

City of Sacramento
State Legislature

12

B I L L R E F E R R A L

DATE: MAR 28 1990 COMMITTEE ACTION: _____

TO: B. Masuolca, Finance Director DATE: _____

FROM: KENNETH EMANUELS, LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATE
APR 10 1990

REPLY NO LATER THAN: _____

A.B. 3591, As Amended 3-1-90 * Author Farr

S.B. _____, As Amended _____ * Author _____

*Date of introduction or latest amendment

Please review the attached measure to determine its effect upon the City of Sacramento and complete the following questions as appropriate. During your analysis of this measure, if questions arise, please feel free to contact Ken Emanuels at 444-6789, FAX 444-0303, (1400 K Street, Suite 306, Sacramento, CA 95814.) This questionnaire should be returned to the City Attorney's Office for presentation to the Council Committee on Law and Legislation. PLEASE LEAVE THE BILL ATTACHED TO THIS FORM.

NO RECOMMENDATION. If you think no Committee action on this bill should be taken, either because the bill is not of sufficient importance to the City or for any other reason, please mark here, do not fill out the rest of the form, and return this form to the City Attorney's Office. _____.

PLEASE TYPE YOUR RESPONSE

1. Briefly describe the provisions of the bill (attach additional sheets if necessary).
Creates a State level task force to deal w/ Base Closures

2. This measure should be: (Please circle desired position)

Supported Opposed Supported if Amended
Placed on Watch List Other (explain)

3. Please explain your reasons for the above determination, including how this measure effects your Department and the fiscal impact of this measure on the City. Please make your comments in a format that can be used in a letter to state officials. (Continue on next page or attach additional sheets if necessary.)

(Continue answer to Question No. 3 here)

Sacramento has a vested interest in monitoring and influencing actions related to the closure of military bases. This task force could be an advocate to help us in this effort.

4. Specify the City's legislative policy guideline(s) applicable to this measure (if any).

5. If this measure could be amended to either improve its favorable aspects or to minimize its adverse aspects, which amendments would you propose?

6. List known support or opposition to this measure by groups with which you are familiar and include addresses and phone numbers, if known. League of California Cities position:

(See also check w/ Dee Reynolds)

7. Does this bill involve a State-mandated local program? If so, does the bill contain a State-mandated waiver, or an appropriation for allocation and disbursement to local agencies pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 2231?

8. Using a rating scale of 1 to 10 (with 10 as the most important), how important do you think this bill is to the City of Sacramento? 8

FORM COMPLETED BY: Betty Masuola DATE: 4/11/90

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 3591

Introduced by Assembly Member Farr

March 1, 1990

An act to add and repeal Chapter 5.7 (commencing with Section 8330) of Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government Code, relating to military base closures, and making an appropriation therefor.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 3591, as introduced, Farr. Base Closures Task Force.

Existing law does not provide for a task force to address the economic dislocation expected from the pending closure or reorganization of federal military bases in California.

This bill would create a Base Closures Task Force, with a membership of 17 persons, and one ex officio, nonvoting member, as specified, to be selected by the Secretary of the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency, and to include representatives of a state agency and departments and various other elected and nonelected representatives, as specified.

This bill would require the task force to act as an advocate at the state level on local reuse issues and community adjustment problems, assist in the coordination of state services and resources to respond to the education and retraining of displaced workers, and to environmental, health, and economic conversion issues arising from military base closures, among other things.

This bill would appropriate the sum of \$75,000 from the General Fund to the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency for administrative and staff support for the task force for the purposes of this bill. This bill would provide that in no event shall the amount expended for purposes of the task

force exceed \$150,000 in any fiscal year.

These provisions would become inoperative on September 30, 1995, and, on January 1, 1996, would be repealed.

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: yes. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Chapter 5.7 (commencing with Section
2 8330) is added to Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government
3 Code, to read:

4

5 CHAPTER 5.7. BASE CLOSURES TASK FORCE

6

7 8330. (a) The Legislature finds and declares as
8 follows:

9 (1) On December 29, 1988, the Base Realignment and
10 Closure Commission recommended closure of 86 United
11 States military bases, including six California bases which
12 include: George Air Force Base, Victorville; Hamilton
13 Army Airfield, Novato; Mather Air Force Base,
14 Sacramento; Norton Air Force Base, San Bernardino; the
15 Presidio of San Francisco; and Salton Sea Test Base, North
16 Shore. The commission's proposal also includes
17 reorganization of five additional bases across the state.

18 (2) Following Congressional approval of the Base
19 Realignment and Closure Commission proposal, base
20 closure activities shall be implemented between January
21 1, 1990 and September 30, 1995.

22 (3) It is estimated that the statewide impact on civilian
23 employment resulting from base closures in California
24 will total 4,500 jobs. These base closures will also affect
25 another 12,800 military personnel.

