27.9% were drug or gang related. While these statistics are encouraging, they also show that the battle is not yet over.

The Sacramento County Sheriff's Department, who showed an increase in homicides from 33 in 1987 to 43 in 1988, did not have a breakdown in gang/drug homicides.

It is obvious that while we have made significant progress, the gang/drug influence is still at an unacceptable level in the City and County of Sacramento. The C.R.I.P.S. Program is very much necessary to continue in it's present efforts.

The latest indicators are that while having applied pressure to traditional gang strong holds, the gangs have moved their operations and activities to less traditional areas of the City and County of Sacramento.

Attachment B-9A Cont.

As the gang/drug problem spreads to new areas, it must be dealt with in a timely manner before the gangs become entrenched in the area.

Two recent articles in the Sacramento Bee offer evidence of the spreading gang/drug problem in Sacramento County. Sacramento Bee 3-30-89 , " Deputy Outlines Extent of Drug Wars in Rancho Cordova."

In both of the above mentioned articles, the problems of CRIPS/BLOODS fighting drug turf wars in the Rancho Cordova area of Sacramento are described. Both articles point to narcotics being dealt on street corners and several drive-by shootings in the area. Many of the people identified in this new trouble area by the C.R.I.P>S. Team were people who we had previously associated with more traditional gang areas of the City and County.

In conclusion:

When the original C.R.I.P.S. grant was written almost two (2) years ago, we were dealing with tremendous influx of Los Angeles area street gang/drug dealers because, at that time, a dealer could buy an ounce of cocaine in Los Angeles for approximately \$500.00 - \$600.00 and sell it in Sacramento for approximately \$2,800.00. At present, the Los Angeles based dealer no longer enjoys larger profits in the Sacramento market, as cocaine in Sacramento and Los Angeles become increasingly comparable. While this has taken some of the edge away from the Los Angeles gangs, it has crated a much more competitive market in the lucrative Sacramento area.

Local and outside gang related drug dealers continue to stretch the resources of local law enforcement, victimizing our citizens, each other and deteriorating our neighborhoods.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROBLEM STATEMENT

2. TARGETED OFFENDERS

Describe the category of drug offender(s) targeted in the proposed project. Describe the relationship between the targeted offender(s) and the drug problem.

Targeted offenders in the proposed project are gang-related drug offenders. Local, Los Angeles and Bay Area gangs, (primarily BLOODS and CRIPS), who are manufacturing, transporting and/or distributing crack cocaine in the Sacramento area.

Violence between local and outside gangs is extreme in their efforts to control the local crack cocaine market. Outside gangs are attracted to Sacramento because it has a very lucrative market for the sales of crack cocaine.


3. TARGET AREA

Describe the geographic area targeted in the proposed project. Include appropriate geographic, demographic and socioeconomic information. Attach a map, if available. Include the target area population. Use 1987 Department of Finance estimates if available. Indicate the source if other data is used.

The target area will be the entire County of Sacramento. Out of town gang/drug dealers are using the airport, bus terminal, hotels and motels to distribute crack cocaine. The problem is not concentrated in a specific area within the County, but remains more prevalent in economically depressed Black neighborhoods. Law enforcement pressure put on the problem in traditional problem spots has, to some degree, moved the problem to new areas of the County. The target area population as of 1987 was 928,700 and is the seventh most populous County in California.



**SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS**



33rd ST. TO  
H ST. TO  
ALHAMBRA BLVD. TO  
S.P.R.R. TO  
I-60 TO  
AMERICAN RIVER TO  
S.P.R.R.

NORTH AVE. TO  
FAIR OAKS BLVD. TO  
STANLEY AVE. TO  
MARSHALL AVE. TO  
LANDIS AVE. TO  
AMERICAN RIVER



See detailed map on reverse side

**SUPERVISORS**

- 1st District      Grantland Johnson
- 2nd District      Illa Collin
- 3rd District      Sandra Smoley
- 4th District      Jim Streng
- 5th District      C. Tobias Johnson

700 H Street Suite 2450  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Effective: JANUARY 1986

## OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

## PROBLEM STATEMENT

## 4. CURRENT DRUG CONTROL EFFORTS

Describe current drug control efforts directed at the problem which is the focus of the proposed project. Be specific in regard to the targeted offender(s) and target area of the proposed project.

The County of Sacramento Sheriff's Department and the City of Sacramento Police Department each have their own narcotics gang division.

The Sheriff's Gang/Drug Division is made up of two (2) investigation teams; one (1) street drug team; two (2) special enforcement teams and one (1) asset seizure person. The investigation teams are responsible for investigating all types of narcotics in the County jurisdiction of Sacramento. The street drug team is responsible for street sales, enforcement of all types of narcotics in the County jurisdiction of Sacramento. The two (2) special enforcement teams support both the investigation teams and the street drug team. The special enforcement teams also have other areas of responsibility unrelated to gangs or narcotics.

The City of Sacramento Police Department Narcotics/Gangs Division is made up of two (2) investigation teams, one (1) street drug team, two (2) uniform tac teams, one (1) gang investigation team and the C.R.I.P.S. grant team. (Note: the C.R.I.P.S. grant team works both City and County jurisdictions).

Prior to the C.R.I.P.S. grant, the majority of all gang related drug control efforts had been directed at the "street level" sales of crack cocaine. Traditional methods of investigations were used, which included the use of informants to obtain search warrants and information; profile identification at our airport, bus terminals, hotels and motels; anonymous information and intelligence gathering, (much of which we were unable to follow-up on); purchases from street gang dealers; and liaison with other agencies, specifically Los Angeles, Oakland, WSIN, and Sacramento County and City gang units. Sufficient resources to target the "mid-level" crack cocaine gang/drug dealers were not available.

