






## SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

December 24, 1979

Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento 915 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814



CITY GOVERNING BOARD

PHILLIP L. ISENBERG, MAYOR PATRICK B. DONOVAN BLAINE H. FISHER SUBJECT: THOMAS R. HOEBER DOUGLAS N. POPE JOHN ROBERTS

> ANNE RUDIN DANIEL E. THOMPSON

LLOYD CONNELLY Honorable Members in Session: CITY MANACER'S OFFICE

PUBLIC HEARING - Final Selection of REDE

COMPANY, a joint venture, as Redeveloper

of Parcel 1B in Block 224

# COUNTY GOVERNING BOARD SUMMARY

ILLA COLLIN

(916) 444-9210 ment.

C. TOBIAS (TOBY) JOHNSON Attached is a resolution to be adopted subsequent to the JOSEPH E. (TED) SHEEDY public hearing by which you approve the final selection SANDRA R. SMOLEY Public hearing by which you approve the final selection FRED G. WADE OF Rede Company, a joint venture consisting of Tecon Corporation, Rust and Armenis Professional Corporation, Con-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR tinental Heller Corporation, Capitol Mall Management Cor-WILLIAM G. SELINE poration and Lenard S. Zipperian and Judith M. Zipperian, as the Redevelopers of Parcel 1B in Block 224, located in P.O. Box 1834 the block bounded by 6th, 7th, I and J Streets in Redevelop-SACRAMENTO. CA 95809 ment Project No. 4. The resolution also authorizes execu-630 | STREET tion of the Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelop-

#### BACKGROUND

By resolution adopted November 20, 1979, you approved tentative selection of Rede Company, a joint venture as the Redeveloper of the above mentioned parcel in Project No. 4.

The developers will construct a four or five level office building above two levels of underground parking. structure will contain approximately 60,000 square feet. Use of the building shall be commercial and office, with the Redeveloper occupying approximately two-thirds of the space through condominium ownership.

FILED By the City Council Office of the City Clerk

opt, to

Attached for your information are the "Schedule of Performances" and "Scope of Development".

FER 5 1980

FILED SACRAMENTO MEDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

### SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento Page Two

December 24, 1979

#### FINANCIAL DATA

٤.

The purchase price for this parcel is \$198,000.00 (\$7.75 per square foot). The Redeveloper has submitted a good faith deposit in the amount of \$10,000. This deposit will be held by the Agency until completion of the improvements to the satisfaction of the Agency.

#### VOTE AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMISSION

At its meeting of December 17, 1979, the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Commission, following duly noticed public hearing, adopted a motion recommending that you take the above mentioned action. The vote was as follows:

AYES: Luevano, Serna, Teramoto, Knepprath

NOES: Fisher, Walton

ABSENT: Coleman, A. Miller, B. Miller

Minority Position: Mr. Fisher had moved that the option extension fee and construction period extension fee (see attached excerpt from Contract) be based upon the prime interest rate, (divided by 365 days) times the purchase price, rather than on the one one-hundredth of one percent (.01%) of the purchase price with a minimum of \$50.00/day as specified in the Contract for each day construction is delayed. This would have the effect of making the option extension fee approximately \$65.00 per day on this Contract instead of the suggested minimum. Mr. Fisher's amendment failed by a vote of three to two. Fisher and Walton subsequently voted against the motion for approval of the Contract because of the proposed fees and not because they were opposed to the Developer.

### RECOMMENDATION

It is my recommendation that you adopt the attached resolution.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM G. SELINE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

TRASMITTAL TO COUNCIL:

WALTER J. SLIPE, City Manager

Contact Person: Robert E. Roche

#### EXHIBIT "E"

#### SCHEDULE OF PERFORMANCES

 Redeveloper shall prepare and submit to the Agency and to the Architectural Review Board Preliminary Plans for Redeveloper's Improvements. Within three (3) months after the effective date of the Agreement.

 Redeveloper shall make application to the City Planning Commission for the necessary Special Permit for parking. Within three (3) months after the effective date of the Agreement.

3. The Agency and the Architectural Review Board shall approve or disapprove Redeveloper's Preliminary Plans, and the Planning Commission shall approve or disapprove the parking permit.

Within six (6) weeks after submission of such Preliminary Plans.

4. Redeveloper shall prepare and submit Final Construction Plans to the Agency, the Architectural Review Board and the City Building Department.

Within five (5) months after the approval of Redeveloper's Preliminary Plans.

5. The Agency and the Architectural Review Board shall approve or disapprove Redeveloper's Final Construction Plans.

Within one (1) month after submission of such Final Construction Plans.