26 (4) The amelioration of economic dislocation is a
27 complicated substantive and managerial task, and the
28 successful outcome of such a dislocation is directly
29 dependent on the effective coordination of
30 governmental participants at all levels, including federal,
31 state, and local, to support the needs of affected
32 communities.

1 (5) The executive and legislative branches must have
2 access to coordinated, timely, and objective information
3 on the impact of base closures on California's economy
4 and employment.

5 (b) It is the intent of the Legislature that the task force
6 established by this chapter shall serve to support, rather
7 than supplant, the efforts of local task forces established
8 in communities affected, and that local community
9 concerns be given a priority for the implementation of
10 reuse plans.

11 8330.5. A Base Closures Task Force shall be
12 established by the Secretary of Business, Transportation
13 and Housing. The secretary shall make the appointments
14 of the members of the task force by not later than April
15 15, 1991.

16 8331. (a) The task force shall consist of the following
17 persons:

18 (1) Five representatives consisting of one from the
19 community within which each base, except the Salton
20 Sea Test Base, is being closed. Each representative shall
21 be from the community's base reuse commission or other
22 local government.

23 (2) The director or secretary, or his or her designee, of
24 the following departments and agency:

25 (A) The Department of Commerce.

26 (B) The Department of Veterans Affairs.

27 (C) The State Department of Education.

28 (D) The Department of General Services.

29 (E) The Environmental Affairs Agency.

30 (3) Five representatives consisting of one from each of
31 the following groups:

32 (A) Local elected officials.

33 (B) Nonelected local officials.

34 (C) Businesspersons.

35 (D) Public interest groups.

36 (E) Labor organizations.

37 (4) The Director of the Employment Development
38 Department, or his or her designee, and the Chairperson
39 of the Employment Training Panel of the Employment
40 Development Department, or his or her designee.

1 (5) One member of the California Congressional
2 delegation's Bipartisan Task Force on Base Closing, or his
3 or her designee, as a nonvoting, ex officio member of the
4 task force.

5 (b) At least five of the nonstate members of the task
6 force shall be selected by the secretary from a list of
7 names which may be submitted for the purpose on or
8 before March 1, 1991, by the Members of the Legislature
9 representing each of the following legislative districts:

- 10 (1) Senate District 1.
- 11 (2) Senate District 3.
- 12 (3) Senate District 25.
- 13 (4) Senate District 34.
- 14 (5) Assembly District 6.
- 15 (6) Assembly District 9.
- 16 (7) Assembly District 17.
- 17 (8) Assembly District 61.
- 18 (9) Assembly District 65.
- 19 (10) Assembly District 66.

20 8331.5. The task force shall serve the following
21 functions:

22 (a) Serve as an advocate at the state level to assist
23 affected communities to address local reuse issues and
24 community adjustment problems.

25 (b) Assist in coordination of state services and
26 resources to address local needs in responding to the
27 education and retraining of displaced workers, and to
28 environmental, health, and economic conversion issues.

29 (c) Make recommendations to state agencies
30 regarding the most effective use of state resources.

31 (d) Coordinate its activities with the California
32 Congressional delegation's Bipartisan Task Force on Base
33 Closures, which was formed to facilitate the appropriate
34 allocation of federal resources to affected local
35 communities, and the state's activities to mitigate the
36 economic and environmental effects of base closures.

37 (e) Develop recommendations to improve
38 coordination of resources at the state and federal level to
39 assist communities in implementation of base reuse plans.

40 (f) Develop policies and recommendations for the

1 Legislature to respond to anticipated future reductions in
2 military facilities or expenditures, or both, in California.

3 (g) Make other policy recommendations to the
4 Legislature and the Governor, as needed, to assure
5 prompt and effective response to pressing local concerns.

6 8332. (a) The task force shall, at its first meeting,
7 determine the feasibility of participation by appropriate
8 state boards and commissions including, but not limited
9 to, the State Lands Commission and the California
10 Commission on Aviation and Airports.

11 (b) It is the intent of the Legislature that no action be
12 taken by the task force relative to reuse of aviation
13 facilities on closed military bases without prior
14 consultation with and recommendations by the
15 California Commission on Aviation and Airports.

16 8332.5. The Secretary of the Business, Transportation
17 and Housing Agency shall develop appropriate policies
18 and procedures for implementation of this chapter.

19 8333. This chapter shall become inoperative on
20 September 30, 1995, and, as of January 1, 1996, is repealed,
21 unless a later enacted statute, which becomes effective
22 on or before January 1, 1996, deletes or extends the dates
23 on which it becomes inoperative and is repealed.

24 SEC. 2. The sum of seventy-five thousand dollars
25 (\$75,000) is hereby appropriated from the General Fund
26 to the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency for
27 administrative and staff support for the Base Closures
28 Task Force pursuant to Chapter 5.7 (commencing with
29 Section 8330) of Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government
30 Code. In no event shall the amount expended for
31 purposes of the Base Closures Task Force exceed one
32 hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) in any fiscal
33 year.

O