



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CITY OF SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA

95814-2700

(916) 808-8300 (916) 264-8281

CONTINUED FROM 05-25-64

April 21, 2004

CONTINUED

FROM _5-18-04

5-25-04

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: ADOPT REVISED CONTRACTORS MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

QUESTIONNAIRE

LOCATION AND COUNCIL DISTRICT:

Citywide. All Districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

This report recommends that the City Council adopt the attached resolution authorizing adoption of the revised Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire (Exhibit A) for use in all City construction contract bid solicitations and repealing Resolution No. 2002-280 adopted May 14, 2002 (see Attachment A).

CONTACT PERSONS: David Flores Jr., Administrative Analyst, 808-8277

Fran Halbakken, Planning and Policy Manager, 808-7194

FOR COUNCIL MEETING OF:

May 18, 2004

SUMMARY:

Sacramento City Code section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. On May 14, 2002, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 2002-280 establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening is not be considered a responsible bidder. A Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire is used to verify contractor qualifications.

City Council
Contractors Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire
April 21, 2004

After using the questionnaire for a short time, it was determined that there was a need to revise the document and seek Council approval of the revision. On June 24, 2003, staff was directed to suspend use of the questionnaire on any City project until Council approval of a revision was obtained.

The revisions apply to questions #6 and #8 of the original questionnaire adopted by the City Council. Revisions were made to the questions to further define the geographic region in which liquidated damages and/or Cal OSHA violations may have occurred. Staff and contractors were concerned that the questions, as originally drafted, created a potential competitive imbalance between large and small firms bidding for City construction projects.

COMMITTEE/COMMISSION ACTION:

None.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications set forth in Resolution No. 2002-280 by completing all of the questions contained in the questionnaire. Exhibit A is the proposed revised policy. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, a determination is made that the minimum qualifications are not met and the bidder is not considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on the contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet the minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

It is the intent of the questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts. Revisions to questions #6 and #8 will eliminate the perception that the questionnaire creates a competitive imbalance between large and small firms bidding for City construction projects. Considering liquidated damage and Cal OSHA project information from a smaller demographic region rather than state-wide data does not unfairly penalize larger firms who do a greater volume of work state-wide and in all likelihood have a greater number of liquidated damage claims and/or "serious or willful" Cal OSHA violation simply by virtue of the larger volume of business activity within the state.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

There is no fiscal impact associated with the proposed action.

City Council Contractors Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire April 21, 2004

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS:

Adoption of the revised Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire is not a "project" as defined by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under Section 15378. The current request consists of administrative policy and procedure making and will not cause a direct or indirect physical change in the environment.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS:

Approval of the revised Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire is consistent with Title 3 of the Sacramento City Code. This project is consistent with the City's Strategic Plan goal to retain, attract and develop a highly qualified and diverse City workforce and implement organizational improvements.

ESBD CONSIDERATIONS: There are no goods or services being purchased.

Respectfully submitted,

Francesca Lee Halbakken Planning and Policy Manager

RECOMMENDATION APPROVED:

Hen Mish for

Approved:

ROBERT P. THOMAS

City Manager

Marty Hanneman

wat A

Director, Department of Transportation

Table of Contents

- 1) Attachment A, Repealed Resolution 2002-280 Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire Strikeouts, page 4
- 2) Resolution/Exhibit A, Revised Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire, page 11

P/Active/0000Misc/Mimimum Qualifications Questionnaire 5-18-04

Repealed

RESOLUTION NO. 2002-280

ADOPTED BY	THE	SACR	A٨	131	OTP	CITY	COUNCIL
		MAY	1	4	200	2	
ON DATE OF		ant Ji		_			

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING STANDARD MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS FOR BIDDERS ON COMPETITIVELY BID CONTRACTS FOR PUBLIC PROJECTS

WHEREAS, on MAY 1 4 2002. 2002, the Sacramento City Council adopted Ordinance No. 2002-013, amending Section 3.60.020 of the Sacramento City Code to authorize the City Council, by resolution, to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid contracts for public projects.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO THAT:

- 1. The standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid contracts for public projects that are set forth in the Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire attached hereto as Exhibit A are hereby adopted.
- 2. Bidders on competitively bid contracts for public projects shall demonstrate compliance with the standard minimum qualifications by completing all of the questions contained in the Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, falls to submit a fully completed Questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Section 3.60.020 (as amended by Ordinance No. 2002-013). If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet the standard minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY
RESOLUTION NO. 2002-280
DATE ADOPTED: MAY 1 4 2002

