



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

STANDERS OFFICE ONY OF CAORAMENSO

Tim 4 4 19 PH '61

CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

725 "J" STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIF. 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5604 MARTY VAN DUYN PLANNING DIRECTOR

March 4, 1981

HRG- 3-17-81

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Lorraine Magana

FROM:

Jan Mirrione

SUBJECT:

Request to set public hearing

Van Deign Caritin Micec Vie

Please schedule the following item for public hearing for the March 17, 1981 City Council meeting. The ad for this item along with the persons to be notified are attached.

M-395

Parking Management and Alternative Transportation Incentive Program.

jm

Attachments

cc: Sheryl Patterson



CITY OF SACRAMENTO

LORRAINE MAGANA

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

915 I STREET CITY HALL ROOM 203 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5426

March 5, 1981

On March 4, 1981, the following matter was filed with my office to set a hearing date before the City Council.

Parking Management and Alternative Transportation Incentive Program (M-395)

The hearing has been set for March 17, 1981, 7:30 P.M., Council Chamber, 2nd floor, 915-1 Street, Sacramento, California. Interested parties may appear and speak at the hearing.

Pursuant to Council Rules of Procedure 4.5, continuance of the above matter may be obtained only if a written request is delivered to this office no later than 12:00 Noon the Monday before the meeting when the hearing is scheduled. If written request is not delivered to this office as specified herein, you may obtain continuance only by appearing before the City Council at the time the hearing is scheduled and request the continuance.

ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS HEARING SHOULD BE REFERRED TO:

SACRAMENTO CITY PLANNING DEPARTMENT 725 - J STREET SACRAMENTO CA 95814

TELEPHONE: 449-5604

Sincerely,

Denaise Morass

Lorraine Magana

City Clerk

LM:am :sj

cc: Sheryl Patterson

(M-395) Mailing List 25



Regional Transit

P.O. BOX 2110 • SACRAMENTO, CA 95810 • (916) 444-7591

March 17, 1981

City Council City of Sacramento City Hall 915 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Parking Management and Alternative Transportation Incentive o Program

Dear Councilpersons:

In this letter, Regional Transit would like to officially present its comments on the Parking Management Plan. These comments are similar to those presented before the City Council Subcommittee on January 28, 1981 in its discussion of this item.

Regional Transit endorses the concepts of the Plan related to implementing the Air Quality Maintenance Plan and to encouraging alternatives to the single-occupant automobile. We particularly support the transit-related measures which were recommended for enaction including those that facilitate usage, provide for improvements, encourage transit malls and facilities, develop parking space substitutions and educate the public on transit.

However, the District feels that the Plan should go further in certain areas such as in supporting measures which provide operating funds to Regional Transit. The Plan takes the first step of recommending measures which take away parking and bring riders to transit. But, it does not take the second step of recommending measures to provide for the additional service needed to meet that increased demand.

Currently, Regional Transit's peak hour trips are operating at or near capacity. To maintain this already inadequate level of service we are exploring ways to overcome a \$30 million funding shortfall over the next five years.

Thus, Regional Transit suggests that the Parking Management and Alternative Transportation Incentive Program reference or incorporate appropriate measures from the Transit Finance Study currently being conducted for the North-East Corridor Committee. These measures could take several forms: requiring direct subsidies or contributions from developers and others whose acts increase demand; providing in lieu parking space options; endorsing a parking space tax; or, endorsing a sales tax.

For example, in the case of in lieu parking alternatives, both the City and County have suggested that transit passes be purchased and provided to employees instead of parking spaces at certain commercial and industrial developments proximate to transit service. We support this measure, but, again, feel it should go several





			\$
			·
			

City Council March 17, 1981 Page Two

steps further. Pass revenues presently provide only 25% of the costs of providing service. RT is then required to fund the 75% remaining.

We feel that the developer, who obtains the benefit of providing fewer parking spaces, should share more equally with the District the burden his project places upon transit. We suggest that, in addition to pass purchase, a subsidy, perhaps equal to the total pass amount, be provided for transit and utilized as needed.

An example of a currently proposed project where a contribution or subsidy would be appropriate is the proposed Capitol Center Redevelopment Project. This project consists of a 17-story office building, a 16-story hotel and an underground parking facility on the block between L, K, 12th and 13th Streets. This site is close to a high level of transit service and is adjacent to the proposed LRT route alignment planned to operate on K Street. Because of the proximity to transit, we see a reduced need for parking at this location, but would like to see the savings passed on to transit.

In summary, Regional Transit considers the Parking Management Plan an excellent means to develop and state policies to obtain a control on the automobile problem the region is facing. However, we want to emphasize that parking cannot merely be restricted, alternatives have to be provided. RT strongly feels this Plan also should be a vehicle suggesting and endorsing measures to obtain these other transportation options.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment and look forward to working with the City on implementing this Plan. Please contact me if I or any member of my staff can be of assistance.

Sincerely

Robert W. Nelson

Interim General Manager

RWN:HC:jk

cc: Cheryl Patterson (City Planning)

				₹.
				. •
				•
				•
7				
, [†]				
f				
,				
			•	
	•			
~				
	the second section of the second section is a second section of the second section of the second section is a second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of th			