The Sacramento Police Department's gang investigation team and the Sacramento Sheriff's Department gang violence unit focus on gang members involved in homicides and assaults, but do not have the resources to concentrate their efforts toward gang related drug problems.

The resources of this grant will continue to enable law enforcement to directly target the gang/drug offenders engaged in the "mid-level" marketing of crack cocaine within

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROBLEM STATEMENT

PROJECT APPROACH

Describe the general approach to be implemented by the proposed project.

Single Component Proposal: Explain how the proposed project's impact on the criminal/juvenile justice system will be absorbed.

Multicomponent Proposal: Describe the linkages between all the participating components and agencies which will ensure the necessary level of coordination and cooperation.

The approach of the proposed project will be to target gang related crack cocaine offenders. Law enforcement will initiate comprehensive investigations that will encompass the entire justice system. Through search warrants, probation searches and arrests, linkage will be created between the Law Enforcement, Prosecution, Probation and Court Components. At each critical stage of the investigation and upon arrests(s) of targeted offender(s), the Prosecution and Probation components will be notified. Upon identification of a gang member, informational cards will be routed to the Prosecution and Probation components as well as the Western States Information Network.

The necessary level of coordination and cooperation will be accomplished through open communications that will include the development of a steering committee comprised of the project directors of the participating components.

The committee will meet on a quarterly basis to discuss the compliance and direction proposed in the grants. Monthly intelligence meetings, open to all Criminal Justice agencies, will be held by the teams involved in accomplishing the goals of the grant. In addition to the meetings, daily contact shall be required by the participating components to coordinate their efforts in regard to probationers, pending cases and case dispositions.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROBLEM STATEMENT

6. ANTICIPATED PROJECT IMPACT

Briefly describe the anticipated impact (outcome) of the proposed project on the target offender(s) in the target area, the community and the criminal/juvenile justice system. Describe the proposed project's impact on the target area's school and drug treatment systems.\*

This project will reduce the crack cocaine sales activity of the street gangs in the County of Sacramento. This will be accomplished through the arrest of gang members, the seizure of their crack cocaine and personal property, and restricting their access to our communities that they currently enjoy.

Through these accomplishments, the community will benefit from the reduction of gang related homicides and assaults, the infiltration of their neighborhoods and the recruitment of their children to sell crack cocaine. Also, the Project agencies will benefit from improved coordination and cooperation.

Although our target is not aimed at the street level dealers, which includes juveniles, the impact will lessen the glamour that attracts the young.

\*If the proposed project will impact school aged youth or schools, a letter of support for the project is required from the school district(s). If the project will impact or interface with locally funded drug treatment programs, a letter of support for the project is required from the County Drug Administrator. These letters of support must indicate the relationship of the project and the interagency coordination that has been established.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 1: During the grant year, increase the number of warrants or indictments, and arrests of individuals charged with drug offenses as measured by:

- a. The number of *project* warrants or indictments issued.
- b. The number of *project drug* arrests.
- c. The number of *project* arrestees with prior drug convictions/*adjudications*.
- d. *The number of project arrestees with outstanding warrants.*

Activities:

A team funded by grant resources will target gang related offenders distributing, importing and transporting crack cocaine within the County of Sacramento.

They will:

1. Conduct Officer purchases of crack cocaine from gang related dealers.
2. Conduct operator and informant purchases of crack cocaine from gang related dealers to substantiate search warrant information.
3. Profile operations identifying suspects at the airport, bus terminals, train depot, hotels and motels, phone booths and baking soda purchases.
4. Conduct follow-up investigations based on information developed from uniformed Patrol Officers and other investigators, by reviewing the offense reports they generate.
5. Assist Patrol Officers and other detectives with follow-up from on scene investigations:
  - a. vehicle stops
  - b. vehicle searches
  - c. search warrants generated by other narcotics investigators; etc..
6. Conduct investigations based on anonymous sources and citizen complaints.
7. Identify and target active gang leaders within the County of Sacramento.

- 2
7. Identify and target houses, apartments, and areas that gang related cocaine offenders infiltrate.
  9. Conduct surveillance to establish and obtain information for search warrants and other investigations.
  10. Interview suspects and informants for information on gang members and their activities.
  11. Conduct training of other officers to enable them to identify suspected gang related drug offenders.
  12. Maintain and create liaisons with allied agencies for the purpose of dispersing and receiving information regarding gang related drug activity.
  13. Provide and obtain information from the Western States Information Network.
  14. Contact business and citizens groups for information and their assistance in narcotics investigations.

The first year (Jan. 1989-Dec.1989) the C.R.I.P.S. grant team produced and served in excess of 150 search warrants. These warrants resulted in approximately 400 arrests for gang related crack cocaine offenses. We plan to maintain our current high production of search warrants and increase our percentage of successful search warrant operations from approximately 70% currently to 80-85% for the grant period of July, 1989 to December, 1989.

The number of individuals arrested with prior drug convictions during the first year of the grant was determined to be 86. This should increase to approximately 75 for the six month period from July, 1989 through December 1989 due to probation violations from previous C.R.I.P.S. suspect's convictions.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 2: During the grant year, increase the number of case referrals and cases filed on individuals charged with drug offenses as measured by:

- a. The number of *project arrestees with cases referred for prosecution/petition.*
- b. The number of *project arrestees with cases filed for prosecution/petitioned.*
- c. *The number or project arrestees with cases rejected for filing.*

Activities:

The number of cases referred for prosecution will be approximately 350 during the grant period of six months from July, 1989 to December, 1989.

