

**RESOLUTION No. 84-267**

**Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of**

**RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A JOINT  
CITY-COUNTY URBAN DEVELOPMENT TASK FORCE**

WHEREAS, the population of Sacramento County is projected to exceed one million by 1995 and is growing at a rate of 2.3% per year, a net increase of 20,000 per year; and,

WHEREAS, the City and County governments have contiguous boundaries at the fringe of the existing urban area and have common interest in adequate provision for environmental protection, and equitable, cost effective financing of urban services in the process of growth; and,

WHEREAS, given fiscal constraints on local government there is a need for coordination of planning commitments in order to identify cost-effective, private financing or infrastructure; and,

WHEREAS, both City and County governments have policies to protect agricultural land, avoid leapfrog urban development and maintain and improve air quality and there is a need for coordination between jurisdictions in order to implement these policies effectively; and,

WHEREAS, no joint forum exists in which to address growth planning issues and to develop mutually satisfactory coordinated responses to the problems of urban development at the urban fringe in areas where City and County jurisdictions abut one another;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Sacramento that the County of Sacramento be requested to join in the formation of a City-County Urban Development Task Force which:

1. Shall be chaired jointly by the Mayor of Sacramento and the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (or their designees on the Council or Board, respectively). Each of the Chairs shall appoint not more than three other members to the Urban Development Task Force and shall also appoint members to the Technical Advisory Committee with input from the City Council, Board of Supervisors, and Community groups.

2. Shall be supported by a Technical Advisory Committee which shall be divided into four subcommittees. The Technical Advisory Committee shall review the current planning efforts of the two jurisdictions and identify any areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. The duties of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be as set forth below. The Technical Advisory Committee shall be responsible for obtaining necessary information and shall prepare any factual reports, maps and background documentation that may be needed. Members of the Urban Development Task Force shall act as chairs to each of the four subcommittees. The recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be submitted to the Urban Development Task Force for their consideration.
  - a. Infrastructure Subcommittee - Review infrastructure commitments which have been made, and the cost and financing arrangements made to provide new urban services--including water, power, drainage, sewerage, solid waste disposal, and transportation; identify any mutually beneficial cost-effective means for providing urban services.
  - b. Agriculture/Open Space Preservation Subcommittee - Review the need and opportunity for permanent protection of productive agricultural land and other open space uses, including protection of urban streams, and identify any joint opportunities to preserve in perpetuity large blocks of agricultural land.
  - c. Economic, Employment, Education and Housing Subcommittee - Review the jobs-housing link, including the county-wide balance of commercial, industrial and residential land supply, developed and undeveloped, and identify relationships between City and County zoning of land uses. The subcommittee shall also review the need for creation of a county-wide Employment and Economic Development Opportunity Plan.
  - d. Air Quality and Transportation Subcommittee - Review existing air quality plans and the strategy for maintaining air quality as the community grows, and identify additional joint opportunities to preserve air quality as the community grows; review transportation and land use coordination including congestion on local streets and roads and growth of transit services, and identify areas where joint planning and coordinated implementation can improve the circulation system.

- 3. The Urban Development Task Force shall review the goals, objectives and current planning efforts in the two jurisdictions, identify common goals and objectives and any conflicts or incompatibilities, review the recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee and identify the areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. It will develop a set of policy recommendations with respect to procedures by which the City and County can deal jointly common planning issues, and recommend general policies and goals for future planning in Sacramento.

The Urban Development Task Force and the Technical Advisory Committee shall not consider goals, objectives or recommendations regarding that portion of the North Natomas area which is located within the Sacramento City Limits. In addition, the Task Force and the Technical Committee shall not address the merits of any particular development proposal within the City or County. No development application shall be denied processing, delayed, or affected in any way as a result of the creation of this task force, nor will the task force or its committees develop site-specific recommendations for action by the City Council or Board of Supervisors.

- 4. The Urban Development Task Force shall establish a timetable and an agenda so that it can report back to the City Council and the Board of Supervisors by November 27, 1984. The final report of the Urban Development Task Force shall include a set of policies and criteria for those areas where consensus is reached and where it is important for the City and County to work together on joint planning programs. This report will provide policy recommendations to the respective commissions, Council and Board of Supervisors in evaluating future development proposals.

