



DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

May 22, 1991

CITY HALL ROOM 207 915 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-2673

916-449-5283

ADMINISTRATION 916-449-8747

FAX 916-449-5573

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT:

Proposed Storm Drainage Rates For 1991 - 1992

SUMMARY

This report recommends the adoption of a 7% increase in storm drainage rates. This rate increase will enable the City to: (1) implement the Stormwater Management Program; (2) operate the combined system in compliance with the revised operating permit issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board; (3) complete the drainage rate study and implement a revised rate structure; and (4) begin the master planning process for the City's drainage basins.

BACKGROUND

The Storm Drainage Fund is an enterprise fund which supports the Storm Drainage, Regional Sanitation Pumping, Stormwater Pumping and Treatment and Flood Control Administration organizations. The operating environment for this utility is becoming more heavily regulated at the same time that the pace of development is quickening. Taken together, these changes have lead to a closer scrutiny of daily operations by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency requirement to implement new stormwater management practices, and a greater need to do long range master planning because of development pressures. The proposed budget contains funding for conservative programs to address these issues.

This enterprise will fund a large portion of the eventual program to repair or replace the combined sewer/stormwater system. This rate increase contains no funding for that program.

FINANCIAL DATA

A breakdown of what the proposed average monthly residential storm drainage user charge pays for is shown in Table One on the next page.

TABLE ONE: COMPONENT	S OF STORM	DRAINAGE CH	IARGES	
	Actual <u>1990-91</u>	Proposed 1991-92	<u>Change</u>	% of Rate Increase
Storm Water Pumping Operations	\$1.23	\$1.54	\$.31	3.7%
Drainage Line, Levee and Channel Cleaning, Repair, and Maintenance	1.84	1.35	49	-5.8%
3. Regional Sanitation Pumping	.69	.71	.02	0.2%
4. Other Operational Costs	1.63	2.08	.45	5.3%
5. In-lieu Franchise Fee*	1.23	1.56	.33	4.0%
6. Approved Capital Improvement Projects	1.97	1.97	.00	0.0%
7. Contingency Reserves and Emergencies	- <u>.12</u>	<u>15</u>	- <u>.03</u>	<u>-0.4%</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$8.47</u>	<u>\$9.06</u>	<u>\$0.59</u>	<u>7.0%</u>

^{*}The additional \$.33 is the equivalent of a 4.0% rate increase over the current charge. Without the change in the in-lieu franchise fee, the storm drainage charge for the typical user would be \$8.73. Raising the in-lieu franchise fee adds 3.8% to the \$8.73.

The effect of the proposed fee adjustments on the average home and commercial customers is shown in Table Two.

TABLE TWO: T	YPICAL STORM D	PAINAGE SERVIC	CE CHARGES	
Category	Current	Proposed	\$ Change	% Increase
Single Family Residence (6-7 room house)	8.47	9.06	\$0.59	7%
Commercial Property (per 10,000 sq.ft.)	14.42	15.43	\$1.01	7%

Attachment A shows the proposed charges in all rate categories.

The proposed increase in storm drainage rates is expected to generate \$1,250,000 in additional revenue to the Drainage Fund. Total revenue from the storm drainage rates is projected to be \$19,189,000. This estimate is reflected in the proposed budget.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

Sacramento City Code 36.502, Division 1, Article V, of Chapter 36 allows the City Council to establish sewer and storm drainage rates by resolution. The proposed 7% rate increase will fund existing programs and services as well

City Council Proposed Storm Drainage Rates for FY92 May 22, 1991

the additional costs for the in-lieu franchise fee, Stormwater Management Program, revised operations in the combined system, drainage rate restructuring, and master planning. Attachment B lists the programs and services which would be impacted, and their effect on rates, if the Council wished to reduce or eliminate the proposed rate increase.

MBE/WBE EFFORTS

Not applicable. There are no goods or services being purchased as a direct result of this action.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the findings reflected in the attached resolution and approve an increase of 7% in the drainage user service rates to be effective July 1, 1991.