The grant team will accomplish this by:

1. Reviewing all division and patrol arrests of targeted offender(s).
2. Reviewing all arrests for probation violations connected to gang related crack cocaine offenses.
3. Dealing directly with the District Attorney's project team and providing background information on each gang member.

The major increase in the volume of arrests will come from probation violations generated from previous C.R.I.P.S. program arrests.

Law enforcement will maintain its current 90% plus filing rate on cases referred for prosecution. This will be accomplished through:

1. The coordinated and cooperative efforts of the Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Probation components throughout the investigations.
2. The reviewing procedures prior to case referral that will:
  - a. Identify the arrestee as a gang related drug offender per the grant proposal guidelines.

3. Assisting the prosecution upon filing the case by determining the offender's gang involvement.
4. Providing all necessary offense reports to the prosecution in a timely manner.
5. Team members personally presenting project cases to the prosecutors for intake.

Through these procedures, it is anticipated that the percentage of cases filed for prosecution will remain at 90% plus during the grant period of July, 1989 to December, 1989.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 3: During the grant year increase the use of informants in drug investigations as measured by:

- a. The number of *project related* arrests due to informant actions or information.
- b. The number of *project drug* arrests due to informant actions or information.

Activities:

Law Enforcement anticipates that the number of all arrests due to informant actions or information will be approximately one-third more than the anticipated 350 arrests of gang related crack cocaine offenders. Based on current investigative techniques, it is anticipated that 80% of drug arrests will be due to informant actions or information during the grant year of July 1989 to December 1989.

This will be accomplished by:

1. Interviewing crack cocaine related arrestees not identified as gang members, but who give information relating to homicides, assaults, robberies and property crimes.
2. Developing new informants who have information relative to crack cocaine customers who are involved in other crimes.
3. The payment of funds to informants who have information relative to crimes other than crack cocaine use or distribution.
4. The payment of funds to informants for information relative to the whereabouts of wanted persons who frequent the crack cocaine houses.
5. The service of search warrants based on informant actions or information that result in arrests of individuals who are present and in violation of other crimes.
6. Coordinating an exchange of information regarding potential informants with Probation Officers assigned to the project.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 4: During the grant year, train law enforcement personnel on topics relating to the enhanced operation of anti-drug abuse projects as measured by:

*(Training provided)*

- a. The number of training sessions conducted.
- b. The number of officers trained.
- c. The number of instructor hours.

*(Training received)*

- d. The number of training sessions attended.
- e. The number of officers trained.
- f. The number of training hours received.

Activities:

The grant team has achieved most or all of its training objectives during the 18 months of operation. Many of the topics that were covered by C.R.I.P. S. personnel are now being taught by other programs and personnel.

During the grant period of July, 1989 to December, 1989, the C.R.I.P.S. team would like to focus their efforts on enforcement operations.

We will continue to train other officers regarding any new developments regarding gang/drug activities. The team will attend the training seminar in Costa Mesa in May, 1989 but has no mandatory training sessions during the six month grant period of July, 1989 to December, 1989.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 5 (optional): During the grant year, *project personnel* assist/disrupt the production of illegally manufactured drugs as measured by:

- a. The number of clandestine laboratories dismantled.
- b. The amount and type of equipment *seized*, and the amount and type of precursor chemicals *seized* for use in clandestine drug manufacturing.
- c. The dollar value of clandestine drug manufacturing equipment seized.

Activities:

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 6 (optional): During the grant year, increase the number of controlled substance seizures *by project personnel*, the quantity and type of controlled substances seized, and the number and type of illegal weapons seized as measured by:

- a. The number of controlled substance seizures.
- b. The quantity and type of controlled substances seized.
- c. The number and type of illegal weapons seized.

Activities:

During the first year of the grant, the team had 207 controlled seizure incidents. The team will increase the controlled seizure for the six month period from July, 1989 through December, 1989 to 125 controlled substance incidents.

During the first year of the grant, the C.R.I.P.S. team cases, combined with referred cases, accounted for 5,425.08 grams of rock cocaine and 465.7 grams of powder cocaine seized. Due to the team's experience and developed informants, they will seize 2,500 grams of rock cocaine and 2,500 grams of powder cocaine during the grant period of July, 1989 through December, 1989.

We are currently experiencing an increase in the incidents and amounts of powder cocaine being seized from gang offenders. This is due to a large local supply of powder cocaine with very comparable prices to those in the Los Angeles area. This makes it competitive for gang/drug offenders to purchase powder cocaine locally and manufacture it into rock cocaine themselves here.

During the first year of the grant, the C.R.I.P.S. team seized a total of 49 weapons from gang/drug offenders. Recently, the team has experienced

2

a dramatic increase in weapons seized from gang/drug offenders. The team will increase the weapons seizures to 50 for the six month grant period from July, 1989 through December, 1989.

ATF is currently working closely with the C.R.I.P.S. team and several of our gang/drug offenders who were armed are now being prosecuted Federally.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 7 (optional): During the grant year, increase the number of asset seizures *by project personnel* and the dollar value of assets seized as measured by:

- a. The number of asset seizures.
- b. The dollar value and type of real property seized.
- c. The dollar value and type of personal property seized.
- d. The amount of cash seized.
- e. *The total value of assets seized.*

Activities:

There were 30 asset seizure incidents during the first year of the C.R.I.P.S. grant. This will increase to 20 incidents for the six month period of July, 1989 through December, 1989.