---

MAYOR

ATTEST:

---

CITY CLERK

# RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL ON DATE OF

## RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A JOINT CITY-COUNTY URBAN DEVELOPMENT TASK FORCE

WHEREAS, the population of Sacramento County is projected to exceed one million by 1995 and is growing at a rate of 2.3% per year, a net increase of 20,000 per year; and,

WHEREAS, the City and County governments have contiguous boundaries at the fringe of the existing urban area and have common interest in adequate provision for environmental protection, and equitable, cost effective financing of urban services in the process of growth; and,

WHEREAS, planning commitments have been made by both jurisdictions which have created community-wide expectations regarding the development of urban services, the jobs-housing link, and air quality protection; and,

WHEREAS, given fiscal constraints on local government there is a need for coordination of planning commitments in order to identify cost-effective, private financing or infrastructure; and,

WHEREAS, both City and County governments have policies to protect agricultural land, avoid leapfrog urban development and maintain and improve air quality and there is a need for coordination between jurisdictions in order to implement these policies effectively; and,

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments is engaged in a three county regional long-range planning process and the City and County of Sacramento ought to participate in that process in a coordinated manner; and,

WHEREAS, no joint forum exists in which to address growth planning issues and to develop mutually satisfactory coordinated responses to the problems of urban development at the urban fringe in areas where City and County jurisdictions abut one another;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Sacramento requests the County of Sacramento to join in the formation of a City-County Urban Development Task Force which:

1. Shall consist of a Policy Committee an Urban Development Task Force which is supported by a Technical Advisory Committee.

2. The Policy Committee Urban Development Task Force shall be chaired jointly by the Mayor of Sacramento and the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (or their designees on the Council or Board, respectively) and each of the Chairs shall appoint three other members to the Policy Committee Urban Development Task Force including a member of the Planning Commission of each jurisdiction and shall also appoint members to the Technical Advisory Committee with input from the Task Force members.

~~3. The Technical Committee shall be chaired jointly by the City Manager and the County Executive and each of the Chairs shall appoint three other members to the Technical Committee, including the Directors of Planning of each jurisdiction.~~

~~4. The Technical Committee shall provide the factual information, maps and background documentation for the Policy Committee's consideration.~~

3. There shall be one Technical Advisory Committee which shall be divided into four subcommittees on a periodic basis. The Technical Advisory Committee shall review the current planning efforts of the two jurisdictions and identify any areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. The duties of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be as set forth below. The Technical Advisory Committee shall be responsible for obtaining necessary information and shall prepare any factual reports, maps and background documentation that may be needed. Members of the Urban Development Task Force shall act as chairs to each of the four subcommittees. The recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be submitted to the Urban Development Task Force for their consideration.

a. Infrastructure Subcommittee - Review infrastructure commitments which have been made, and the cost and financing arrangements made to provide new urban services--including water, power, drainage, sewerage, solid waste disposal, and transportation--and the subcommittee shall identify any mutually beneficial cost-effective means for providing urban services.

b. Agriculture/Open Space Preservation Subcommittee - Review the need and opportunity for permanent production of productive agricultural land and other open space uses, including protection of urban streams and identifying any joint opportunities to preserve in perpetuity large blocks of agricultural land.

c. Economic, Employment, Education and Housing Subcommittee - Review the jobs-housing link, including the county-wide balance of commercial, industrial and residential land supply, developed and undeveloped, and identify any incompatibility relationships between City and County zoning of land uses. The subcommittee shall also review the need for creation of a county-wide Employment and Economic Development Opportunity Plan.

- d. Air Quality and Transportation Subcommittee - Review existing air quality plans and the strategy for maintaining air quality as the community grows, and identify additional joint opportunities to preserve air quality as the community grows. The subcommittee shall also (e) review transportation and land use coordination including congestion on local streets and roads and growth of transit services, and identify areas where joint planning and coordinated implementation can improve the circulation system.
4. The ~~Policy Committee~~ Urban Development Task Force shall review the goals, objectives and current planning efforts in the two jurisdictions, identify common goals and objectives and any conflicts or incompatibilities, review the recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee and identify the areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. The Urban Development Task Force and the Technical Advisory Committee shall not consider goals, objectives or recommendations regarding that portion of the North Natomas area which is located within the Sacramento City Limits. In addition, the Task Force and the Technical Committee shall not address the merits of any particular development proposal within the City or County.
5. The ~~Policy Committee~~ Urban Development Task Force shall establish a timetable and an agenda so that it can report back to the two jurisdictions City Council and the Board of Supervisors by ~~September 1,~~ 1984 on a periodic basis. The final report of the Urban Development Task Force shall include a set of policies and criteria for those areas where consensus is reached and where it is important for the City and County to work together on joint planning programs. This report will act as a guide for the respective commissions, Council and Board of Supervisors in evaluating future development proposals.