3.	This resolution shall become effective 30 days amending Section 3,60.020 of the Sacrament Standard Minimum Qualifications.	after the adoptio o City Code autho	п of the ordinance orizing adoption of
		MAYOR	aya
ATTE CITY	aria G. Burre		
	FOR CITY CLERK USE O	ONLY RESOLUTION NO. DATE ADOPTED:	2002-280 MAY 1 4 2002

EXHIBIT A MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code section 3.80.020 authorizes the Sacramento City standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public was standard.	
projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder mee	t such minimum
qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. 2001, the City Council adopted Resolution No, establishing	these standard
minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidd these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not	
responsible bidder.	

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. ______ by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for the purposes of verification, investigation, and; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

RESOLUTION NO. 2002-280

Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire
Page 1 of 5

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE:	All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.
	The firm submitting this questionnaire shall not be considered a responsible bidder if the answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if the firm submits a questionnaire that is not fully completed or contains false information.

	sification of by firm:	Expirat		(S) Of Camornia Contractor Scicerise Number(s)
Has	your firm's c	contracto	r's licens	e been revoked at any time in the last five years?
	Yes		No	
heha	ilf, or paid fo firm was co	or comple	etion of a	surety firm completed a contract on your firm's contract to which your firm was a party, because default or was terminated for cause by the project
	Yes		No	
inella	gible to bld	on or t	oe award works c	nimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm led a public works contract, or perform as a ontract, pursuant to either California Labor Code ction 1777.7 (copies attached)?
	Yes		No	

Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire
Page 2 of 5

RESOLUTION NO. 2002-280 MAY 1 4 2002

5.	parti gove	ners been	convicted	of a cris	ne involvin	g the awarding o	owners, officers or f a contract for a sof a government
		Yes		No			
6.	Ans	wer <u>either</u> s	ubsection	A or B, a	is applicab l	l e :	
	-A.	within the	e last five a total c	years: f 15 day	WithIn the	se five years, h <mark>as</mark> :	tracts for the City the City assessed ages for failure to
	liqui		ages on a	a Čity co	ntract with	in the last five yea	'a assessment of ars, you need not
		Yes		No		Not applicable	
	-B.	within the	a last five	years: V of 15 days	Vithin the k	ast three years, h	ntracts for the City as your firm been as on government
	2986	essment of	liquidate	d damag	es on a go	overnment contra	n challenging an ct within the last to this question.
		Yes		No		Not applicable	
7.						arred from bidding truction contract fo	on, or completing, or any reason?
	deb	TE: If there arment, yo etion.	is a pe	nding ad not inclu	lministrati ude that d	ve or court actic lebarment in res	on challenging a ponding to this
		Yes		No			
						Minimum Qualifica	tions Questionnaire Page 3 of 5
							1 NO. 2002-280

8

MAY 1 4 2002

8.	agai	Has CAL OSHA or federal OSHA assessed a total of three or more penalties against your firm for any "serious" or "willful" violation at any time within the last three years?								
		Yes		No						
9.		e last three ye workday case		s your firm had a three year average incident rate for total eding 4.5?						
				present the number of lost workday cases per 100 full- be calculated as: (N/EH)x200,000, where						
		N		number of lost workday cases (as defined by the U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)						
	,	EH	=	total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year						
		200,000	=	base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)						
		Yes		No						
10.	Man eithe which NOT asse	agement Dist er against you th your firm wi E: if there is	rict or F r firm, c as the c a pend	has the federal EPA, Region IX or California Air Quality Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties or against an owner for violations occurring on a project on contractor, three or more times? Iling administrative or court action appealing a penalty not include that penalty assessment in responding to						
		Yes		No						
11.	Man	agement Dist	rict or i	has the federal EPA, Region IX or California Air Quality Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single ur firm, or against an owner for a violation occurring on a was the contractor, for a penalty amount over \$100,000?						
	2354	E: If there is assment, you question.	a pend ı need	ling administrative or court action appealing a penalty not include that penalty assessment in responding to						
		Yes		No						
				Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire Page 4 of 5						
				DESOLUTION NO. 2002-280						