C.R.I.P.S. team seized 4 vehicles for a total value of \$46,500. The team will seize 3 vehicles for a total of approximately \$60,000. during the six month period from July, 1989 through December, 1989.

During the C.R.I.P.S. team first year of the grant seized \$213,646.30 in US currency. This should increase to approximately \$150,000.00 for the period of July, 1989 through December, 1989.

The increase in asset seizure incidents for the six month period of July, 1989 through December, 1989 will be the result of officers experience and developed informants. These factors contribute to the C.R.I.P.S team arresting more upper mid-level gang/drug offenders. These arrests account for larger cash seizures.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING  
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT  
PROGRAM STRATEGIES, OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

---

STRATEGY 3: SPECIAL ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS (Narcotics details, task forces, special assignments)

Objective 8 (optional): During the grant year, increase the number of major drug-related organizations or offenders identified by *project personnel* for further monitoring and investigation as measured by:

- a. The number of Western States Information Network (WSIN) cards submitted and confirmed on major drug-related organizations.
- b. The number of WSIN cards submitted and confirmed on major drug offenders.
- c. *The total number of WSIN cards submitted.*

Activities:

As Law Enforcement identifies drug-related offenders and organizations through our targeted enforcement, WSIN cards will be completed and submitted on a timely basis. Through WSIN, confirmations will be based on information and liaisons that will be created by the team implemented with grant funds. The team will accomplish the objective of confirmations on major drug-related organizations and major drug offenders by:

1. Determining the gang affiliation of identified gang members.
2. Determining the origin of identified gang members. (ie: 98th St. sect., 69th St. Mob, 29th St. Crips, Oak Park Bloods)
3. Creating liaisons with the Law Enforcement agencies in the jurisdictions from which the identified gang members originated.
4. Completing one WSIN card for each individual identified.

Through these efforts, the number of confirmations from WSIN on major drug-related organizations and offenders will increase not only for Sacramento Law Enforcement agencies, but for all agencies throughout the Western States.

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

ORGANIZATION DESCRIPTION

INSTRUCTIONS: Describe the administrative organization of the project.

The Sacramento City Police and County Sheriff's Departments each have an Office of Investigations within their organizations. The City Police Department has a Narcotics/Gangs Division commanded by a Police Captain who is directly under the supervision of the Chief of Police. Within the Narcotics/Gangs Division there are:

- 2 Investigative Teams
  - 2 Police Sergeants
  - 10 Investigators

- Captain
  - Commander Narcotics/Gangs Division

- 1 Street Drug Team
  - 1 Police Sergeant
  - 5 Investigators

- Lieutenant
  - In charge of daily operations

- 1 Gang Investigative Team
  - 1 Police Sergeant
  - 5 Investigators

- 2 Tac Units
  - 2 Police Sergeants
  - 12 Officers

- 1 K-9 Unit
  - 1 Police Officer
  - 1 Canine

- 1 C.R.I.P.S. Team
  - 1 Police Sergeant
  - 2 Police Detectives
  - 3 Sheriff Deputies
  - 1 Sheriff's Record Clerk

The C.R.I.P.S. grant team is supervised by the Police Sergeant funded by the grant. The Sergeant is responsible for directing the team in accomplishing the objectives of the grant through the proposed strategies. The Sergeant will be under the direct supervision of the Narcotics/Gangs Division Captain (Project Director).

To ensure the coordination of the project, a Steering Committee will be comprised of the Project Directors. The Project Directors will be:

- Law Enforcement: Captain Narcotics/Gangs Division Sacramento Police Dept.
- Prosecution: Supervisor Gangs Prosecution Unit
- Probation: Chief Deputy Probation Officer
- Crime Lab: Lab Director

The committee will meet on a quarterly basis to ensure the compliance and direction of the project per the Grant Proposal Guidelines.

In addition to the Steering Committee, monthly intelligence meetings, open to all Criminal Justice Agencies, will be held by the component teams involved in accomplishing the goals of the projects.

The Project Organization will consist of all components maintaining a continuous linkage during the grant year. Each component will have a team that is responsible for accomplishing the objectives of the Grant through the strategies listed in their proposal. (Project Organization Chart Attached)

**NARCOTICS/GANGS DIVISION**  
**JERRY LEDBETTER, CAPTAIN**

**INVESTIGATIONS/  
 ENFORCEMENT**

**ADMINISTRATIVE  
 STAFF**

**JIM RODENBAUGH, LT**

**MC CARTER  
 ACCT/AUDITOR**

**STAFFORD  
 ADMINISTRATIVE ASST.**

Captain	1
Lieutenant	1
Sergeants	7
Investigators (SPD)	23
Investigators (SSD)	3
Police Officers	12
Auditor	1
Admin. Assistant	1
Steno	1
Clerk (SPD)	1
Clerk (SSD)	1
CSO	1

**ALBERT, STENO III**  
**ROMO, POLICE CLERK**  
**DAY, REC. CLK II (SSD)**

**INVESTIGATIVE TEAMS**  
**MON/FRI 0800 - 1630**

**SGT CLIFTON**    **SGT SEIVERTSON**  
 REYES            \*BANNING  
 \*GARCIA        SIMONDS  
 ROBINSON      STANFIELD  
 SHARRER      TREFETHEN  
 WALKER        WOODS (DEA)

