



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

June 21. 1989

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

38

APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

JUN 2 7 1989

Aa 88312

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session

1231 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA

ADMINISTRATION ROOM 300 95814-2987 916-449-5571

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ROOM 300 95814-2987 916-449-1223

NUISANCE ABATEMENT ROOM 301 95814-3982 916-449-5948

AMENDMENT TO DAROSA MARINA EIR CONSULTANT AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT

#### SUMMARY

SUBJECT:

During the preparation of the DaRosa Marina EIR additional study needs were identified. These additional study needs require a consultant funding increase from \$111,214 to \$156,799. All costs will be financed through applicant deposits with no General Fund impact.

#### BACKGROUND

In July 1988 the City Council approved a contract with QUAD Consultants for \$111,214 to prepare the EIR for the DaRosa Marina development. The original scope of work for the DaRosa Marina EIR did not address two components necessary for a complete environmental analysis: 1) an evaluation of an off-site project alternative, and 2) a focused cumulative evaluation of marina development along the Sacramento River.

An off-site alternative analysis is now required in environmental impact reports to satisfy a recent court decision (Citizens of Goleta Valley vs. Board of Supervisors, 197 Cal. App. 3D-1167).

The need for a cumulative evaluation of marina development was identified in the Sacramento River Marina Carrying Capacity Study Report. This report was prepared under the direction of the State Lands Commission in response to a moratorium on marina development along the Sacramento River within and adjacent to the City of Sacramento.

•

STRUBET! - ALTERNAL TO TWINGA MARIPA UND CONSTUTANT AND PROPESSIONAN SEBUCIAS AND STRUBET.

## SEVEN IS

•

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

## COMMENCE.

June 21, 1989

# POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

This contract is being amended to insure a legally adequate EIR based on recent court decisions and guidelines established in the <u>Sacramento River Marina Carrying Capacity Study</u>.

## MBE/WBE CONSIDERATIONS

QUAD Consultants is not an MBE/WBE vendor.

## FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The consultant cost for the two additional tasks and the total amended contract amount are shown below:

Off-Site Alternative Analysis Cost	\$ 8,569.
Cumulative Marina Analysis Cost (Reaches 1-3)	37,016.
Previous Contract Amount	 <u>111,214.</u>

Total Amended Contract Amount

\$ 156,799.

All amended contract costs will initially be paid by the developer. A reimbursement agreement is being prepared by City staff to reimburse the developer for a portion of the costs from future project applicants in Reaches 1-3 of the river. No additional appropriation of City funds is required.

### RECOMMENDATION

Staff and the Budget and Finance Committee recommend that the City Council:

- 1. Approve the attached Resolution amending the contract with QUAD Consultants.
- 2. Direct staff to prepare a reimbursement agreement with DaRosa Marina.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN W. KREFT

Approved:

Administrative Services Officer

Recommendation Approved:

WALTER J. SEIPE City Manager MICHAEL M. DAVIS, Director Planning and Development

Contact person to answer questions:

John W. Kreft Administrative Services Officer

449-5381 Ext. 56

AG88025

June 27, 1989 District 8

# RESOLUTION NO. 89-504

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL JUN 2 7 1989

ON DATE OF \_\_\_\_\_

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE AMENDMENT OF THE AGREEMENT WITH QUAD CONSULTANTS FOR PREPARING THE DAROSA MARINA EIR

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO THAT:

The City Manager and City Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to execute on behalf of the City of Sacramento an amendment to the Agreement with QUAD Consultants for the DaRosa Marina EIR to increase the amount not to exceed from \$111,214 to \$156,799.

	MAYOR	
ATTEST:		
OTMV OT BRU		•
CITY CLERK		

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO.: _	
DATE ADOPTED:	



CITY CLERKS UFFICE CITY OF SAGRAMENTO AUG 21 8 59 AN '89

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

CITY OF SACRAMENTO

OPERATION SERVICES

CITY HALL ROOM 304 915 I STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-2671

916-449-5426

June 30, 1989

**MEMORANDUM** 

TO:

MAYOR ANNE RUDIN

FROM:

JANICE BEAMAN, ACTING ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

SUBJECT:

REFERRAL OF ITEM NUMBER #32-1

AGENDA OF JUNE 27, 1989

Pursuant to Council action, the following subject matter is referred to you for proper action:

Councilperson Shore requested Mayor Rudin and the Mayor of West Sacramento report back regarding the status of what the State Land Commission is doing in regards to the Sacramento River banks.

lmh/jb

cc: Councilperson Shore, Mayor/Council Office

to much

Marine San 11.00 September 10.00 September 10.