---

MAYOR

ATTEST:

---

CITY CLERK

24A

# SACRAMENTO ENERGY PLANNING AND CONSERVATION COUNCIL

827 7TH STREET • ROOM 120 • SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 • (915) 440-5917

RECEIVED  
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

Honorable Anne Rudin, Mayor  
Sacramento City Council

AM MAR 19 1984 PM  
7 8 9 10 11 12 1 2 3 4 5 6

March 14, 1984

Dear Ms. Rudin,

Concerned as we are with the energy costs of low density and sprawling development in the County, we support the formation of a City/County planning task force to rationalize major planning decisions in the City/County area and to coordinate them.

If a task force is established, the Council might well have a representative to provide the context for energy.

Sincerely,

*Katharine Thompson*  
Katharine Thompson *by RS.*

KT/RS:dlt

Proposed Joint Resolution Establishing a City-County  
Urban Development Task Force  
January 23, 1984

(as proposed to be amended)

Whereas the population of Sacramento County is projected to exceed one million by 1995 and is growing at a rate of 2.3% per year, a net increase of 20,000 per year; and

Whereas the City and County governments have contiguous boundaries at the fringe of the existing urban area and have common interests in adequate provision for environmental protection; ~~and~~; equitable, cost effective financing of urban services in the process of growth; and

Whereas planning commitments have been made by both jurisdictions which have created community wide expectations regarding the development of urban services, the jobs-housing link, an adequate supply of affordable housing, job-creating economic development, and air quality protection; and

Whereas given fiscal constraints on local governments, there is a need for coordination of planning commitments in order to identify cost-effective; ~~private~~ methods of financing of infrastructure; and

Whereas both City and County governments have policies to protect agricultural land, avoid leapfrog urban development, ensure an adequate supply of housing, create new job opportunities within the metropolitan area, and maintain and improve air quality and there is a need for coordination between jurisdictions in order to implement these policies effectively; and

~~Whereas the Sacramento Area Council of Governments is engaged in a 3-county regional long range planning process and the City and County of Sacramento ought to participate in that process in a coordinated manner; and~~

~~Whereas no joint City-County forum exists in which to address growth--planning issues and to develop mutually satisfactory coordinated responses to the problems of urban development at the urban fringe in areas where City and County jurisdictions abut one another;~~

Whereas, there exists a need for the City and County to develop a strong on-going relationship to ensure consistency in general policies and goals with respect to development;

Therefore be it resolved that the City and the County of Sacramento agree to the formation of a City-County Urban Development Task Force which:

- (1) shall consist of a policy committee and a technical committee.



(2) The policy committee shall be chaired jointly by the Mayor of Sacramento and the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (or their designee on the Council or Board respectively) and each of the chairs shall appoint three other members elected officials to the policy committee, ~~including a member of the planning commission of each jurisdiction.~~

(3) The technical committee shall be chaired jointly by the City Manager and the County Executive ~~and each of the chairs shall appoint three other members to the technical committee, including the directors of planning of each jurisdiction;~~ , or his or her designees, and shall consist of appropriate staff including, but not limited to, the directors of planning for each jurisdiction;

(4) the technical committee shall provide the factual information, maps and background documentation for the policy committee's consideration.

(5) It is the purpose of this resolution to establish general policies and goals for the future planning of Sacramento and to develop a set of recommendations with respect to procedures by which the City and the County can jointly deal with such issues. It is not the mandate of the Urban Development Task Force or the committees established by this resolution to develop site-specific recommendations for action by the City Council or the Board of Supervisors. No development application shall be denied processing, delayed or affected in any other way as a result of the creation of this Task Force.