**CRIP TEAM**  
**M/F 8-1630**

**SGT POROLI**  
 \* DANIELS  
 Brown  
 MEEKS (SSD)  
 RIVERA (SSD)  
 CLARK (SSD)

**SDET**  
**M/F 16-24**

**SGT PARKER**  
 DONAT  
 KEREAZIS  
 \* LABRANCH  
 GOMEZ  
 SALDANA

**K-9 UNIT**

**TORRES**  
**ROCKY**

**GANGS**

**SGT TAHARA**  
 AURICH  
 CALLENDER  
 \* DELGADILLO  
 FONG  
 LOPEZ  
 NISHIMURA (CSO)

**TAC UNITS**

<b>TEAM 80</b> SUN/WED 16-02	<b>TEAM 90</b> WED/SAT 16-02
<b>SGT COYLE</b>	<b>SGT FARNSWORTH</b>
<b>* SWEENEY</b>	<b>COLPEAN</b>
<b>* CROPP</b>	<b>DORRICOTT</b>
<b>HUNTER</b>	<b>LAMY</b>
<b>HIGGINS</b>	<b>KIMBALL</b>
<b>NELSON</b>	<b>* SCHEURING</b>
<b>WASSATHER</b>	<b>TRUJILLO</b>

\* Acting Sergeant

2

2

PROPOSED AUDIT PLAN

Applicant: Sacramento Police Department

A. The attached Audit Information has been reviewed and the project will have the required financial and compliance audit completed: (check appropriate box)

On a grant-by-grant basis.

As part of the agency-wide audit with OCJP grants detailed separately by supplemental information.

B. It is anticipated that the services will be obtained from:

Touche Ross and Company  
(Name of Firm or Government Audit Organization)

2335 American River Drive, Suite 200  
(Address)

Sacramento, CA 95825

ES20643 California  
(License Number)

(916) 971-3032  
(Partner-in-Charge) (Telephone Number)

C. It is estimated that the required audit report will be submitted to the OCJP Audit Division on:

July  
Month

1990  
Year

Signature-Financial Officer

OCJP USE ONLY:

Grant Award No. \_\_\_\_\_

Input Date \_\_\_\_\_

AS \_\_\_\_\_

RC \_\_\_\_\_

GS \_\_\_\_\_

## AUDIT INFORMATION

- 1) Grant recipients will arrange for a financial and compliance audit in accordance with OCJP policy, and if applicable, with the Single Audit Act of 1984 (OMB Circular A-128). Failure to comply with this requirement may result in the withholding of grant funds or termination of the grant award agreement.
- 2) Audits must be conducted at the conclusion of the project year. However, the OCJP audit may be included in the grantee agency-wide audit if the details of the specific OCJP grant(s) are shown separately by supplemental information.
- 3) Audits will be conducted by qualified state or local government auditors or independent public accountants licensed by the State of California in accordance with the financial and compliance audit requirements of the Standards for Audit of Governmental Organizations, Programs, Activities, and Functions published by the United States General Accounting Office (revised 1981).
- 4) Audits will be completed no later than six months after the close of the grant period unless a time extension is preapproved by OCJP. All grant recipients will submit the completed audit report to the OCJP Audits Division for review.
- 5) OCJP or its representatives will have access to all audit reports, audit working papers, correspondence or other documents related to the audit reports. OCJP performs quality control reviews of working papers of randomly selected grant recipients.
- 6) Payment by the grant recipient for audits may be made upon receipt of audit reports and invoices from the auditors conducting the audit.
- 7) Audit programs will be provided by the OCJP Audits Division upon request of the project auditor.
- 8) OCJP reserves the right to perform audit examinations of any OCJP grant award.

One "Operational Agreement" is necessary between agencies participating in the project. The purpose of the agreement is to specify the working relationship among the agencies and to clarify the commitments of each agency.

The specific format of the agreement is not as important as the content. Key elements of the agreement are as follows:

1. Enumeration of participating agencies

Identify the participating agencies and the designated contact person in each agency.

2. Roles and Responsibilities

Identify any special projects that are necessary to operate the project. Each agency must include information describing how they will work with each of the other participating agencies.

3. Signatures

The agreement must be signed by the Chief Executive of each participating agency.

All multicomponent proposals and all single component proposals with more than one participating agency must include an operational agreement with the application package.

2

**CRACK-ROCK IMPACT PROJECT**

**OPERATIONAL AGREEMENT**

In recognition of the need for a coordinated Criminal Justice response targeted at the investigation, apprehension, prosecution and supervision of gang-related crack cocaine distributors in Sacramento County, the below listed agencies agree to participate in a gang-related narcotics activity project:

1. Sacramento Police Department
2. Sacramento County Sheriff's Department
3. Sacramento County District Attorney
4. Sacramento County Probation Department
5. Sacramento County Forensic Science Laboratory

The project shall be designated the Crack, Rock, Impact Project. It is understood and agreed by all participants that upon funding of the proposed grant each agency will provide the following resources and services towards the fulfillment of the project's objectives as set forth in the OCJP Anti-Drug Abuse Program Guidelines of July, 1987:

**I. LAW ENFORCEMENT COMPONENT:**

Sacramento Police Department will assign two officers and a sergeant and necessary equipment and the Sacramento Sheriff's Department will assign three officers, necessary

2

equipment and a records clerk to identify, investigate, apprehend and assist in the prosecution of gang-related crack cocaine distributors within Sacramento County.