MAY 1 4 2002

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

this Minimum in these Quathose matte be true. I de	n Qualifications G estionnaire answers a stated on inforr	Questionnaire, and know their ers are true of my own knowl mation and belief, and as to the	ed all the foregoing answers to contents. The matters stated edge and belief, except as to lose matters I believe them to the State of California that the
Signed at _			_, on
	(Location)		(Date)
	Sig	gnature:	
	Pr	int name:	
	Tit	le:	
NOTE:	If two or more an within the Joint Questionnaire.	itities submit a bid on a contract Venture must submit a sep	as a Joint Venture, each entity erete Minimum Qualifications

Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire
Page 5 of 5

RESOLUTION NO. 2002-280

RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL

ON DATE OF
ADOPT REVISED CONTRACTORS MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE
BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO THAT:
 The revised Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire (Exhibit A) be adopted for use in all City construction contract bid solicitations effective this date.
MAYOR
ATTEST:
CITY CLERK
FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY
RESOLUTION NO.:
DATE ADOPTED:

MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS QUESTIONNAIRE

Sacramento City Code section 3.60.020 authorizes the Sacramento City Council to adopt standard minimum qualifications for bidders on competitively bid public works construction projects, and requires, among other provisions, that a bidder meet such minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening to be considered responsible. The City Council adopted Resolution No. 2002-280, establishing these standard minimum qualifications. Pursuant to City Code section 3.60.020, a bidder failing to meet these minimum qualifications at the time of bid opening shall not be considered a responsible bidder.

All bidders must demonstrate compliance with the minimum qualifications established by Resolution No. <u>2002-280</u> by completing all of the questions contained in this questionnaire. If a bidder answers "yes" to any single question, fails to submit a fully completed questionnaire, or submits false information, this will result in a determination that the minimum qualifications are not met, and the bidder shall not be considered a responsible bidder for purposes of bidding on this contract. If two or more entities submit a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the Joint Venture must separately meet these minimum qualifications for the Joint Venture to be considered a responsible bidder.

The City of Sacramento ("City") shall make its determination on the basis of the submitted questionnaire, as well as any relevant information that is obtained from others or as a result of investigation by the City. While it is the intent of this questionnaire to assist the City in determining whether bidders possess the minimum qualifications necessary to submit bids on the City's competitively bid public works construction contracts, the fact that a bidder submits a questionnaire demonstrating that it meets these minimum qualifications shall not in any way limit or affect the City's ability to: (1) review other information contained in the bid submitted by the bidder, and additional relevant information, and determine whether the contractor is a responsive and/or responsible bidder; or (2) establish pre-qualification requirements for a specific contract or contracts.

By submitting this questionnaire, the bidder consents to the disclosure of its questionnaire answers: (i) to third parties for the purposes of verification, investigation, and; (ii) in connection with any protest, challenge or appeal of any action taken by the City; and (iii) as required by any law or regulation, including without limitation the California Public Records Act (Calif. Gov't Code sections 6250 et seq.). Each questionnaire must be signed under penalty of perjury in the manner designated at the end of the form, by an individual who has the legal authority to bind the bidder submitting the questionnaire. If any information provided by a bidder becomes inaccurate, the bidder shall immediately notify the City and provide updated accurate information in writing, under penalty of perjury.

FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY	
RESOLUTION NO.:	
DATE ADOPTED:	

QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE:		(corpor questic owners	All of the following questions regarding "your firm" refer to the firm (corporation, partnership or sole proprietor) submitting this questionnaire, as well as any firm(s) with which any of your firm's owners, officers, or partners are or have been associated as an owner, officer, partner or similar position within the last five years.					
		respon the firm	sible bidd	er if the a a questic	s questionnaire shall not be considered a answer to any of these questions is "yes", or if onnaire that is not fully completed or contains			
1.	Class held	sification by firm:	& Expirat	ion Date	e(s) of California Contractor's License Number(s)			
2. Has a contractor's license held by your firm and/or any owner, officer your firm been revoked at anytime in the last five years?					y your firm and/or any owner, officer or partner of e in the last five years?			
		Yes		No				
3.	behal	lf, or paid īrm was c	for comple	tion of a	surety firm completed a contract on your firm's contract to which your firm was a party, because default or was terminated for cause by the project			
		Yes		No				
4.	subco section	ble to bi entractor on n 1777.	d on or b on a public	e award works.co ng wage	nimum qualifications questionnaire, is your firm ded a public works contract, or perform as a ontract, pursuant to either California Labor Code e violations) or Labor Code section 1777.7			
		Yes		No				
			EOE	CITY	CLERK USE ONLY			
			i Or	CHIL				
					RESOLUTION NO.:			

