

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

OFFICE

NOV 1 3 1980

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING

915 I STREET CITY HALL ROOM 207

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 TELEPHONE (916) 449-5281 F/Ref. C.C. 1083 R. H. PARKER
CITY ENGINEER

J. F. VAROZZA

ASSISTANT CITY ENGINEER

November 13, 1980

City Council Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

Resolution Approving Negative Declaration for Mack Road/

Meadowview Road Bridge FAU Project M-F079(1)

#### SUMMARY:

SUBJECT:

The Environmental Coordinator has reviewed the subject project and finds that it will not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and therefore recommends that the project and a Negative Declaration be approved by the City Council.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

In accordance with State EIR Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, dated December 1976, an Initial Study was performed. As a result of this study, it was determined that the Mack Road/Meadowview Road Bridge FAU Project M-F079(1) would not have a significant adverse effect on the physical environment and a draft Negative Declaration was prepared. On October 28, 1980 the Negative Declaration was filed with the County Clerk. On November 3, 1980 Notice of Opportunity for Public Review of the draft Negative Declaration was published in The Sacramento Union. The appropriate length of time has elapsed for receipt of comments regarding the Negative Declaration, with no comments having been received.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Environmental Coordinator recommends that the attached resolution be passed which will:

- 1. Determine that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2. Approve the Negative Declaration.

ALECTY COUNTIL

HOV 1 2 1980

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK 7

- 3. Approve the project.
- 4. Authorize the Environmental Coordinator to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. PARKER City Engineer

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slape, City Manager

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- 1. Title and Short Description of Project:

  MACK ROAD MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Includes construction of a bridge over Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- 2. Location of Project:

The Mack Road Bridge is on Mack Road at Morrison Creek, at its confluence with Elder Creek, 1500 feet south of Meadowview Road and 2400 feet west of Franklin Blvd. The Mack Road, Meadowview Road intersection is on Mack Road, about 1300 feet north of the Mack Road Bridge.

- Bridge.
  3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Andrew A. Hunt
- A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED:

March 3, 1980

APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

NOV 1 8 1980

OFFICE OF THE

Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation

R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

## CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

- 1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

  MACK ROAD MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Includes construction of bridge over

  Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- 2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

  The setting of the project is a subdivided area or an area where subdivisions have been bonded, but not yet completed. A mobile home park is planned and apartment dwellings are planned. The site is south of Meadowview Road and northwest of the present intersection of Mack Road and Franklin Blvd.
- 3. Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- 4. Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))
   This project is not in conflict with existing zoning or plans.

Date March 3, 1980 ·

Marchand Front (Signature)

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

c.c. No. 1083 Date: 3/3/80 BACKGROUND 1. Name of Project MACK ROAD - MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Engineering 2. City Department Initiating Project Andrew A. Hunt 3. Name of Individual Preparing Checklist 4. Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEQA X or NEPA FAU 5. Source of Funding of Project ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.) <u>Yes</u> Maybe No Earth. Will the proposal result in: a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures? b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil? c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features? d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? e. Any increase in wind or water crosion of soils, either on or off the site? Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake? g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? 2. Air. Will the proposal result in: a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality? The creation of objectionable odors? c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally? 3. Water. Will the proposal result in: Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements. in either marine or fresh waters? b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff? Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters? Section of the description of surface water in any water body? e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity? f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations? Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for X public water supplies?

		<u>Yes</u>	Maybe	No
•	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	_		<u> </u>
4.	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	<u>x</u>		
<u>-</u>	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			X
: :. :.	c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?		_	<u> x</u>
÷	d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	_		<u> </u>
5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?			<u>x</u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	<u>.</u>	_	X
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	_	_	<u> x</u>
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	_	_	<u> x</u>
6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in existing noise levels?	X		
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?		_	<u> </u>
7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	<u>X</u>	_	
8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?	_		<u> x</u>
9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	_		<u> </u>
	b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	_		<u> </u>
10.	Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?		_	<u>x</u>
11.	Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	X		_
12.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	<u>x</u>		_
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	<u>X</u>		
	b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?			<u>_x</u>
	c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?		_	<u> </u>
	d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	·		. <u>X</u>
	e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			<u>_x</u>
	f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?			_ <u>x</u>
14.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			

a. Fire protection?