 Redeveloper shall submit a written commitment for construction financing to the Agency. Within five (5) months after the effective date of the Agreement.

 The Agency shall approve or disapprove Redeveloper's Evidence of Financing. Within one (1) month after submission of such Evidence of Financing.

8. Redeveloper shall deposit the Purchase Price for the Property into escrow.

Within two (2) weeks after approval of Redeveloper's Final Construction Plans and Evidence of Financing.

- 9. Agency shall deposit the Deed for the Property into escrow.
- 10. The Purchase Price for the Property shall be paid to the Agency, the Deed delivered to the Redeveloper, and escrow shall be closed.
- 11. Redeveloper shall commence construction of the Improvements on the Property.
- 12. Redeveloper shall complete construction of the Improvements on the Property.

Within two (2) weeks after approval of Redeveloper's Final Construction Plans and Evidence of Financing.

Within two (2) weeks after the Agency deposits the Deed into escrow.

Within two (2) weeks after the close of escrow or issuance of a Building Permit, whichever occurs later.

Within fourteen (14) months after commencement of construction.

#### EXHIBIT "F"

#### SCOPE OF DEVELOPMENT

The Redeveloper shall construct a four or five level office building above two levels of underground parking. The structure shall contain approximately 60,000 square feet. Use of the building shall be commercial and office.

The exterior facade, structural configuration, landscaping and parking design will adhere and conform to the architectural design submitted and approved for this Property at the Redevelopment Agency meeting of November 20, 1979. The exterior and landscape design will be modified to provide useful and attractive transitional space between the subject building and the existing adjacent high-rise at 630 I Street.

The Redeveloper shall expend three percent of the gross construction cost for art work and aesthetic improvements in accordance with the Redevelopment Agency and City adopted criteria.

The structure shall be stepped back from adjacent streets providing landcaped terraces at each level. It will be angled at the 6th and I Streets corner to accent view corridors and to provide a sense of "openness", both physically and visually.

Brushed aluminum and tinted gray glass shall be the principal materials used on the facade.

Parking shall be provided on-site at the rate of at least one space for each 400 square feet of gross floor area. Driveways and access to parking and loading facilities shall be approved by the City Traffic Engineer.

Redeveloper shall be responsible for installation of perimeter sidewalks.

It is the intent of the Redevelopers to occupy approximately twothirds of the space themselves through condominium ownership.

Leason Pomeroy and Associates of Orange, California, will be the consulting Architects for the project.

# (b) Option Extension Fee and Construction Period Extension Fee

In the event that the construction of the Improvements referred to in Section 301 hereof is not commenced on or before the scheduled commencement date which is defined as the effective date of this Agreement advanced by the sum of the times set forth in the "Schedule of Performances" attached hereto as Exhibit "E" up to the commencement of construction, Redeveloper shall pay to Agency, on the first day of each month beginning the month following the month in which construction of the Improvements is scheduled to commence in accordance with Exhibit "E" as defined above, an option extension fee of one one-hundredth of one percent (.01%) of the Purchase Price as set forth in Section 1 above but not less than FIFTY DOLLARS (\$50.00) for each day by which the commencement of construction is delayed beyond the date of scheduled commencement as defined above and set forth in Exhibit "E". The provisions thereof to the contrary notwithstanding, Section 707 hereof shall have no application to the calculation of the option extension fee as set forth in this Section. However, the option extension fee shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, by which the Agency and/or the City shall have exceeded the time allotted for any Agency/City functions as set forth in Exhibit "E" prior to the commencement of construction.

In the event that the construction of the Improvements is not completed within the period of construction specified in Exhibit "E", then Redeveloper shall pay to Agency a construction period extension fee of one one-hundredth of one percent (.01%) of the Purchase Price as set forth in Section 1 above for each day by which the completion of the Improvements, as evidenced by the Certificate of Completion defined in Section 307 hereof, is delayed beyond that date which is calculated by adding the scheduled construction period as set forth in Exhibit "E" to the actual date of commencement of construction. The provisions thereof to the contrary notwithstanding, Section 707 hereof shall have no application to the calculation of the construction period extension fee, if any, calculated hereunder, shall be in addition to any option extension fee calculated hereunder.

RESOI	UTION	NO.	