The law enforcement group will be under the command of the Sacramento Police Department Narcotics/Gangs Division, whose Captain shall serve as the Chairman of the Project Coordination Committee.

Contact Person: Captain Jerry Ledbetter, 449-5796.

## II. PROSECUTION COMPONENT:

Sacramento County District Attorney's Office shall assign two experienced trial attorneys and one legal secretary under the supervision of the Major Narcotics Supervisor to provide legal advice to the law enforcement and probation components during investigations, vertically prosecute targeted offenders identified by those components and prosecute violations of probation filed against targeted offenders. One of the prosecutors shall handle all juveniles prosecuted through this project.

The Gang Prosecution Unit Supervisor shall serve on the Project Coordination Committee.

Contact Person: Steve Harrold, 440-5840.

## III. PROBATION COMPONENT:

Sacramento County Probation Department shall assign three field officers to provide intensive supervision to targeted offenders placed on probation, actively pursue violations of

2

probation and coordinate the exchange of intelligence information with the law enforcement component regarding targeted offenders. The Probation Department shall have all probationers' urine samples presumptively tested and delivery positive results to the Sacramento County Forensic Science Laboratory for confirmation. One presentence report officer shall be assigned to prepare presentence reports for all adults convicted under this project. This officer shall also participate in the exchange of intelligence information regarding activities of targeted offenders.

The Chief Deputy Probation Officer shall serve on the Project Coordination Committee.

Contact Person: Chief Deputy Probation Officer,

Terry Cummings, 855-8500.

#### IV. CRIME LAB COMPONENT:

The Sacramento County Forensic Science Laboratory shall assign one criminalist to analyze all suspected controlled substances seized in connection with the investigation of project offenders. The criminalist shall also conduct confirmatory tests on all positive presumptive urine tests submitted by the probation component. The criminalist will provide testimony for court proceedings as required and training for the other project staff.

The Director of the Crime Lab shall serve on the Project Coordination Committee.

Contact Person: Roger Robinson, 440-6601.

2

**PROJECT COORDINATION COMMITTEE:**

The governing body of this project shall be known as the Project Coordination Committee and shall consist of the heads of each participating agency as set forth above. This Committee shall convene no less than once each quarter during the grant period or at the request of the Committee Chairman to review progress in achieving established goals; review and approve the Quarterly Progress Report prior to submission to OCJP; discuss any appropriate changes in the project operation; and ensure complete cooperation and coordination between all participating agencies. This Committee shall be chaired by the Captain of the Sacramento Police Department Narcotics/Gangs Division.

**MONTHLY INTELLIGENCE MEETINGS:**

Investigative, prosecutive and probation personnel assigned to the project shall meet at least once each month for a formal exchange of intelligence regarding gang activity, ongoing investigations, problems encountered, case status reports and projected needs for the next month. Additional formal meetings shall be conducted whenever deemed appropriate. Informal exchanges are expected on a daily basis.

2

**PROJECT COORDINATION (LINKAGES):**

In order to ensure the coordinated and cooperative operation of the project each participating agency shall, in addition to other responsibilities, utilize the procedures set forth below to coordinate its activities with the other components:

1. Upon identification of a targeted offender the law enforcement or probation component shall submit an informational card to the other investigative components setting forth identification information and a summary of the individual's gang affiliation and activity.
2. The probation component shall provide law enforcement and prosecution components with current lists of all known gang members and affiliates under their supervision.
3. Upon arresting a target offender the law enforcement or probation component will, as soon as practical, directly notify the project prosecutor(s) of the identity of the arrested subject and provide copies of all applicable reports.
4. Upon presenting a case to the prosecutor for filing of either a complaint, indictment or petition for violation of probation the law enforcement or probation component will provide evidence of the arrestee's gang affiliation.

- 2
5. Project prosecutors or the major narcotics supervisor shall directly review the reports to determine if the arrestee qualifies as a target offender and file appropriate charges or petition for violation of probation.
  6. Upon filing of formal charges the prosecutor shall notify the crime lab of the date by which the analysis must be completed and the nature of the analysis requested.
  7. The prosecutor shall notify the investigative and probation personnel of any and all court developments during the prosecution. At a minimum this reporting shall be accomplished by the preparation of a monthly caseload status report by each prosecutor to be distributed to all participating agencies.
  8. Upon plea or conviction of a project offender the project attorney will provide the pre-sentence report officers with all available reports as soon as possible.
  9. The pre-sentence report officer shall review such reports prior to interviewing the offender.
  10. Upon request of the prosecutors the investigative and/or probation personnel will conduct pretrial/hearing supplemental investigation.
  11. Each agency will maintain statistical data needed to measure the objective of their respective agency. At

the close of each quarter the head of each agency or component shall prepare and submit to the Project Coordination Committee Chairman the quarterly report form as required by OCJP.

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
STEVE WHITE, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
ROBERT E. KELDGORD  
CHIEF PROBATION OFFICER

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN P. KEARNS, CHIEF OF POLICE

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
GLEN CRAIG, SHERIFF

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
ROGER J. ROBINSON, DIRECTOR  
FORENSIC SCIENCE LABORATORY

OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS  
OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER

---

**Certification Regarding  
Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion  
Lower Tier Covered Transactions**

---


This certification is required by the regulations implementing Executive Order 12549, Debarment and Suspension, 34 CFR Part 85, Section 85.510, Participants' responsibilities. The regulations were published as Part VII of the May 26, 1988 Federal Register (pages 19160-19211).