		<u>Yes</u>	Maybe	No
	d. Parks or other recreational facilities?			<u>, X</u> ,
	e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	<u> </u>		-
	f. Other governmental services?	_		<u> </u>
15.	Energy. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	, <del>-</del>		<u> </u>
-2	b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?	= _	_	<u>x</u>
16.	Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:	1 2		
:	a. Power or natural gas?		_	<u> x</u>
	b. Communications systems?	_	· —	<u> </u>
	c. Water?			<u> </u>
	d. Sewer or septic tanks?			<u> </u>
	e. Storm water drainage?		- <del></del>	<u> X</u>
	f. Solid waste and disposal?	_		<u> </u>
17.	Human Health. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?	_		<u>_x</u>
	b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?		_	<u> x</u>
18.	Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			<u> </u>
19.	Recreation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?			<u> x</u>
20.	Archeological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?	_		<u>x</u>
21.	Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
	a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			<u>_x</u>
	b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	_	- ، ب	<u>_x</u>
•	c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but		: 12	.40
	cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.	·	. :	<u>_x</u>
	d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause	: ; -		
	substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	_		<u>x</u>

. III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (any "yes" or "maybe" answers must be explained - attached 1h Earth-An asphalt road will be constructed over existing ground with street, curb, gutter and sidewalk constructed on compacted subgrade. Water - The rate and amount of surface water runoff may increase somewhat, due to the construction of the asphalt road, an impervious area, as well as the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible. Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green grass or weeds. Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study. Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic. 11 Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population. 12. Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing to develop. 13a Transportation/Circulation - The bridge construction will cause a redirection of existing traffic and an overall decongestion of traffic. 14e Public Services - The bridge construction and intersection construction will require regular maintenance as public facilities. See attached Archeaological/Historical Study. 20 Question 2A was answered "No" because the right of way for the entire length NOTE: of the project is already deeded for the specified purposes proposed by this project Mack Road realignment from Franklin Road to Meadowview Road is now under construction and the Bridge part of this project will complete Mack Road. The realignment of the intersection at Mack Road and Meadowview Road will improve traffic conditions and decrease traffic congestion which will improve air quality. The construction of Mack Road Bridge will allow the completion of the Major Arterial according to community plans and traffic plans and also decrease any traffic congestion problem on Meadowview Road, which will also improve air quality. The amount of traffic in the vicinity of the project will not be increased by the project and the traffic congestion will be decreased.

17.	Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)
	Rigid controls will be incorporated into the specifications and maintained
	during construction to minimize dust and noise pollution, enhance public
	safety, and protect existing property. The City of Sacramento will cooperate
	with property owners by planting new trees along abuting streets at desired
	flocations.
	Tocacrons.
	•
٧.	Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera)
	If "no project" is constructed, traffic congestion now existing on Meadowview
	Road will increase due to new subdivision and developments in the vicinity.
	Mack Road would have to dead end at Morrison Creek, both on the east and on the
	west. This would go against the master plan, which shows Mack Road as a major
	through street. Also, a dangerous wye intersection would have to remain at the
	intersection of Mack Road and Meadowview Road, causing an increased likelihood
	of accidents. Also, the noise impact, as discussed in the attached study, will
	be worse for a "no project" alternative.
VI.	DETERMINATION
	On the basis of this initial study:
•	[X ] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
	[ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
	[ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.
Da	teMarch 3, 1980
	[ MANIE LITTING

Assistant Engineer

Title\_

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- 1. Title and Short Description of Project:

  MACK ROAD MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Includes construction of a bridge over Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- 2. Location of Project:

  The Mack Road Bridge is on Mack Road at Morrison Creek, at its confluence with Elder Creek 1500 feet south of Meadowyiew Road

confluence with Elder Creek, 1500 feet south of Meadowview Road and 2400 feet west of Franklin Blvd. The Mack Road, Meadowview Road intersection is on Mack Road, about 1300 feet north of the Mack Road Bridge

- Bridge.
  3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Andrew A. Hunt
- 6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED: March 3, 1980

APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

NOV 181980

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation

R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

- 1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))
  MACK ROAD MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Includes construction of bridge over
  Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- 2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

  The setting of the project is a subdivided area or an area where subdivisions have been bonded, but not yet completed. A mobile home park is planned and apartment dwellings are planned. The site is south of Meadowview Road and northwest of the present intersection of Mack Road and Franklin Blvd.
- 3. Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- 4. Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))
  This project is not in conflict with existing zoning or plans.

Date March 3, 1980

Marching Frist (Signature)

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

c.c. No. 1083 Date: 3/3/80 BACKGROUND 1. Name of Project MACK ROAD - MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Engineering 2- City Department Initiating Project Andrew A. Hunt 3. Name of Individual Preparing Checklist 4. Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEQA X or NEPA FAU 5. Source of Funding of Project II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.) Yes Maybe No 1. Earth. Will the proposal result in: a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures? b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil? Change in topography or ground surface relief features? The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake? g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? 2. Air. Will the proposal result in: Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality? The creation of objectionable odors? Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally? 3. Water. Will the proposal result in: Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters? Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff? .c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters? -d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body? Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity? f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters. g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations? h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for X

public water supplies?

•	. <b></b>	•		٠		
•	٠,	٩.		<u>Yes</u>	Maybe	<u>No</u>
	•	•	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?		_	<u>x</u>
		4	. Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:			
			a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	<u>x</u>		
			b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species = of plants?			<u>x</u>
			c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?			X
			d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?			<u> </u>
		5	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
			a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	_	_	<u>x</u>
			b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?		_	<u>x</u>
			c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	_	_	<u> x</u>
			d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	_		<u> </u>
		6	. <u>Noise</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
İ			a. Increase in existing noise levels?	X	_	_
			b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	_	_	<u> </u>
		7	. Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	X		
		8	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?			<u>x</u>
		9	. <u>Natural Resources</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
			a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	_		<u>_x</u>
			b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?			<u>x</u>
		10	Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			<u>x</u>
		11	Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	<u> x</u>		_
		12	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	X		
		13	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
			- a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	<u> </u>		
: 			b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?			_ <u>X</u>
1			c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			_ <u>X</u>
			d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	·		_ <u>x</u>
•			e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			_X
			f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?			X
		14	. Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			

a. Fire protection?

		Yes	. Maybe .	Ner
d.	Parks or other recreational facilities? .			<u>X</u>
е.	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	<u>x</u>		
f.	Other governmental services?	_		<u> </u>
15. <u>Er</u>	nergy. Will the proposal result in:			
a.	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	-	_	<u> </u>
b.	Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?		_	<u>x</u>
6∵ <u>Ut</u> a1	ilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial iterations to the following utilities:	•		
٠ .	Power or natural gas?			<u>X</u>
b.	Communications systems?			<u> </u>
с.	Water?			
d.	Sewer or septic tanks?			<u></u>
e.	Storm water drainage?		_	<u>x</u>
f.	Solid waste and disposal?		_	${x}$
7. <u>Hu</u>	man Health. Will the proposal result in:			
a.	Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			<u>x</u>
b.	Exposure of people to potential health hazards?		_	<u></u>
vi	sthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic sta or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the eation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			<u> </u>
9. Re	creation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality quantity of existing recreational opportunities?		_	<u>x</u>
of	cheological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object building?			<u> x</u>
21. <u>Ma</u>	ndatory Findings of Significance.			
	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			<u>x</u>
b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)		, <del></del> .	<u></u>
c.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.		: ,	_ <u>x</u>
d.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			<u>x</u>