Adopted by the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento

January 2, 1980

APPROVING FINAL SELECTION OF REDEVELOPER AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF CONTRACT FOR SALE OF LAND FOR PRIVATE REDEVELOPMENT REDE COMPANY, A JOINT VENTURE PARCEL 1B, BLOCK 224, PROJECT NO. 4

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Sacramento is presently engaged in carrying out the redevelopment of the Capitol Mall Riverfront Project, Project No. 4; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has received a proposal entitled "Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment" (herein sometimes referred to as the "Proposal"), from REDE COMPANY, a joint venture, consisting of Tecon Corporation, a California corporation, Rust and Armenis Professional Corporation, a California corporation, Continental Heller Corporation, a California corporation, Capitol Mall Management Corporation, a California corporation, and LenArd S. Zipperian and Judith M. Zipperian, husband and wife, as Community Property (herein sometimes referred to as the "Redeveloper"), for the purchase from the Agency of the real property described herein; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to an advertisement for proposals for the development of said real property, other proposals were presented to the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Commission; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing said proposals, the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Commission recommended that the Governing Body of the Agency enter into a Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment with REDE COMPANY, a joint venture; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has examined data and analyzed various methods of disposing of said real property; and

WHEREAS, the said Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment and a Statement for Public Disclosure have been filed with the Redevelopment Agency by the proposed Redeveloper and have been available for public examination at the offices of this Agency for fourteen (14) days after public notice thereof; and

WHEREAS, based on said Statement for Public Disclosure, other information submitted to the Agency by the Redeveloper, and information submitted by the staff, the Agency finds that the Redeveloper can undertake and complete the redevelopment of said real property in accordance with the provisions of said Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing of said Proposal was duly held on January 2, 1980 by the Agency after notice as required by the California Health and Safety Code, Sections 33430 and 33431; and

WHEREAS, no other proposals were presented to the Agency at said public hearing and no one appeared at said public hearing to contest or otherwise object to the Agency accepting

said Proposal and entering into said Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment with the Redeveloper; and

WHEREAS, the Agency concluded that the public interest will best be served by disposing of such property to REDE COMPANY, a joint venture, in accordance with the terms of the Proposal.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:

Section 1. The real property in the Capitol Mall River-front Project, Project No. 4, described below, will be disposed of for redevelopment to REDE COMPANY, a joint venture, substantially in accordance with the provisions of the Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment submitted to the Agency by said Redeveloper and considered by the Agency at the aforesaid public hearing and at this meeting:

All of Lots 1 and 2 in the block bounded by 6th and 7th, I and J Streets, in the City of Sacramento, according to the map or plan thereof, and containing 25,600 square feet, more or less.


Section 2. The disposition of the land in accordance with the said Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment is the most prudent method of disposing of such land by negotiation, is in accordance with this Agency's established land disposition policy, and is hereby determined to be in the best interest of the public and the City of Sacramento.

Section 3. It is hereby found and determined that the Redeveloper possesses the qualifications and financial resources necessary to acquire and develop the land for uses in accordance with the Redevelopment Plan for Project No. 4.

Section 4. The Chairman and Secretary are hereby authorized to execute for and on behalf of the Agency said Contract for Sale of Land for Private Redevelopment.

CHAIRPERSON

ATTEST!





# SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

February 1, 1980

CITY GOVERNING BOARD
PHILLIP L. ISENBERG, MAYOR
LLOYD CONNELLY
BLAINE H. FISHER
THOMAS R. HOEBER
DOUGLAS N. POPE
JOHN ROBERTS
LYNN ROBIE
ANNE RUDIN
DANIEL E. THOMPSON

Mr. Harry O'Hagin City Clerk's Office City Hall Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Public Hearings

Dear Harry:

COUNTY GOVERNING BOARD

ILLA COLLIN
C. TOBIAS (TOBY) JOHNSON
JOSEPH E. (TED) SHEEDY
SANDRA R. SMOLEY
FRED G. WADE

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
WILLIAM G. SELINE

P.O. Box 1834 SACRAMENTO, CA 95809 630 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 (916) 444-9210 This will confirm our conversation wherein we agreed that the following public hearings presently scheduled for February 5, 1980, will be continued until February 26, 1980.

- Assignment Agreement, Old Sacramento Parcels Nos. 19 & 20, Magnolia Saloon and Lords Restaurant;
- Final Selection of Rede Company, a joint venture, as Redeveloper of Parcel 1B in Block 224; and,
- 3. Final Selection of Victoria Park Associates, a partnership, as Redeveloper of the 11th and G Streets Condominium Site.

Thank you for your cooperation.

FILED

By the City Council
Office of the City Clerk

6NT. TO

2-26-80

FEB 5 1980

Juan X

Very truly\_yours,

JØAN ROBERTS Agency Clerk

ଜ୍ଞନ୍ତୁ