Rev. 4/21/04

DATE ADOPTED: _____

5.	At any time during the last five years, has your firm, or any of its owners, officers or partners been convicted of a crime involving the awarding of a contract for a government construction project, or the bidding or performance of a government contract?						
		Yes		No			
6.	fourte Sacra	een (14) ca	lendar d County	ays or	rour firm been assessed liquidated damages of more on any project performed for the City of amento or any of the incorporated cities within		
		Yes	С	No			
	liquid	dated dama	ges on a	a City co	rt action challenging the City's assessment of ontract within the last five years, you need not ding to this question.		
7.	In the any g	last three yovernment	ears has agency c	your fire or public	n been debarred from bidding on, or completing, works construction contract for any reason?		
	NOTE deba ques	rment, you	is a per need i	nding a not incl	dministrative or court action challenging a ude that debarment in responding to this		
		Yes		No			
8.	any "s projed	serious" or ' ct performed	"willful" v I for the (riolation City of S	of three or more penalties against your firm for at any time within the last three years for any acramento, the County of Sacramento or any of amento County?		
	asses	E: If there is ssment, you uestion.	a pendi เ need n	ng admi ot inclu	inistrative or court action appealing a penalty de that penalty assessment in responding to		
		Yes		No			
			FOR	CITY C	LERK USE ONLY		
					RESOLUTION NO.:		
					DATE ADOPTED:		

9.	Answer <u>either</u> subsection A <u>or</u> B, as preferred:				
	A.	In the last three years has your firm had a three year average Workers Compensation experience modification rate exceeding 1.1?			
		Yes		No	
			<u>OR</u>		
	B.	In the last for total los	three ye st workd	ears has your firm had a three year average incident rate ay cases exceeding 10?	
	NOTE: Incident rates represent the number of lost workday cases per 100 f time workers and is to be calculated as: (N/EH)x200,000, where N = number of lost workday cases (as defined by to U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics)				
		EH	=	total hours worked by all employees during the calendar year	
		200,000	=	base for 100 equivalent full-time working (working 40 hours per week, 50 weeks per year)	
		Yes		No	
10.	In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed penalties three or more times, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a project on which your firm was a contractor?				
	NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question.				
		Yes		No	
11.	In the past three years, has the federal EPA, Region IX or a California Air Quality Management District or Regional Water Quality Control Board assessed a single				
			FOF	R CITY CLERK USE ONLY	
	RESOLUTION NO.:				
				DATE ADOPTED:	

project on which your firm was the contractor? NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question. Yes No 12. In the past three years, have civil penalties been assessed against your firm pursuant to California Labor Code 1777.7 for violation of California public works apprenticeship requirements, three or more times? NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question. П Yes No In the past three years, has a public agency in California withheld contract 13. payments or assessed penalties against your firm for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements, three or more times? NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a withholding or penalty assessment, you need not include that withholding or penalty assessment in responding to this question. \Box Yes No 14. Has your firm been assessed penalties for violation of public works prevailing wage requirements in California, in an aggregate amount for the past three years of \$50,000 or more? NOTE: If there is a pending administrative or court action appealing a penalty assessment, you need not include that penalty assessment in responding to this question. П Yes No FOR CITY CLERK USE ONLY RESOLUTION NO.: DATE ADOPTED:

penalty of \$100,000 or more, either against your firm, or against an owner for a violation resulting in whole or in part from any action or omission by your firm on a

VERIFICATION AND SIGNATURE

this Minimum Qualifications Ques these Questionnaire answers are matters stated on information and	and declare that I have read all the foregoing answers to stionnaire, and know their contents. The matters stated in true of my own knowledge and belief, except as to those I belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. Lary under the laws of the State of California that the
Signed at	, on (Date)
(Location)	
	Signature:
	Print name:
	Title:
	t a bid on a contract as a Joint Venture, each entity within the ubmit a separate Minimum Qualifications Questionnaire.
FOR	CITY CLERK USE ONLY
	RESOLUTION NO.:
	DATE ADOPTED: