Sacramento Employment and Training Agency



Representing

County of Sacramento

City of Sacramento

2020 'J' Street

Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 447-6961

March 31, 1980



City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session

SUBJECT: Approval of SETA Governing Board Actions

SUMMARY

The Sacramento Employment and Training Agency Governing Board has approved three separate actions that require the review and approval of your body before such actions are considered final and authorized.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement calls for the review and approval of a number of specific actions that the Governing Board has taken. The three actions fall within the following review areas: (1) School Consortium; (2) Urban League; and (3) Libby's Cannery Worker Needs Assessment.

The Governing Board took the following actions: (1) Approved the School Consortium recommendation for distribution of the \$150,000 Title IIB augmentation funds (Attachment A); (2) Approved the SEATAC Funding Committee recommendation that \$20,500 be awarded to Urban League contingent upon a receipt of a firm commitment from CWETA (Attachment B); (3) Approved the SEATAC recommendation for \$8,000 to be allocated to the assessment for the Cannery Workers (Attachment C).

FINANCIAL DATA

Item number one involves the distribution of the \$150,000 in Title IIB augmentation funds in the following amounts:

Elk Grove	\$ 30,200	APPROVED
Folsom-Cordova	30,200	BY THE CITY COUNCIL
Grant	77,000	650 4 5 40 00
San Juan	12,600	APR 15 1980
Tótal	\$150,000	OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

Item number two encompasses the allocation of \$20,500 to the Urban League contingent upon CWETA funding the proposal that Urban League has submitted.

Item number three involves the allocation of \$8,000 for the purpose of conducting a needs assessment for laid-off cannery workers. The survey will be conducted by members of the United Cannery Workers Group and be designed, coordinated and supervised by Urban Management Consultants.

The complete background information for these items is on file at the City Clerk's office. It is the SETA Governing Board agenda package for March 19, 1980. If you wish any additional information or have any questions, please feel free to contact Janet Keyes at 447-6961.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council approve the three (3) actions of the SETA Governing Board.

Respectfully submitted,

JANET KEYES Acting Director

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

Walter Slipe, City Manager

:cg Attachment

For Agenda of: April 15, 1980

SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

1619 N STREET, P.O. BOX 2271

' SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95810
454-8335

E. T. GIUGNI



March 12, 1980 -

Janet Keyes
Acting Director
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency
2020 "J" Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Janet:

The district representatives for the school consortium met Monday, March 10, 1980, to determine recommendations for the distribution of the \$150,000 in Title IIB augmentation funds. The following are our recommendations:

Elk Grove: be funded in the amount of \$30,200. Program appears to be on target both from the fiscal and enrollment standpoint. This amount will allow extended services without greatly increasing operational costs.

Folsom-Cordova: same recommendation/explanation as given for Elk Grove.

<u>Galt</u>: not to be funded. Program is underenrolled and has had difficulties with timelines of required reports.

Grant: be funded in the amount of \$77,000. Program and fiscal management appears adequate. This amount can be utilized effectively to deliver services with a minimal amount to be used for staff.

River Delta: not to be funded. Program continues to be underenrolled.

Sacramento City: not to be funded. Program has been underenrolled and therefore underspent. With the staff now up to planned level, enrollment can be increased with existing funds. Fiscal reports have been late but program management has been assured that steps have been taken to solve internal problems.

San Juan: be funded in the amount of \$12,600 to be used for participant payments only. After considerable discussion, it appears that the program is somewhat over enrolled and this amount would allow support for that level through the project. It was felt that the participants should not be penalized for fiscal difficulties. Assurances were given that fiscal reporting is now up to date and virtually on target.

(Continued next page)

Page 2

Janet Keyes March 12, 1980

We appreciate your meeting with us and explaining the staff's recommendations. While our recommendations are somewhat different, we hope that you will realize they are made after careful consideration of many program and fiscal implications. Our considerations looked beyond "paper" difficulties, feeling that they could be easily corrected, to program quality and the delivery of services to eligible participants.

If you have questions or concerns, please contact me, 454-8335. Otherwise, we will plan to present our recommendations at the next meeting of the Governing Board.

Sincerely,

M. Frances Rosen CoChairperson

School Consortium Committee

MFR:pm

cc. School Consortium Representatives



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

LORRAINE MAGANA CITY CLERK

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

915 I STREET

CITY HALL ROOM 203

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

April 16, 1980

Janet Keyes Acting Director

Sacramento Employment and Training Agency (SETA)

2020 J Street

Sacramenia, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Keyes:

On April 15, 1980, the City Council reviewed and approved six (6) SETA Governing Board actions with the following areas: (1) School Consortium, (2) Urban League, (3) Libby's Cannery worker needs assessment, (4) Travel reimbursement for SETA Director finalists, (5) General assistance - SETA, and (6) SEATAC Recommendation - SYEP Funding.

Sincerely,

City Clerk

LM:HO'

Items 2 & 3

cc: City Manager