Respectfully submitted,

Melvin H. Johnson

Director of Public Works

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipe (

City Managers

Contact Person to Answer Questions: Kathy Mullen, Administrative Analyst 449-8741

May 22, 1991 All Districts

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT - STORM DRAINAGE RATES

					RATE
I.	STORM DRAINAGE SERVICE RA	TES - RESIDENCE			CODE
	Single-family residence:	1-3 rooms	-5 ,63 -	6.02	300
		4-5 rooms	-7.17	7.67	300
		6-7 rooms	- 8.47 -	9.06	300
		8-9 rooms	10.00 -	10.70	300
		10-15 rooms	11.40	12.20	300
		over 15, each additional room	89-	.95	300
11.	Multiple-family residence Each dwelling unit ch STORM DRAINAGE SERVICE RA	arged the same as a single-family residence			
	For each 10,000 sq.ft. of	gross surface area of fraction thereof	14.42	15.43	330
	Minimum Rate		-6.27	6.71	300

Where commercial property contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, the common facilities will be treated as one property and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees for the common facilities, notwithstanding the fact that other fees charged to the property may be charged to the tenants or owners of divided interests. Common areas in planned unit developments or condominium developments shall be treated as commercial property for purposes of this division.

Attachment B

PRIORITIZED STORM DRAINAGE RATE IMPACT ITEMS

	<u>Item</u>	Description	Dotter Amount of Program	Rate Impact	Program Empact
1.	Base CIP Reserve Project CIP Number 888W	This project has been established in order to maintain the capital improvement program at the same level from one year to the next in those years in which the program level is lower than in the previous year.	415,000	2.3%	Reduction of this project will result in a smaller capital improvement program than in the previous year and a rate increase in subsequent years if the cost of approved projects is more than in the current year.
2.	Sump 101 Rehab CIP Number 104W	This project will install new con- crete box to house check valve, which is currently underground.	50,000	0.3%	Funding must be placed in a subsequent fiscal year, which impacts rates in that year.
3.	North Sacramento Drainage Area CIP Number 108W	This projects funds study of in- frastructure requirements.	100,000	0.6%	Amount shown is half the amount proposed in FY92 and must be budgeted in a subsequent fiscal year, delaying completion of study and eventual construction.
4.	FEMA Levee Certification Studies CIP Number WC31	This project retains consultants to certify City-owned levees to FEMA 100-yr standards.	43,000	0.2%	Delay in this project will post- pone eventual certification of secondary levees.
5.	Ditch Invert and Ramp Paving CIP Number WC01	This project is an on-going pro- gram to upgrade all ramps into channels for secure vehicle ac- cess.	157,000	0.9%	Funds are for construction of ramps designed in FY91; delay increases the eventual cost of construction.
6.	Drainage Sump 2 Modifica- tions CIP Number WC61	This project is for upgrading the master control computer at Sump 2.	50,000	0.3%	Project must be completed, so funding must roll over to a subsequent fiscal year.
7.	Pump Station Radio Telemetry CIP Number XB21	This project continues work on up- grading the radio telemetry system connecting the pumps and stations to a master board.	45,000	0.3%	This project has been underway for two years; this funding would be postponed to subsequent years.

8.	Hagginwood-Kathleen-SPRR CIP Number WC26	This project will construct new facilities on Hagginwood Creek to more adequately carry drainage.	60,000	0.3%	Amount would continue design on this project; delay in funding postpone design and construction.
9.	Sump 159 Reconstruction CIP Number WB56	This project increase the drainage capability of Sump 159.	40,000	0.2%	Amount would continue design on this project; delay in funding postpone design and construction.
10.	ARFCD Rehabilitation CIP Number WB01	This project funds various rehabilitation projects at the pumping stations being acquired by the City from the American River Flood Control District.	250,000	1.4%	This amount would be defunded from an on-going CIP and result in postponement of planned projects to upgrade these facilities.
11.	Rate Study Implementation Project	Provides funds to implement revised sewer and storm drainage user fees, connection fees, and impact fees designed to ensure equitability in charging customers relative to impact on the sewer and storm drainage systems.	600,000	3.3%	Implementing a revised rate structure ensures an equitable revenue base for program support; delaying increases costs of implementation at a later time.
12.	Engineering & Architectural (3161) - Status Quo from FY91	Provides funds for various on-go- ing programs in support of engi- neering efforts in support of op- erations and maintenance.	120,000	0.7%	Elimination of these contracts will slow engineering support for the O&M sections by curtailing the ability of the department to seek outside assistance to augment the capabilities of current staff.
13.	Maintenance and Repair - Channel Cleaning Contracts	Provides funds to contract to clean levees and channels during late summer in advance of the rainy season.	20,000	0.1%	Storm Drainage Organization (3165) would have to include this essential work with other preventive maintenance projects. Other maintenance work such as line cleanings most likely would be curtailed.

RESOLUTION NO.

