

34C



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER

July 8, 1980

CITY HALL
915 I STREET - 95814
(916) 449-5704

City Council
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: CETA Funding for Fiscal Year 1980-81

SUMMARY

The CETA Titles IID and VI planning funding allocations were received May 20, 1980. Title IID funding was estimated to be \$4,363,100 and Title VI \$2,850,160 for a total of \$7,213,260. The Budget and Finance Committee approved the staff recommendations of reducing the City CETA participants to approximately 395 positions and the CBO's to approximately 254 positions.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the Budget and Finance Committee's recommendations for CETA positions.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Whitehead, Jr.
John L. Whitehead, Jr.
Associate Management Analyst

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slipes
Walter J. Slipes, City Manager

APPROVED
BY THE CITY COUNCIL

JUL - 8 1980

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

5



CITY OF SACRAMENTO
CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE
CITY MANAGER

July 3, 1980

CITY HALL
915 I STREET - 95814
(916) 449-5704

Budget and Finance Committee
Sacramento, California

Honorable Members in Session:

SUBJECT: CETA Funding for Fiscal Years 1980-81

SUMMARY

The CETA, Titles IID and VI planning funding allocations were received May 20, 1980. Title IID funding was estimated to be \$4,363,100 and Title VI \$2,850,160 for a total of \$7,213,260.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

During the budget hearing for FY 1979-80 the Council approved a ratio of 75% City to 25% CBO's participant split for the 564 sustaining level positions allocated to the City by the Department of Labor, and a 20% City 80% CBO's split for projects. The regulations require that 50% of the Title VI funding be used for projects.

Based on the planning funding allocations and the Council approved ratios, the following is the recommended breakdown for FY 1980-81 participant levels: City Positions 395; CBO's 254.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Budget and Finance Committee recommend to the Council that the City CETA participants be reduced to approximately 395 positions and the CBO's to approximately 254 positions.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Whitehead
John L. Whitehead
Associate Management Analyst

Recommendation Approved:

Walter J. Slife
FOR William H. Edgar
Assistant City Manager

	<u>FY 79-80</u>	<u>Projected FY 80-81</u>
<u>Total Federal Allocation</u>		
II D	\$ 8,554,617	\$ 9,485,000 *
VI	11,472,565	6,196,000 *

Based on a 46% City, 54% County the following amounts were received by the City.

II D	\$ 3,893,724 (46)	\$ 4,363,100 (46)
VI	<u>5,277,380 (46)</u>	<u>2,850,160 (46)</u>
Total	\$ 9,171,104	\$ 7,213,260
Add 1979-80 Carryover	<u>417,546</u>	
	\$ 9,588,650	

The regulations require the 50% of Title VI funds must be allocated to projects:

VI Sustaining	\$ 2,638,690	\$ 1,425,080
VI Projects	2,638,690	1,435,080

CITY VS. CBO'S

The Council adopted a policy that 75% of the total sustaining level funding be allocated to City positions and 25% to CBO's. The Council approved the split of 80% of the total project funding be for CBO's and 20% for City.

TITLE IID SUSTAINING

	<u>FY 79-80</u>		<u>FY 80-81</u>		<u>Difference Over 79-80 Positions</u>
	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Positions</u>	<u>Allocation</u>	<u>Positions</u>	
City	\$ 2,557,821	200	\$ 3,042,270	274	+74
CBO's	1,227,165	110	884,520	91	-19
Admin.	50,331		436,310		
SETA Admin 1.5%	<u>58,407</u>		<u>-0-</u>		
Subtotal	\$ 3,893,724	330	\$ 4,363,100	365	+55

TITLE VI SUSTAINING

City	\$ 2,486,135	199	\$ 995,808	88	-111
CBO's	1,142,069	118	286,764	29	- 89
Admin.	46,411		142,508		
SETA Admin 1.5%	<u>39,580</u>		<u>-0-</u>		
Subtotal	\$ 3,714,195	317	\$ 1,425,080	117	-200

TITLE VI PROJECTS

City	\$ 412,108	44	\$ 292,050	33	- 11
CBO's	1,414,405	241	990,522	134	-107
Admin	34,638		142,508		
SETA Admin 1.5%	<u>39,580</u>		<u>-0-</u>		
Subtotal	\$ 1,900,731	285	\$ 1,425,080	167	-118
Other	<u>80,000</u>				
TOTAL	\$ 9,588,650		\$ 7,213,260		

City	443 Positions	City	395 Positions
CBO	469 Positions	CBO	254 Positions

*The FY 80-81 allocations do not include carryover funds