~~{5}~~ (6) The policy committee shall review the goals, objectives and current planning efforts in the two jurisdictions, identify common ~~goals and objectives and any conflicts or incompatibilities, and identify the areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits; specifically the committee shall~~ policies and goals for future planning, and make recommendations on procedures whereby coordinated planning can best be achieved by the two jurisdictions. Specifically, the committee shall:

(a) review urban infrastructure ~~needs, commitments which have been made,~~ and the cost and financing arrangements necessary made to provide new urban services--including water, power, drainage, sewerage, solidwaste disposal, and transportation--and the Committee shall identify any mutually beneficial cost-effective means for providing urban services;

(b) review the need ~~and opportunity~~ for permanent protection of productive agricultural land and other open space uses; ~~including protection of urban streams and identify any joint opportunities to preserve in perpetuity large blocks of agricultural land;~~

(c) review the jobs-housing link, including the countywide balance of commercial, industrial and residential land supply, ~~developed and undeveloped, and identify any incompatibility between city and county zoning of land uses;~~ In undertaking this review, the committee shall consider the cost/benefits of development with respect to job creation and maintenance of an affordable supply of housing. The committee shall also review the need for creation of a county-wide Employment and Economic Development Opportunity Plan;

(d) review existing air quality plans and the strategy for maintaining air quality as the community grows, and identify additional joint opportunities to preserve air quality as the community grows;

(e) review transportation and land use coordination including, congestion on local streets and roads and growth of transit services, and identify areas where joint planning and coordinated implementation can improve the circulation system.

{6} (7) The policy committee shall establish a timetable and an agenda so that it can report back to the two jurisdictions by September 1, 1984 with a statement of ~~the common goals and objectives, policies and goals,~~ and recommendations, if any, ~~on coordinated means for reaching these goals and objectives.~~ for procedures by which the City and County can best coordinate their planning efforts in the future. The Task Force shall sunset as of the date of the report required by this paragraph.

## RESOLUTION NO.

ADOPTED BY THE SACRAMENTO CITY COUNCIL ON DATE OF

### RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A JOINT CITY-COUNTY URBAN DEVELOPMENT TASK FORCE

WHEREAS, the population of Sacramento County is projected to exceed one million by 1995 and is growing at a rate of 2.3% per year, a net increase of 20,000 per year; and,

WHEREAS, the City and County governments have contiguous boundaries at the fringe of the existing urban area and have common interest in adequate provision for environmental protection, and equitable, cost effective financing of urban services in the process of growth; and,

WHEREAS, planning commitments have been made by both jurisdictions which have created community-wide expectations regarding the development of urban services, the jobs-housing link, and air quality protection; and,

WHEREAS, given fiscal constraints on local government there is a need for coordination of planning commitments in order to identify cost-effective, private financing or infrastructure; and,

WHEREAS, both City and County governments have policies to protect agricultural land, avoid leapfrog urban development and maintain and improve air quality and there is a need for coordination between jurisdictions in order to implement these policies effectively; and,

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Area Council of Governments is engaged in a three county regional long-range planning process and the City and County of Sacramento ought to participate in that process in a coordinated manner; and,

WHEREAS, no joint forum exists in which to address growth planning issues and to develop mutually satisfactory coordinated responses to the problems of urban development at the urban fringe in areas where City and County jurisdictions abut one another;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Sacramento requests the County of Sacramento to join in the formation of a City-County Urban Development Task Force which:

1. Shall consist of a-Policy-Committee an Urban Development Task Force which is supported by a Technical Advisory Committee.
2. The Policy-Committee Urban Development Task Force shall be chaired jointly by the Mayor of Sacramento and the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (or their designees on the Council or Board, respectively) and each of the Chairs shall appont three other members to the Policy--Committee- Urban Development Task Force including a member of the Planning Commission of each jurisdiction and shall also appoint members to the Technical Advisory Committee with input from the Task Force members.
- ~~3.---The Technical Committee shall be chaired jointly by the City Manager and the County Executive and each of the Chairs shall appoint three other members to the Technical Committee, including the Directors of Planning of each jurisdiction.~~
- ~~4.---The Technical Committee shall provide the factual information, maps and ---background ---documentation --- for ---the ---Policy ---Committee's consideration.~~
3. There shall be one Technical Advisory Committee which shall be divided into four subcommittees on a periodic basis. The Technical Advisory Committee shall review the current planning efforts of the two jurisdictions and identify any areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. The duties of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be as set forth below. The Technical Advisory Committee shall be responsible for obtaining necessary information and shall prepare any factual reports, maps and background documentation that may be needed. Members of the Urban Development Task Force shall act as chairs to each of the four subcommittees. The recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be submitted to the Urban Development Task Force for their consideration.
  - a. Infrastructure Subcommittee - Review infrastructure commitments which have been made, and the cost and financing arrangements made to provide new urban services--including water, power, drainage, sewerage, solid waste disposal, and transportation--and the subcommittee shall identify any mutually beneficial cost-effective means for providing urban services.
  - b. Agriculture/Open Space Preservation Subcommittee - Review the need and opportunity for permanent production of productive agricultural land and other open space uses, including protection of urban streams and identifying any joint opportunities to preserve in perpetuity large blocks of agricultural land.
  - c. Economic, Employment, Education and Housing Subcommittee - Review the jobs-housing link, including the county-wide balance of commercial, industrial and residential land supply, developed and undeveloped, and identify any--incompatibility relationships between City and County zoning of land uses. The subcommittee shall also review the need for creation of a county-wide Employment and Economic Development Opportunity Plan.

d. Air Quality and Transportation Subcommittee - Review existing air quality plans and the strategy for maintaining air quality as the community grows, and identify additional joint opportunities to preserve air quality as the community grows. The subcommittee shall also (e) review transportation and land use coordination including congestion on local streets and roads and growth of transit services, and identify areas where joint planning and coordinated implementation can improve the circulation system.

4. The Policy-Committee Urban Development Task Force shall review the goals, objectives and current planning efforts in the two jurisdictions, identify common goals and objectives and any conflicts or incompatibilities, review the recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee and identify the areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. The Urban Development Task Force and the Technical Advisory Committee shall not consider goals, objectives or recommendations regarding that portion of the North Natomas area which is located within the Sacramento City Limits. In addition, the Task Force and the Technical Committee shall not address the merits of any particular development proposal within the City or County.

5. The Policy-Committee Urban Development Task Force shall establish a timetable and an agenda so that it can report back to the two jurisdictions City Council and the Board of Supervisors by September 1, 1984 on a periodic basis. The final report of the Urban Development Task Force shall include a set of policies and criteria for those areas where consensus is reached and where it is important for the City and County to work together on joint planning programs. This report will act as a guide for the respective commissions, Council and Board of Supervisors in evaluating future development proposals.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

24C

# RESOLUTION No.

**Adopted by The Sacramento City Council on date of**

## RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH A JOINT CITY-COUNTY URBAN DEVELOPMENT TASK FORCE

WHEREAS, the population of Sacramento County is projected to exceed one million by 1995 and is growing at a rate of 2.3% per year, a net increase of 20,000 per year; and,

WHEREAS, the City and County governments have contiguous boundaries at the fringe of the existing urban area and have common interest in adequate provision for environmental protection, and equitable, cost effective financing of urban services in the process of growth; and,

WHEREAS, given fiscal constraints on local government there is a need for coordination of planning commitments in order to identify cost-effective, private financing or infrastructure; and,

WHEREAS, both City and County governments have policies to protect agricultural land, avoid leapfrog urban development and maintain and improve air quality and there is a need for coordination between jurisdictions in order to implement these policies effectively; and,

WHEREAS, no joint forum exists in which to address growth planning issues and to develop mutually satisfactory coordinated responses to the problems of urban development at the urban fringe in areas where City and County jurisdictions abut one another;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Sacramento that the County of Sacramento be requested to join in the formation of a City-County Urban Development Task Force which:

1. Shall be chaired jointly by the Mayor of Sacramento and the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors (or their designees on the Council or Board, respectively). Each of the Chairs shall appoint not more than three other members to the Urban Development Task Force and shall also appoint members to the Technical Advisory Committee with input from the City Council, Board of Supervisors, and Community groups. City Manager and the County Executive and each of the Chairs shall appoint three other members to the Task Force, including the Directors of Planning of each jurisdiction, and shall appoint members to Technical Advisory Sub-Committees where necessary.

2.  
1. 2.