CITY CLERK

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COLINCIL

	ADDITION THE SACIAMENTO CITY COUNCIL
	ON DATE OF
	RESOLUTION OF FINDINGS ON INCREASED STORM DRAINAGE SERVICE FEES
made a presenta	e City Council has held a public hearing on storm drainage service fees on May 22, 1991, at which staff ation including a report and at which public testimony was heard and said City Council recommended increased storm drainage service fee schedule, and
WHEREAS, the	: City Council on June 25, 1991, at a regular public council meeting, received the following information:
(1) A:	staff report on the proposed increased storm drainage fees;
(2) Or	al presentation by City staff;
(3) Pul	blic testimony from interested parties.
makes the follow	ORE, based on this data and all information part of the public record on this matter, the City Council ving finding to comply with Government Code Section 210809 (b)8 to establish that the passing of the drainage service fees of even date herewith serves the following purposes:
(1) The	e storm drainage fees will provide funds to meet operating expenses.
	e storm drainage fees will permit the generation of necessary cash flow to finance maintenance of the tem and meet financial reserves requirements.
(3) Th	e storm drainage fees will permit the purchase of necessary equipment for the storm drainage system.
	e storm drainage fees are essential as a source of funds for capital projects necessary to maintain the orm drainage system.
specific finding c	ORE, based on this information and the public words, the Council of the City of Sacramento makes the ontained herein as well as all other matters in the public record as its basis for the resolution establishing of even date herewith.
ATTEST:	MAYOR

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.:	
DATE ADOMED	
DATE ADOPTED:	

RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF _____

	·	s and charges to take effect on July 1, 1991.		RATE
[. S	TORM DRAINAGE SERVICE RA	ATES - RESIDENCE		CODE
S	ingle-family residence:	1-3 rooms	6.02	300
	,	4-5 rooms	7.67	300
		6-7 rooms	9.06	300
		8-9 rooms	10.70	300
		10-15 rooms	12.20	300
		over 15, each additional room	.95	300
ŀ	Multiple-family residence	e:		
	•	harged the same as a single-family residence		
11. 5	STORM DRAINAGE SERVICE RA	ATES - MONTHLY COMMERCIAL		
	For each 10 000 so ft o	f cross surface area of fraction thereof	15,43	330
ŀ	linimum Rate	f gross surface area of fraction thereof y contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, t	15,43 6.71	30
! !	dinimum Rate There commercial property the treated as one proper notwithstanding the fact byners of divided interes	f gross surface area of fraction thereof y contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, to ty and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees that other fees charged to the property may be char sts. Common areas in planned unit developments or c ercial property for purposes of this division.	15,43 6.71 he common facilities wi for the common facilit ged to the tenants or	ies,
! !	dinimum Rate There commercial property the treated as one proper notwithstanding the fact byners of divided interes	y contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, t ty and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees that other fees charged to the property may be char sts. Common areas in planned unit developments or c	15,43 6.71 he common facilities wi for the common facilit ged to the tenants or	300 ll ies,
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Minimum Rate Where commercial property the treated as one proper notwithstanding the fact the puners of divided interes whall be treated as comments	y contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, t ty and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees that other fees charged to the property may be char sts. Common areas in planned unit developments or c ercial property for purposes of this division.	15,43 6.71 he common facilities wi for the common facilit ged to the tenants or	300 ll ies,
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Minimum Rate Where commercial property the treated as one proper notwithstanding the fact the puners of divided interes whall be treated as comments	y contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, t ty and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees that other fees charged to the property may be char sts. Common areas in planned unit developments or c ercial property for purposes of this division.	15,43 6.71 he common facilities wi for the common facilit ged to the tenants or	300 ll ies,
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Minimum Rate Where commercial property the treated as one proper notwithstanding the fact the puners of divided interes whall be treated as comments	y contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, t ty and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees that other fees charged to the property may be char sts. Common areas in planned unit developments or c ercial property for purposes of this division.	15,43 6.71 he common facilities wi for the common facilit ged to the tenants or	300 ll ies,
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Minimum Rate Where commercial property the treated as one proper notwithstanding the fact the puners of divided interes whall be treated as comments	y contains common facilities, e.g., a parking lot, t ty and the owner shall be liable for payment of fees that other fees charged to the property may be char sts. Common areas in planned unit developments or c ercial property for purposes of this division.	15,43 6.71 he common facilities wi for the common facilit ged to the tenants or	300 ll ies,

DATE ADOPTED: _____