**(BEFORE COMPLETING CERTIFICATION, READ INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE)**

- (1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals are presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.
- (2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

Jerry Ledbetter, Captain, Narcotics/Gangs Division

Name And Title Of Authorized Representative



Signature

9-28-89

Date



# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

## NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, and publish this Negative Declaration for the following described project:

M89-033 Grant Application for funding from OCJP for a Crack/Rock Impact Program to implement a drug abuse program.


The City of Sacramento, Department of Planning and Development, Planning Division has reviewed the proposed project and has determined that the project as proposed will not have a significant effect on the environment. This conclusion is based on information contained in the attached Initial Study.

An Environmental Impact Report is not required pursuant to the Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (Division 13 of the Public Resources Code of the State of California).

This environmental review process and Negative Declaration filing is pursuant to Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 6, Section 15070 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Sacramento Local Environmental Regulations (Resolution 78-171) adopted by the City of Sacramento and pursuant to Sacramento City Code, Chapter 63.

A copy of this document may be reviewed/obtained at the City of Sacramento, Department of Planning and Development, Planning Division, Environmental Section, 1231 I Street, 3rd Floor, Sacramento, California 95814.

Marty Van Duyn  
Environmental Coordinator of the  
City of Sacramento, California,  
a municipal corporation

By: 

M 89-033  
attachment  
(w/o n.m.)  
APR 24 12 53 PM '89  
RECEIVED  
CITY OF SACRAMENTO  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE



# CITY OF SACRAMENTO

2

## INITIAL STUDY

This Initial Study has been required and prepared by the Department of Planning and Development, Planning Division, Environmental Section, 1231 I Street, Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95814, (916)449-2037, pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 (August 1, 1983).

File No. and/or Project Name: M 89-033  
Applicant - Name: Lt Jerry Ledbetter, Narcotics TASK Force  
Address: 913 6th St  
SALTO CA 95814

Answer the following questions to determine if the proposed project may have potentially adverse significant impacts on the environment.

- |   | <u>Yes or No</u> |
|---|------------------|
| 1. <u>Earth.</u> Will the proposal result in:   |                  |
| a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?   | <u>No</u>        |
| b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?  | <u>No</u>        |
| c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?  | <u>No</u>        |
| d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?   | <u>No</u>        |
| e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?   | <u>No</u>        |
| f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river, stream, bay, inlet or lake? | <u>No</u>        |
| g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, ground failure, or similar hazards?  | <u>No</u>        |
| 2. <u>Air.</u> Will the proposal result in:   |                  |
| a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?   | <u>No</u>        |
| b. The creation of objectionable odors?   | <u>No</u>        |
| c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?   | <u>No</u>        |
| 3. <u>Water.</u> Will the proposal result in:   |                  |
| a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction movements, in either marine or fresh waters?   | <u>No</u>        |
| b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface runoff?  | <u>No</u>        |
| c. Alterations to the course of flow of flood waters?   | <u>No</u>        |
| d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?   | <u>No</u>        |
| e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?               | <u>No</u>        |
| f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?  | <u>No</u>        |
| g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?               | <u>No</u>        |
| h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?  | <u>No</u>        |
| i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding?  | <u>No</u>        |
| 4. <u>Plant Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:  |                  |
| a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants?  | <u>No</u>        |
| b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?  | <u>No</u>        |
| c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?   | <u>No</u>        |
| d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?   | <u>No</u>        |
| 5. <u>Animal Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:   |                  |
| a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of animals?   | <u>No</u>        |
| b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?   | <u>No</u>        |
| c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?   | <u>No</u>        |
| d. Deterioration of existing fish or wildlife habitat?  | <u>No</u>        |

Yes or No

- o. Noise. Will the proposal result in:
  - a. Increases in existing noise levels? No
  - b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? No
- 7. Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare? No
- 8. Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? No
- 9. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:
  - a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources? No
  - b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource? No
- 10. Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve:
  - a. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? No
  - b. Possible interference with an emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan? No
- 11. Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area? No
- 12. Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing? No
- Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:
  - a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement? No
  - b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking? No
  - c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems? No
  - d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods? No
  - e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic? No
  - f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians? No
- 14. Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:
  - a. Fire protection? No
  - b. Police protection? No
  - c. Schools? No
  - d. Parks or other recreational facilities? No
  - e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? No
  - f. Other governmental services? No
- 15. Energy. Will the proposal result in:
  - a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy? No
  - b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy or require the development of new sources of energy? No
- 16. Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new system, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:
  - a. Power or natural gas? No
  - b. Communications systems? No
  - c. Water? No
  - d. Sewer or septic tanks? No
  - e. Storm water drainage? No
  - f. Solid waste and disposal? No

Yes or No

- 17. Human Health. Will the proposal result in:
  - a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)? No
  - b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards? No
  
- 18. Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view? No
  
- 19. Recreation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities? No
  
- 20. Cultural Resources.
  - a. Will the proposal result in the alteration or destruction of a prehistoric or historic archaeological site? No
  - b. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure or object? No
  - c. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values? No
  - d. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area? No
  
- 21. Mandatory Findings of Significance.
  - a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality to the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? No
  - b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.) No
  - c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.) No
  - d. Does the project have environment effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? No

MITIGATION MEASURES

- None required.
- The following mitigation measures shall become conditions of approval for the subject proposal:

See Attachment A

USION

The proposed project will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment for the following reasons:

1. Will have only temporary or short-term construction impacts such as dust and equipment emissions, noise and truck traffic.
2. Will not generate a significant amount of additional vehicles, noise or emission levels.
3. Will not affect rare or endangered species of animal or plant, or habitat of such species.
4. Will not eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
5. Will not result in a significant effect on air, water quality or ambient noise levels for adjoining areas.
6. Will not be subjected to floodplains or major geologic hazards.
7. Will not have a substantial aesthetic affect.
8. Will not breach any published national, State or local standards relating to solid waste.
9. Will not involve the possibility of contaminating public water supply or adversely affect groundwater.
10. Will not result in or add to a violation of the waste discharge requirements applicable to local sewer systems as prescribed by California Regional Water Quality Control Board.
11. Will not occur to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals.
12. Will not result in the adverse cumulative impacts.
13. Will not result in adverse growth inducing impacts.
14. Will not result in substantial adverse effects on human beings either directly or indirectly.
15. Will not be in conflict with the City's General and Community Plans.

REFERENCES

Sacramento City General Plan and EIR, 1988	Sacramento City Zoning Ordinance, July 1987
South Sacramento Community Plan and EIR, 1986	Renaissance Tower EIR, 1986
North Natomas Community Plan and EIR, 1986	Laguna Creek Floodplain Study and EIR, 1985
South Natomas Community Plan and EIR, 1987	Creekside Oaks and Gateway Centre EIR, 1984
Port-Meadowview Community Plan and EIR, 1984	Delta Shores Village PUD EIR, 1983
North Sacramento Community Plan and EIR, 1984	Greenhaven Executive Office Park EIR, 1982
Sacramento South Pocket Specific Plan & EIR, 1977	Executive Airport Master Plan and EIR, 1981
Sacto. Central City Comprehensive Plan & EIR, 1977	Sacto. City Amer River Pkwy Plan & Neg. Dec. 1985
Downtown Redevelopment Plan Update & EIR, 1985	Northgate Station EIR, 1986
	Willow Creek EIR, 1985

- o At the Crossroads. A Report on California Endangered and Rare Fish and Wildlife. California Resources Agency and Department of Fish and Game, 1972
- o Soils of Sacramento County, California. Walter Weir, Division of Soils, U.C. Berkeley, 1960
- o Fifteenth Progress Report on Trip Ends Generation Research Courts. CalTrans 1983.
- o Native Oaks: Our Valley Heritage. Sacramento County Office of Education, 1976.
- o The applicant's environmental questionnaire and submitted plans are considered part of this Initial Study.

DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect on this case because the mitigation measures described in this Initial Study has been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

DATE: 4-24-89

SIGNATURE: Lisa Pyzel

PREPARED BY: Lisa Pyzel

PHONE: 916-449-2037

**ATTACHMENT A**

**INITIAL STUDY DISCUSSION**

**M89-033**

**OCJP CRACK/ROCK IMPACT PROJECT**

The Sacramento City Police Department is applying for a grant from the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (OCJP) to augment funding for the Crack/Rock Impact Project. This project is an anti-drug abuse program and consists of educational and enforcement programs. It will involve the hiring of three additional personnel (one Police Sergeant and two Police Officers) and funding the positions, equipment and uniforms for the new personnel, rental of equipment for the program, and miscellaneous training expense.

The program does not involve new construction or the renovation or modification of a facility leading to an increased occupancy, as the program will be housed in existing facilities. The program does not involve the use of any harmful chemicals, microwaves or radiation, or research and technology application which could be expected to have a potential effect on the environment.

# RESOLUTION No.

Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

## RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT WITH THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING AND A CONTRACT WITH THE SACRAMENTO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO IMPLEMENT AN ANTI-DRUG ABUSE PROGRAM

WHEREAS the Sacramento Police Department desires to undertake a certain designated Crack/Rock Impact Project to be funded in part from funds made available through the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1986 for the Anti-Drug Abuse Program authorized by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereafter referred to as

Grant  
from the  
State of California

to be used

and, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Manager of the City of Sacramento, or his designated representative, is authorized, on its behalf to execute the attached proposal for anti-drug abuse purposes to OCJP and is authorized to execute on behalf of the City Council the attached Grant Award for anti-drug abuse purposes including any extensions or amendments thereof.

IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Office of Investigations, Narcotics Section be increased by 3.0 FTE positions, as follows:

- 1.0 Police Sergeant
- 2.0 Police Officers

IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the operating budget of the Police Department be increased by \$255,155 as follows:

<u>EXPENSE</u>	
250-OGR-G281-4101 (salary)	\$ 120,260
250-OGR-G281-4122 (social security)	8,599
250-OGR-G281-4123 (ins. contrib.)	10,350
250-OGR-G281-4125 (unemp. ins.)	361
250-OGR-G281-4126 (workers' comp.)	10,318
250-OGR-G281-4132 (unfunded liab.)	11,292
250-OGR-G281-4133 (retirement)	20,564
250-OGR-G281-4231 (rental of real prop.)	5,616
250-OGR-G281-4233 (rental of equip.)	19,800
250-OGR-G281-4254 (auditing)	4,342
250-OGR-G281-4261 (transportation)	440
250-OGR-G281-4262 (meals)	756
250-OGR-G281-4263 (lodging)	927
250-OGR-G281-4272 (tuition & reg. fee)	480
250-OGR-G281-4283 (confidential serv.)	25,000
250-OGR-G281-4492 (uniform)	1,950
250-OGR-G281-4630 (equipment)	14,100
	<hr/>
SUBTOTAL	\$ 255,155
	<hr/> <hr/>