The Task Force

~~Shall be supported by a Technical Advisory Committee which shall be divided into four subcommittees. The Technical Advisory Committee shall review the current planning efforts of the two jurisdictions and identify any areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. The duties of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be as set forth below. The Technical and Advisory Committee shall be responsible for obtaining necessary information and shall prepare any factual reports, maps and background documentation that may be needed. Members of the Urban Development Task Force shall act as chairs to each of the four subcommittees. The recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee shall be submitted to the Urban Development Task Force for their consideration. The Task Force shall conduct public hearings and shall address the following issues:~~

- a. Infrastructure Subcommittee - Review infrastructure commitments which have been made, and the cost and financing arrangements made to provide new urban services--including water, power, drainage, sewerage, solid waste disposal, and transportation; identify any mutually beneficial cost-effective means for providing urban services.
- b. Agriculture/Open Space Preservation Subcommittee - Review the need and opportunity for permanent protection of productive agricultural land and other open space uses, including protection of urban streams, and identify any joint opportunities to preserve in perpetuity large blocks of agricultural land.
- c. Economic, Employment, Education and Housing Subcommittee - Review the jobs-housing link, including the county-wide balance of commercial, industrial and residential land supply, developed and undeveloped, and identify relationships between City and County zoning of land uses. The and subcommittee shall also review the need for creation of a county-wide Employment and Economic Development Opportunity Plan.
- d. Air Quality and Transportation Subcommittee - Review existing air quality plans and the strategy for maintaining air quality as the community grows, and identify additional joint opportunities to preserve air quality as the community grows; review transportation and land use coordination including congestion on local streets and roads and growth of transit services, and identify areas where joint planning and coordinated implementation can improve the circulation system.

- 3. The Urban Development Task Force shall review the goals, objectives and current planning efforts in the two jurisdictions, identify common goals and objectives and any conflicts or incompatibilities, ~~review the recommendations of the Technical Advisory Committee~~ and identify the areas in which coordinated planning would provide mutual benefits. It will develop a set of policy recommendations with respect to procedures by which the City and County can deal jointly common planning issues, and recommend general policies and goals for future planning in Sacramento.

The Urban Development Task Force and ~~the Technical Advisory Committee shall not consider goals, objectives or recommendations regarding that portion of the North-Natomas area which is located within the Sacramento City Limits. In addition, the Task Force and the Technical Committee shall not address the merits of any particular development proposal within the City or County. No development application shall be denied processing, delayed, or affected in any way as a result of the creation of this task force, nor will the task force or its committees develop site-specific recommendations for action by the City Council or Board of Supervisors.~~

- 4. The Urban Development Task Force shall establish a timetable and an agenda so that it can report back to the City Council and the Board of Supervisors by November 27, 1984. The final report of the Urban Development Task Force shall include a set of policies ~~recommended~~ and criteria for those areas where consensus is reached and where it is important for the City and County to work together on joint planning programs. This report will provide policy recommendations to the respective commissions, Council and Board of Supervisors in evaluating future development proposals.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK



March 27, 1984

Jack Polans  
19 Milwaukee Court  
Sacramento, CA 95823

City Council  
City Hall  
915 I Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Honorable Members in Session:

ISSUE: The Sacramento City Council Members wants to form a Task Force with the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors along City & County lines on Myriad Growth Issues of Transportation, Agriculture, Preservation, Air Quality, Water, Sewage, Drainage and Open Space Problems.

COMMENTS: (Newspaper Copy)

1. Mayor Anne Rudin:

Is for a task force consisting of 4-City Council Members, 3-County Supervisors and a Committee of 4-Citizens. After meeting with the Sacramento Board of Realtors, The Sacramento Building Industry Association, The Environmental Council of Sacramento and the Sacramento Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce, The decision was to EXCLUDE NORTH NATOMAS from decision making.

2. Councilman David Shore:

Is for a task force of 9-City Council Members and possibly including representatives from the city, county, private sector, the Sacramento Municipal Utility District, The Sacramento Housing & Redevelopment Agency and also The Sacramento Employment Training Agency. He want the City Council to agree on our by-laws and not get so picky on how the resolution is worded.

3. Councilman Thomas Chinn:

Opposes county task force UNLESS a Full Membership of both City & County are included (9-City Council Members & 5-County Board of Supervisors) in a series of workshops to TALK & NOT ACT UPON AMENDMENTS until full agreements are reached by both sides of the gathering. Unless there's a full-blown memberships of both bodies, those few people will have TOO MUCH POWER & TOO MUCH INFLUENCE on the present & future City & County Government Policies. Also, wants only City & County PLANNERS & EXECUTIVES on the task force. The City Attorney's admonishment that Elected Officials would be pre-judging & pre-empting the application process for the North Natomas and other future areas within the Sacramento City Boundies.

4. Councilman Terry Kastanis:

Has a Rival Proposal of the past Agenda that includes North Natomas into the task force talks. Otherwise, it will be a worthless City & County Workshop Program...like talking about an automobile but no reference to talking about the motor in the car.

5. Councilman Grantland Johnson:

Wants to see something in a WRITTEN FINAL FORM concerning the task force Make-up and its DUTIES & ITS POWERS.

6. Councilman Doug Pope:

Wants the City & County to just move forward for a TALK-SHOP and not worry about a high-class task force arrangement.

7. City Attorney James Jackson:

Warns the City Council Members that by LOOKING at North Natomas, it could run into LEGAL TROUBLE by its INTERFERING with an Environmental Impact Report (E.I.R) which is designated and to be adopted on North Natomas Area & Project. However, the North Natomas, without Elected Officials on the task force can be discussed.

8. The County Board of Supervisors: (writer-public interest).

May or may not want to be on a combined task force with the City of Sacramento. It should be remembered that the County Board of Supervisors has far greater experience and influence with the State & Federal Officials than that of the Sacramento City Council Members who have disagreed and irritated State Officials by referring often to the State trying to dictate City Policy to the City Council. And, as to the Sacramento Public getting into the task force act with either power or action-results...it's "get along little person, you had a busy day."

When it comes to "horse-trading" (City vs. County) the winner will be most often the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors in THE FINAL BOUT (analysis).

As a writer in the Public Interest may The Sacramento City Council Members please answer the QUESTIONS as follows:

- a). Will the Public also be invited to both speak & present ARGUMENTS before all workshop talks of the task force City & County Officials and also its Committees and as well possible Consultants ?
- b). Will the task force impliment a NEW RAPID GROWTH for the City & for the County and pass RESOLUTIONS & ORDINANCES at what rate of speed per year as to completing City Services & Public Works ?
- c). What types & how many businesses will the task force put forth would be needed and, also, what type of employment & salaries will ensue ?

What will be the QUALIFICATIONS (business oriented, writers, non-association groups, etc.) of the CITIZEN'S COMMITTEE and what powers will it have on the task force concerning MYRIAD GROWTH ISSUES of Transportation, Agriculture, Preservation, Air Quality, Water, Sewage, Drainage, Open Space Problems, etc. ?

- e). Would the task force have the POWERS to enact resolutions & ordinances ?
- f). What is the advantage & disadvantage, in reasonable detail, of having a combined City & County task force vs. that of only a City Council Task Force.
- g). What is the advantage & disadvantage, in reasonable detail, of including & excluding The North Natomas Area & its Projects ?

- h). Will the task force operate under City & County By-Laws ?
- i). Will the task force, of any type, have the POWER to Impliment the Workshop-Series of talks ? Also, will it be in a position to PRE-JUDGE & PRE-EMPT the Present & Future APPLICATION PROCESS for the North Natomas and other areas in the City ?
- j). Will there be actual "horse-trading" done between the City & County Concerning ~~REZONING~~ REZONING OF LANDS, PUBLIC WORKS, TAXES, etc. ?
- k). Will the North Natomas E.I.R. be PRE-JUDGE & PRE-EMPT by the task force on that of "horse-trading" deals between City & County elected officials ?
- l). Will the Sacramento Public receive reasonable information from the task force on a day to day basis ?

As a writer in the Public's Best Interest it would benefit the Sacramento General Public as follows:

That the City Council Members form its own task force and Setforth a PUBLIC HEARING concerning Rapid City Growth and all its RAMIFICATIONS. When this has been completed, the City Council Members may then seek a combined task force with the County Board of Supervisors concerning VITAL ISSUES to both the City & County, present & future.

or  
or

That there be a Full-Membership task force of City & County Elected Officials, PROVIDING ~~ING~~ that all Myriad Growth Issues of each subject matter has AN FULL BLOWN E.I.R. including Transportation, Agriculture, Preservation, Air Quality, Water, Sewage, Drainage, open Space, etc.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jack Polans  
Writer, Public Interest

J.P./jp: Copies

cc Sac. City Council Regular Hearing, dated March 26, 1984 and for Public Record.



**CHAIRMAN  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**  
700 H STREET, SUITE 2450 • SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

**SANDRA R. SMOLEY, CHAIRMAN  
SUPERVISOR, THIRD DISTRICT**

Ronald L. Alvarado  
Administrative Assistant  
(916) 440-5471

March 26, 1984

Anne Rudin, Mayor  
City of Sacramento  
915 I Street  
Sacramento, Ca 95814

Dear Mayor Rudin:

We wish to assure you and the members of the City Council that we do want to cooperate with the City in planning for the future of this area.

We know that you and the Council have been exploring approaches as to how best to structure a way for us to plan for growth in the area in a positive way. We also know that cooperation between our jurisdictions is best for all citizens of our community. Therefore, we want to assure you that we will seriously consider whatever approach you agree upon, and in an effort to suggest a way to "begin", we would offer this possible approach:


- 1) Technical/staff information from appropriate agencies/jurisdictions be prepared in areas which we will attach to this letter.
- 2) A series of five joint city/county meetings be planned over the next ten months so that both jurisdictions would have access to the same information which would aid us in decision-making in our own jurisdictions.


We envision this as a positive first-step toward a public involvement in planning for Sacramento's future. All of us cherish this area and we wouldn't have chosen to run for public office if we hadn't wanted to continue to make Sacramento a fine place for people to live.


We extend our cooperation to you and the Council in this endeavor to plan for the future of our separate jurisdictions remembering that this total community is our common heritage and living environment.


Mayor Rudin  
Page 2  
March 26, 1984


Sincerely,

  
SANDRA R. SMOLEY, Chairman  
Supervisor, Third District

  
ILLA COLLIN, Supervisor  
Second District

  
TOBY JOHNSON, Supervisor  
Fifth District

  
TED SHEEDY, Supervisor  
First District

  
BILL BRYAN, Supervisor  
Fourth District

jt  
enc.  
cc: Council Members

## ATTACHMENT

We envision these sessions as educational/informational in nature. They would give all of us and the community an opportunity to acquire and share basic information which will help us in planning. We see them as a means for each jurisdiction to more clearly understand the decisions made by the other jurisdiction.

### 1) City and County Planning Departments

Presentation on where we are and potentially headed as it relates to providing a healthy balance between "jobs and housing".

### 2) City and County Public Works Departments

Presentation on infrastructure particularly as it relates to roads and to drainage.

### 3) Regional Sanitation Staff

Presentation on current status and future needs.

### 4) City and County Agencies

Responsible for Municipal and Industrial water needs. Presentation on options.

### 5) Regional Transit


Presentation on ability to integrate public transportation with planning decisions of city and county.

### 6) Education

Representatives from education community to give us an overview of their current plans to accommodate our planning decisions.

*File in meeting folder*

*3-27-84*



**MEMBERS OF THE BOARD**  
JOSEPH E. (TED) SHEEOY  
ILLA COLLIN  
SANDRA SMOLEY  
BILL BRYAN  
C. TOBIAS (TOBY) JOHNSON

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO**  
SUITE 2450 / COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING  
700 H STREET / SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814/440-5411

BEVERLY A. WILLIAMS  
~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~  
CLERK,  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

March 28, 1984

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE  
**RECEIVED**  
APR 2 1984

City of Sacramento  
915 I Street, Room 109  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Gentlemen:

Re: Item No. 9, March 28, 1984, Board Agenda - Communication from the City of Sacramento - Resolution Regarding Growth Policy for North Natomas area

The Board of Supervisors at a regular meeting held on March 28, 1984, indicated their interest in the above mentioned matter, but only if the study is Countywide and the task force includes the entire membership of the two elected bodies.

Very truly yours,

*Beverly A. Williams*  
BEVERLY A. WILLIAMS, Clerk  
Board of Supervisors

BAW:cz

cc: County Planning Department  
County Counsel

RESERVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
CITY OF SACRAMENTO  
APR 4 11 05 AM '84