#### STATE LANDS COMMISSION

LEO T. McCARTHY, Lieutenant Governor GRAY DAVIS, Controller JESSE R. HUFF, Director of Finance



August 9, 1989

EXECUTIVE OFFICE 1807 - 13th Street 1 Sacramento, California 95814 CLAIRE T. DEDRICK Executive Officer

The Honorable Anne Rudin Mayor, City of Sacramento City Hall, Room 205 915 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814-2677

Dear Mayor Rudin:

Thank you for your recent letter inquiring as to the status of State Lands Commission studies and activities relating to the Sacramento River within the reaches of Sacramento and Yolo Counties. I understand that this request was made within a discussion which resulted from your request that city staff, in cooperation with Sacramento and Yolo Counties and the City of West Sacramento, begin the preparation of a "Master Plan" for the Sacramento River. I offer my support for such an undertaking and the assistance of Commission staff. Please let us know how we can be most helpful.

The Commission's activities in the Sacramento River arise from its management of in the State's lands within the beds of navigable waterways. Within the area of the river affected by your proposed study the Commission has leased lands for docks (both private and public), marina facilities and flood control projects, issued permits to dredge the channel, permits to search for and recover sunken vessels and has both settled and discussed boundary issues with upland property owners.

Two major studies have been completed by the Commission within the scope of the above: 1) the Sacramento River Marina Carrying Capacity Study (Study); and 2) an investigation of historical shipwrecks. The Study was completed in cooperation with the City and both counties in August, 1986. One of the major consequences

		<b>3</b>
·		
	•	

of the Study was a recognition of the cumulative environmental impacts associated with every subsequent marina development when considered in conjunction with those already existing. We believe this issue will figure prominently in the Commission's consideration of applications currently before it.

On September 23, 1987 the Commission adopted an implementation program (a copy of which is enclosed) and amended its application and environmental review processes to include additional information by which it would evaluate proposed marinas in the context of the Study. This implementation program did not adopt the Study recommendations but lifted the Commission's moratorium and offered applicants and the Commission the flexibility to evaluate projects individually. The staff of the State Lands Commission has consequently devoted a substantial amount of time to participating in the planning process and commenting in detail on river projects pending within the area which would be the subject of the master plan.

The second study, a <u>Map and Record Investigation of Historical Sites and Shipwrecks Along the Sacramento River Between Sacramento City and Sherman Island</u>, explores the historic use of the Sacramento as a river of commerce and attempts to establish the location of and information about historical shipwrecks.

The Commission and the State Reclamation Board have also entered into a major lease to govern the maintenance of existing flood control levees on the Sacramento River. The lease also provides for the consideration of additional bank protection proposals, generally rip rap, as contained in the long range plans of the Board. A major concern of the Commission in such proposals has been the resulting destruction of valuable riparian habitat and vegetation. The loss of such vegetation has both direct and indirect impacts on the survival of several endangered species avian, insect and fish.

I hope this summary is helpful as the City begins its master planning efforts for the Sacramento River. I believe we can contribute substantially to that effort and are ready to participate in any way possible. As indicated by the Study, "Left to random development, the river is rapidly reaching carrying capacity limitations in several areas." The master plan which you propose is a logical next step. It would provide a forum that is presently lacking in which the various agencies and affected interests might discuss and resolve differences and develop a coordinated approach to our mutual interests in the management of activities affecting the river.

			• ·	•

I have asked Dwight E. Sanders, Chief, Division of Research and Planning to function as the contact for city staff in this regard. Dwight can be reached at 322-7827 or at the above address. Please contact me at 322-4105 if I can be of any assistance to you in this matter. Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely,

CLAIRE T. DEDRICK

Executive Officer

Enclosure

June 30, 1989

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

LAW AND LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

FROM:

JANICE BEAMAN, ACTING ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

SUBJECT:

REFERRAL OF ITEM NUMBER #32-2

AGENDA OF JUNE 27, 1989

Pursuant to Council action, the following subject matter is referred to your committee for hearing, report and recommendation:

Councilperson Shore requested that the Law and Legislation Committee meet the week of 07-03-89 to possibly endorse proposed legislation regarding the wetlands litigation.

lmh/jb

cc:

Councilperson Shore, Mayor/Council Office

Judy Varvel, Attorney's Office