1b 3b -4a -7 -11 -12.	Ton of Environmental Evaluation (any "yes" or "maybe" ensures must be explained - attached nal sheets if necessary)  Earth-An asphalt road will be constructed over existing ground with street, curb, gutter and sidewalk constructed on compacted subgrade.  Water - The rate and amount of surface water runoff may increase somewhat, due to the construction of the asphalt road, an impervious area, as well as the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible.  Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green grass or weeds.  Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
3b -4a :	Water - The rate and amount of surface water runoff may increase somewhat, due to the construction of the asphalt road, an impervious area, as well as the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible.  Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green grass or weeds.  Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
	due to the construction of the asphalt road, an impervious area, as well as the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible.  Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green grass or weeds.  Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
7 11	the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible.  Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green grass or weeds.  Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
7 11	the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible.  Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green grass or weeds.  Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
7 11 12.	Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
7 11 12.	Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
7	automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.  Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
11	Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic.  Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
11	Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
12.	Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
12.	of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
12.	density of population and alter the location of human population.  Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction  of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
12.	Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
	of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
	to develop.
13a	Transportation/Circulation - The bridge construction will cause a redirection
	of existing traffic and an overall decongestion of traffic.
14e	Public Services - The bridge construction and intersection construction will
	require regular maintenance as public facilities.
20	See attached Archeaological/Historical Study.
NOTE	E: Question 2A was answered "No" because the right of way for the entire length the project is already deeded for the specified purposes proposed by this project
	k Road realignment from Franklin Road to Meadowview Road is now under constructi
	the Bridge part of this project will complete Mack Road.
	The realignment of the intersection at Mack Road and Meadowview Road will
imp	rove traffic conditions and decrease traffic congestion which will improve air
qual	lity. The construction of Mack Road Bridge will allow the completion of the
Majo	or Arterial according to community plans and traffic plans and also decrease any
	ffic congestion problem on Meadowview Road, which will also improve air quality.
<del></del>	amount of traffic in the vicinity of the project will not be increased by the
	ject and the traffic congestion will be decreased.
	:
	•

IV.	Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)
	Rigid controls will be incorporated into the specifications and maintained
	during construction to minimize dust and noise pollution, enhance public
	safety, and protect existing property. The City of Sacramento will cooperate
	with property owners by planting new trees along abuting streets at desired
	focations.
	•
٧.	Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera)
	If "no project" is constructed, traffic congestion now existing on Meadowview
	Road will increase due to new subdivision and developments in the vicinity.
	Mack Road would have to dead end at Morrison Creek, both on the east and on the
	west. This would go against the master plan, which shows Mack Road as a major
	through street. Also, a dangerous wye intersection would have to remain at the
	intersection of Mack Road and Meadowview Road, causing an increased likelihood
	of accidents. Also, the noise impact, as discussed in the attached study, will
	be worse for a "no project" alternative.
VI.	DETERMINATION
	On the basis of this initial study:
	[x ] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
	[ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.
Dat	teMarch 3, 1980
	1 A . A A D a C   V - H. track X

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- 1. Title and Short Description of Project:

  MACK ROAD MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Includes construction of a bridge over Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- Location of Project:

The Mack Road Bridge is on Mack Road at Morrison Creek, at its confluence with Elder Creek, 1500 feet south of Meadowview Road and 2400 feet west of Franklin Blvd. The Mack Road, Meadowview Road intersection is on Mack Road, about 1300 feet north of the Mack Road Bridge.

- Bridge.
  3. The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- 5. The Initial Study was Prepared by Andrew A. Hunt
- 6. A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED: March 3, 1980 APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

HOV 181980

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation

R. H. PARKER, City Engineer

## CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

- 1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))

  MACK ROAD MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Includes construction of bridge over

  Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- 2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

  The setting of the project is a subdivided area or an area where subdivisions have been bonded, but not yet completed. A mobile home park is planned and apartment dwellings are planned. The site is south of Meadowview Road and northwest of the present intersection of Mack Road and Franklin Blvd.
- 3. Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- 4. Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))
  This project is not in conflict with existing zoning or plans.

Date March 3, 1980

Andrew Frit

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

c.c. No. 1083 Date: 3/3/80 BACKGROUND 1. Name of Project MACK ROAD - MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Engineering 2- City Department Initiating Project 3. Name of Individual Preparing Checklist Andrew A. Hunt 4. Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEUA X or NEPA FAU 5. Source of Funding of Project ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.) Yes Maybe No 1. Earth. Will the proposal result in: a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures? b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil? Change in topography or ground surface relief features? d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake? g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes. landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? 2. Air. Will the proposal result in: Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality? The creation of objectionable odors? c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally? 3. Water. Will the proposal result in: Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements. in either marine or fresh waters? b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff? Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters? -d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body? Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity? f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or X excavations? h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for X public water supplies?

		<u>Yes</u>	Maybe	<u>No</u>
•	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?	_		<u> </u>
4.	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	<u>x</u>		_
<del>-</del>	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			X
:	c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?			<u> </u>
	d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?		_	<u> </u>
5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?			<u> </u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	<u>.</u>	—	<u>x</u>
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?			<u>_x</u>
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?			<u> x</u>
6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in existing noise levels?	<u> </u>	_	_
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?			<u> </u>
7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	X		
8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?			<u>x</u>
9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?			<u> </u>
	b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?	_		<u> </u>
10.	Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			<u> x</u>
n.	Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	<u>x</u>	_	_
12.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	<u>x</u>		
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	<u>X</u>		_
•				<u>_x</u>
	c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?		_	<u>_x</u>
,	d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?	:. <u> </u>	_	X
	e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			_X
	f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?			X
14.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:		· ——	•

a. Fire protection?

			<u>Yes</u>	<u>Maybe</u>	No
	d.	Parks or other recreational facilities?		<u> </u>	<u>X</u> .
	e.	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	<u> </u>		·
	f.	Other governmental services?		_	<u> </u>
15.	Ene	rgy. Will the proposal result in:			
	a.	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	_		<u> x</u>
-	b.	Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?	-		<u> x</u>
16.	Uti	lities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial erations to the following utilities:	1 - 2		
•	a.	Power or natural gas?			<u> x</u>
	b.	Communications systems?	_		<u> </u>
	c.	Water?			<u>-X</u>
	d.	Sewer or septic tanks?			_X
	e.	Storm water drainage?			X
	f.	Solid waste and disposal?	_		<u></u>
17.	Hum	an Health. Will the proposal result in:	_	<del></del>	-
	a.	Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?	_		<u>x</u>
	b.	Exposure of people to potential health hazards?		_	<u> x</u>
18.	VIS	thetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic ta or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the ation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	_		<u> x</u>
19.	Rec	reation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality quantity of existing recreational opportunities?	_	_	<u>_X</u>
20.	of .	heological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object building?	_		<u> x</u>
21 .	Man	datory Findings of Significance.			
	a.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		. <u>-</u>	<u>x</u>
	b.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	<del></del>	· . —	<u>, x</u>
•	c.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but	•	: ):	
		cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.	V 21	. : . —	<u> </u>
	d.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause	: : <sup></sup>		
		substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			<u> </u>

117. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (any "yes" or "maybe" answers must be explained - attached Earth-An asphalt road will be constructed over existing ground with street, curb, gutter and sidewalk constructed on compacted subgrade. 3b Water - The rate and amount of surface water runoff may increase somewhat. due to the construction of the asphalt road, an impervious area, as well as the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible. Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green grass or weeds. Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to :ба automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study. Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile traffic. Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction 11 of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the density of population and alter the location of human population. 12. Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing to develop. 13a Transportation/Circulation - The bridge construction will cause a redirection of existing traffic and an overall decongestion of traffic. 14e Public Services - The bridge construction and intersection construction will require regular maintenance as public facilities. 20 See attached Archeaological/Historical Study. Question 2A was answered "No" because the right of way for the entire length of the project is already deeded for the specified purposes proposed by this project Mack Road realignment from Franklin Road to Meadowview Road is now under construction and the Bridge part of this project will complete Mack Road. The realignment of the intersection at Mack Road and Meadowview Road will improve traffic conditions and decrease traffic congestion which will improve air quality. The construction of Mack Road Bridge will allow the completion of the Major Arterial according to community plans and traffic plans and also decrease any traffic congestion problem on Meadowview Road, which will also improve air quality. The amount of traffic in the vicinity of the project will not be increased by the project and the traffic congestion will be decreased.

IV.	Mitigation measures proposed to minimize environmental impacts for the project as identified above. (Explain in detail - if none, so state)
	Rigid controls will be incorporated into the specifications and maintained
	during construction to minimize dust and noise pollution, enhance public
	safety, and protect existing property. The City of Sacramento will cooperate
	with property owners by planting new trees along abuting streets at desired
	flocations.
	•
v.	Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera)
	If "no project" is constructed, traffic congestion now existing on Meadowview
	Road will increase due to new subdivision and developments in the vicinity.
	Mack Road would have to dead end at Morrison Creek, both on the east and on the
	west. This would go against the master plan, which shows Mack Road as a major
	through street. Also, a dangerous wye intersection would have to remain at the
	intersection of Mack Road and Meadowview Road, causing an increased likelihood
	of accidents. Also, the noise impact, as discussed in the attached study, will
	be worse for a "no project" alternative.
<b>V</b> 1.	DETERMINATION
	On the basis of this initial study:
	[x ] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
	[ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
	[ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.
Das	te March 3, 1980  Andrew Signature
	C C (Signature)

#### NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15083 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures and Guidelines for preparation and processing of Environmental Impact Reports (Resolution 78-172) adopted by the City of Sacramento, pursuant to Sacramento City Code Chapter 63, the Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of Sacramento County, State of California this Negative Declaration regarding the project described as follows:

- ı. Title and Short Description of Project: MACK ROAD - MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE - Includes construction of a bridge over Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- 2. Location of Project:

The Mack Road Bridge is on Mack Road at Morrison Creek, at its confluence with Elder Creek, 1500 feet south of Meadowview Road and 2400 feet west of Franklin Blvd. The Mack Road, Meadowview Road intersection is on Mack Road, about 1300 feet north of the Mack Road

- Bridge.
  The Proponent of the Project: City of Sacramento 3.
- 4. It is found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. A copy of the initial study is attached, which documents the reasons supporting the above finding and any mitigation measures included in the project to avoid any potentially significant effects identified in the initial study.
- The Initial Study was Prepared by Andrew A. Hunt 5.
- A copy of the Initial Study and this Negative Declaration 6. may be obtained at 915 - I Street, Room 207, Sacramento, California 95814.

DATED:

March 3, 1980

PPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

(10V 1 8 1980

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

Environmental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, California, a municipal corporation ...

PARKER, City Engineer

## CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY

References are to California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Article 7, Section 15080.

- 1. Title and Description of Project (15080(c)(1))
  MACK ROAD MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Includes construction of bridge over
  Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lined, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
- 2. Environmental Setting (15080(c)(2))

  The setting of the project is a subdivided area or an area where subdivisions have been bonded, but not yet completed. A mobile home park is planned and apartment dwellings are planned. The site is south of Meadowview Road and northwest of the present intersection of Mack Road and Franklin Blvd.
- Environmental Effects Attached checklist must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(3)).
- 4. Mitigation Measures Attached list of mitigation measures must be completed by person conducting initial study (15080(c)(4)).
- 5. Compatibility with Existing Zoning and Plans (15080(c)(5))
  This project is not in conflict with existing zoning or plans.

Date March 3, 1980

Andrew Frist
(Signature)

#### CITY OF SACRAMENTO INITIAL STUDY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

c.c. No. 1083 Date: 3/3/80 I. BACKGROUND 1. Name of Project MACK ROAD - MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE Engineering 2- City Department Initiating Project • • 3. Name of Individual Preparing Checklist Andrew A. Hunt 4. Is Checklist Being Prepared for CEUA X or NEPA FAU 5. Source of Funding of Project II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required under Item III.) Yes Maybe No 1. Earth. Will the proposal result in: a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures? b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil? X c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features? d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical X features? e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or X any bay, inlet or lake? Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? 2. Air. Will the proposal result in: Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality? b. The creation of objectionable odors? Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally? 3. Water. Will the proposal result in: a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters? b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff? c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters? X -d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body? e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?

f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters.

excavations?

public water supplies?

Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions

X

X

or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or

Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for

		Yes	Maybe	No
•	i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal wave?			<u> x</u>
4.	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	<u>x</u>		
<u>-</u>	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	•	_	<u> x</u>
: :. :	c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?			X
•	d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	_		<u> </u>
5.	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?		********	<u>x</u>
	b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	<u>.</u>	_	<u> x</u>
	c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?			<u>x</u>
	d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	_		<u> </u>
6.	Noise. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in existing noise levels?	<u>x</u>	_	
	b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?			<u> </u>
7.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal produce new light or glare?	<u>X</u>		
8.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned use of an area?			<u> x</u>
9.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?			<u> </u>
	b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?			<u> </u>
10.	Risk of Upset. Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			x
11.	Population. Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?	<u>x</u>		_
12.	Housing. Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?	X		
13.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:		_	
:	a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	X		
•	 .b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?		_	_ <u></u>
	c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			X
•	d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?			- x
	e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			X
	f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?			X
14.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

a. Fire protection?

		Yes	Maybe	No
	d. Parks or other recreational facilities?			<u>X</u> .
	e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	<u>x</u>		_
	f. Other governmental services?	_		<u> </u>
15.	Energy. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	. —		<u> </u>
	b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?	<u> </u>		<u> x</u>
16.	Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:	 :		
;	a. Power or natural gas?			<u> </u>
	b. Communications systems?	_	_	<u> </u>
	c. Water?	_		$\frac{x}{x}$
	d. Sewer or septic tanks?	_		<u>_x</u>
	e. Storm water drainage?	_		<u> x</u>
	f. Solid waste and disposal?		_	<u> </u>
17.	Human Health. Will the proposal result in:			
	a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			<u> </u>
	b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?			<u> </u>
18.	Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			<u> </u>
19.	Recreation. Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?			<u>x</u>
20.	Archeological/Historical. Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?			<u>x</u>
21.	Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
	a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			<u>x</u>
	b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short- term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.)	<b>ب</b> ېســ	. —	<u> </u>
•	c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the	s de la companya de l		<b>X</b>
	<ul> <li>d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?</li> </ul>	: -		<u>x</u>

add	CUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (any "yes" or "maybe" answers must be explained - attached litional sheets if necessary)
1	b Earth-An asphalt road will be constructed over existing ground with street,
	curb, gutter and sidewalk constructed on compacted subgrade.
3	Bb Water - The rate and amount of surface water runoff may increase somewhat.
	due to the construction of the asphalt road, an impervious area, as well as
	the curb, gutter and sidewalk. The overall effect is negligible.
a	la Plant Life-There will be a change in the amount of various spring green
<u></u>	grass or weeds.
;€	oa Noise - There will be an increase in the existing noise level due to
	automobile traffic. See attached Noise Study.
7	Light and Glare - There will be increased light at night due to automobile
	traffic.
1	11 Population - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction
	of new subdivisions already bonded and approved, which will increase the
	density of population and alter the location of human population.
1	12. Housing - The construction of the bridge will facilitate the construction
	of new subdivisions already approved and bonded, which will cause new housing
	to develop.
	13a Transportation/Circulation - The bridge construction will cause a redirection
	of existing traffic and an overall decongestion of traffic.
	14e Public Services - The bridge construction and intersection construction will
	require regular maintenance as public facilities.
	20 See attached Archeaological/Historical Study.
	NOTE: Question 2A was answered "No" because the right of way for the entire length
	of the project is already deeded for the specified purposes proposed by this project
	Mack Road realignment from Franklin Road to Meadowview Road is now under construct
	and the Bridge part of this project will complete Mack Road.
	The realignment of the intersection at Mack Road and Meadowview Road will
	improve traffic conditions and decrease traffic congestion which will improve air
<del></del>	quality. The construction of Mack Road Bridge will allow the completion of the
	Major Arterial according to community plans and traffic plans and also decrease an
	traffic congestion problem on Meadowview Road, which will also improve air quality
<del>-</del>	The amount of traffic in the vicinity of the project will not be increased by the
	project and the traffic congestion will be decreased.
	project and the trainic congestion will be decreased.
	project and the traffic congestion will be decreased.
·	project and the traffic congestion will be decreased.

14.	(Explain in detail - if none, so state)
_	Rigid controls will be incorporated into the specifications and maintained
_	during construction to minimize dust and noise pollution, enhance public
_	safety, and protect existing property. The City of Sacramento will cooperate
	with property owners by planting new trees along abuting streets at desired
-	flocations.
-	
-	
-	•
V. /	Alternatives to the project which would produce less of an adverse impact on the environment (lower density, less intense land use, move building on site, no project, et cetera)
-	If "no project" is constructed, traffic congestion now existing on Meadowview
	Road will increase due to new subdivision and developments in the vicinity.
_	Mack Road would have to dead end at Morrison Creek, both on the east and on the
	west. This would go against the master plan, which shows Mack Road as a major
•	through street. Also, a dangerous wye intersection would have to remain at the
•	intersection of Mack Road and Meadowview Road, causing an increased likelihood
•	of accidents. Also, the noise impact, as discussed in the attached study, will
•	be worse for a "no project" alternative.
•	
•	
•	
VI.	DETERMINATION
	On the basis of this initial study:
٠.	[X ] I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
	[ ] I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described in IV above have been added to the project or the possibility of a significant effect on the environment is so remote as to be insignificant.
	I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT IS REQUIRED.
Date	March 3, 1980
	(Signature)
	Title Assistant Engineer

# RESOLUTION No. 80-760

### Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of

November 18, 1980

RESOLUTION APPROVING NEGATIVE DECLARATION MACK ROAD/MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE FAU PROJECT M-F079(1)

WHEREAS, on October 28, 1980 , R. H. Parker, the Environ-
mental Coordinator of the City of Sacramento, filed a Negative Declaration with
the County Clerk of Sacramento County for the following proposed City initiated
project: MACK ROAD/MEADOWVIEW ROAD BRIDGE FAU PROJECT M-F079(1)
WHEREAS, the prescribed time for receiving appeals has elapsed and no
appeals were received.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO:
1. That the proposed projectMack Road/Meadowview Road Bridge
FAU Project M-F079(1) will not have a significant effect on the
environment.
2. That the Negative Declaration for the above-described project is
hereby approved.
3. That the above-described project is hereby approved for the purpose
of constructing a bridge over Morrison Creek at its confluence with Elder Creek (both are concrete lines, improved channels) and the realignment of the intersection of Mack Road at Meadowview Road.
4. That the Environmental Coordinator is authorized to file with the County Clerk a Notice of Determination for said project.
MAYOR
ATTEST:  AND PROVED  BY THE CITY COUNCIL
1980 to 1980

CITY CLERK

OFFICE OF THE

